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## STUDY PAPER NO. 21

## POSTWAR MOVEMENT OF PRICES AND WAGES IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

## I. Introduction ${ }^{1}$.

This study paper is designed primarily to present the underlying data and the statistical procedures developed as part of the analysis of the postwar inflation prepared for consideration by the Joint. Economic Committee of the Congress. ${ }^{2}$. In general, the present: report does not attempt to carry the analysis of the data beyondthat already presented in the staff report; rather, the major purpose: is to make the basic data generally available, and to present the results of the various statistical procedures which were employed in analyzing the movement of wages, prices, and profits in manufacturing industries from 1947 to 1958.

## Sources and Limitations

In order to evaluate the major factors which might underlie these moyements in the several manufacturing sectors of the economy during the period since 1947, data for a number of variables were obtained for each of 192 -digit Standard Industrial Classifications in manufacturing. All of these basic series are presented in appendix A; together with a description of the sources and methodology used. At this point, however, a number of technical aspects of the data should be noted.

Of particular importance is the fact that the underlying figures were gathered by different Government agencies, often utilizing different sampling techniques and different methods of classification. Thus the data on earnings and employment were obtained on an establishment basis, with each establishment assigned to a particular industry on the basis of its principal product, measured in value terms. The figures for profits, sales, stockholders' equity, and depreciation and depletion, on the other hand, were obtained by the FTC-SEC on a corporationwide basis; the data for the entire corporation were then assigned to the industrial classification on the basis of the corporation's

[^0]principal product, measured in terms of annual sales volume. And finally, concentration ratios were computed from data based on the value of product shipments directly, irrespective of the establishment or corporation involved.

As a result of these differences in concept and scope, the several series are not completely comparable. To a substantial degree, however, the varying bases of classification are probably corrected by the fact that the 2 -digit industry classifications used here are quite broad; consequently, they would normally embrace both the primary and the great majority of secondary products produced by any given establishment. In the case of corporatewide classification, however, there is a greater possibility that the profits figures will be overstated or understated. Classification on a product basis directly, of course, raises no serious issues.

The meaning and limitations on the use of concentration ratios also deserve some preliminary discussion. In general, concentration ratios provide a measure of the proportion of the total value of shipments or of total employment in a particular manufacturing industry which is accounted for by the largest companies in that.industry. As such, they may provide a rough measure of the extent of competitive pressures existing in the product market, on the presumption that the larger the proportion of the product value which is sold by the largest firms, the greater is the "degree of monopoly" involved. There are, however, important limitations on the use of concentration ratios for this purpose. On the one hand, such ratios do not reflect the pressure of competition from substitute products, such as plastics for metals; nor do they reflect the extent to which imports may compete in the domestic market. As a result, concentration ratios may overstate the degree of monopoly in a particular situation. On the other hand, these ratios do not reflect the extent to which the relevant product market may'be regional or local in character, as in the case of goods having high transportation costs. In these instances, ratios based on product value shipments for the entire country tend to understate the effective degree of concentration. ${ }^{3}$ Nevertheless, concentration ratios can provide at least a genèral frame of reference for evaluating whether a particular industrial classification is "more" or "less" competitive.

## II. Wage Movements in the Postwar Period

A number of statistical analyses were carried out relating the percentage changes in straight time hourly earnings in the 19 manufacturing industries with the movements of several other variables, including the percentage changes in production worker employment, output, productivity per production worker man-hour, the level of profits (as a rate of return on equity); and concentration ratios.

Some of the results of a complete year-to-year cross section analysis are summarized in table 1 ; in addition, a complete matrix of all possible simple correlation coefficients is shown in appendix B. ${ }^{4}$ The simple coefficients listed in table 1 suggest several important points* Of considerable interest is the fact that no significant relationship was

[^1]evident between the year-to-year changes in earnings and percentage changes in output, production worker employment, or productivity per production worker man-hour. On the other hand, the data indicate a strong interrelationship, particularly after 1951, between hourly earnings, profit levels, and 1954 concentration ratios. With the exception of the year 1955-56, earnings and profits were very highly correlated; the relationship of earnings to concentration ratios, while weaker, was still quite marked.

Table 1.-Simple cross section correlation coefficients between wage changes and selected variables in 19 manufacturing industries, 1947-58

| Year | Straight time earnings on- |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Output } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { profits } \\ \text { before } \\ \text { taxes } \end{array}\right\|$ | Concentration ratios on- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Production worker employment | Productivity per production worker. manhour | Output | Profits before taxes | Profits after taxes | Con. centration ratios |  | Profits before taxes | Profits after taxes |
| 1947-48. | 0.417 | -0. 248 | 0. 195 | 0.012 | 0. 138. | 0.226 | 0.463 | $-0.108$ | 0.071 |
| 1948-49. | $-.050$ | . 162 | . 024 | . 616 | . 777 | . 336 | . 237 | . 447 | . 527 |
| 1949-50 | $-.563$ | . 362 | $-.372$ | -. 087 | $-.097$ | . 033 | . 654 | . 307 | . 340 |
| 1950-51. | . 171 | -. 247 | . 078 | . 178 | . 127 | . 045 | . 631 | . 361 | . 371 |
| 1951-52 | . 087 | . 118 | . 039 | . 598 | . 707 | . 283 | . 491 | . 458 | . 463 |
| 1952-53 | . 249 | . 251 | . 332 | . 550 | . 689 | . 423 | . 724 | . 559 | . 537 |
| 1953-54- | . 203 | $-.279$ | -.067 . | . 628 | . 520 | . 463 | $-.059$ | . . 553 | . 598 |
| 1954-55. | . 233 | . 102 | . 383 | . 514 | . 600 | . 383 | . 500 | . 447 | . 460 |
| 1955-56. | -. 197 | . 354 | . 086 | . 055 | . 146 | . 428 | . 259 | . 512 | . 603 |
| 1956-57 | . 230 | . 390 | . 372 | . 546 | . 544 | . 607 | . 726 | . 612 | . 755 |
| 1957-58. | -. 576 | . 049 | -. 440 | . 392 | . 484 | . 549 | . . 222 | . 506 | . 698 |

1 The 5 percent level of significance is 0.4555 . The 1 percent level is 0.5751 .
Sources: See apps. A and B.
The use of simple correlation techniques may, however, yield misleading results. In particular, it will be noted in table 1 that profits were often significantly, though rather sporadically, related to changes in output. In order to test the relationship between earnings and profits, after correcting for the effects of changes in output, partial correlation coefficients were computed for each year. The general conclusions indicated above were not greatly affected, although the coefficients fell to somewhat below the 5 percent level of significance in $1954-55$ and 1956-57. The partial correlation coefficients, using profits before taxes as the profit variable, were as follows: ${ }^{5}$

| 1947-48. | -0. 009 | 1953-54 | 0. 627 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1948-49 | . 628 | 1954-55 | . 403 |
| 1949-50. | 223 | 1955-56 | . 034 |
| 1950-51 | 167 | 1956-57 | . 432 |
| 1951-52. | 665 | 1957-58. | 559 |

1952-53.-.------------------- 476
Finally, two multiple cross-section regressions were computed for the subperiods 1947-53 and 1953-58, relating changes in hourly earnings to (1) the average level of profits before taxes, (2) the percent change in production worker employment, and (3) the percent change in output. The results, presented in table 2, were again consistent with the previous findings. For the earlier period, the partial correlations coefficients were not significant for any variable; for the years 1953-58,

[^2]however, the coefficient for profits was significant at well above the 5 percent level, while both employment and output were of virtually no significance whatever. ${ }^{6}$

Table 2.-Cross-section regression equations: Wages

| Independent variable | Regression coefficient | Partlal correlation coefficient | Beta coefficient | Standard error of beta coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947-53: |  |  |  |  |
| A verage profit rate before taxes | 0.7430 | 0.3028 | 0.4196 | 0.3409 |
| Percent change: |  |  |  |  |
| Production worker employment | -. 2345 | -. 2009 | -. 4007 | . 5044 |
| Output.-........................... | . 1329 | . 1787 | . 3798 | . 5398 |
| 1953-58: |  |  |  |  |
| A verage profit rate before taxes.... | 1.7498 | ${ }^{1} .6590$ | . 6797 | . 2003 |
| Percent change: |  |  |  |  |
| Production worker employment. <br> Output. | .0034 -.0526 | .0046 -.1055 | .0049 -.1139 | .2759 .2770 |

Regression constants:


Multiple correlation coefficiont:


Coefficient of multiple determination:


Degrees of freedom $\mathrm{N}-4=15$
1 Significant at the 5-percent level.
In addition to these cross-section tests, some time series analyses were also conducted for each two-digit classification. In view of the limited number of annual observations available, and the rather sharp structural readjustments occurring in the economy as a whole during the immediate postwar and Korean periods, the use of time series is subject to important limitations; nevertheless, the results were generally quite consistent with those indicated by the cross-section data.

Table 3 indicates, for each two-digit industry, the simple correlation coefficients between the year-to-year percentage change in straight-time hourly earnings and the percentage changes in employment and output; in addition, coefficients are given for the relationship between earnings and three different measures of profit levels. There was no important relationship evident with respect to either output or employment. In the case of profits, however, the correlations were consistently stronger, particularly for profits before taxes, lagged 1 year. In the latter instance, the correlation coefficients were at a 5 -percent level of significance or better in 9 out of 19 industries, including 5 which were at a 1 -percent level.

[^3]Table 3.-Simple time series correlation coefficients between annual changes in wages and selected variables, 1947-58 ${ }^{1}$

| Industry | Percent change in straight-time hourly earnings on- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent change, production worker employment | Percent change, output | Rate of return on equity before taxes | Rate of return on equity after taxes | Rate of return on equity before taxes lagged 1 year |
| 20. Food | 0.165 | -0.638 | 0.234 | 0.353 | 0.805 |
| 21. Tobacco. | $-.476$ | -. 099 | . 040 | . 188 | . 017 |
| 22. Textiles. | . 408 | . 173 | . 848 | 835 | . 709 |
| 23. Apparel | -. 027 | -. 409 | . 236 | 122 | . 395 |
| 24. Lumber | . 252 | . 012 | -. 200 | -. 322 | -. 201 |
| 25. Furniture | -. 050 | -. 290 | . 643 | . 533 | . 805 |
| 26. Paper... | . 049 | -. 344 | . 463 | 529 | . 749 |
| 27. Printing- | -. 170 | . 098 | . 276 | 712 | . 870 |
| 28. Chemicals | . 266 | -. 005 | . 206 | 178 | . 287 |
| 29. Petroleum | . 681 | 210 | . 706 | . 787 | . 317 |
| 30. Rubber-- | . 283 | -. 063 | . 540 | . 072 | . 792 |
| 31. Leather--.---- | . 192 | -. 145 | . 047 | -. 371 | . 439 |
| 33. Stone, clay, and glass. | . 381 | . 139 | . 177 | . 188 | . 173 |
| 34. Frimary metals | $\begin{array}{r}.139 \\ -.131 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | -. 014 | . 204 | -. 449 | . 110 |
| 35. Machinery, except electrical | . 317 | . 214 | . 595 | . 525 | . 671 |
| 36. Electrical machinery-- | -. 139 | -. 175 | -. 012 | -. 243 | . 617 |
| 37. Transportation equipment. | . 213 | . 128 | -. 302 | -. 307 | -. 301 |
| 38. Instruments.. | . 362 | . 237 | . 157 | . 281 | . 167 |

${ }^{1}$ The 5 -percent level of significance is 0.6021 ; the 1 -percent level is 0.7348 .
Source: See app. A.
This approach was carried one step further by testing for the partial effects of both lagged profits and employment changes; the results are shown in table 4. Lagged profits continued to be strongly correlated to wage changes, with coefficients above the 5 -percent level in nine industries. By contrast, employment, while a more important variable than was indicated by simple correlation coefficients, still exceeded the 5-percent level in only two cases. Consequently, the same general conclusions were supported.

Table 4.-Time series partial correlation coefficients between annual changes in wages, employment, and lagged profits, 1947-58 ${ }^{1}$


1 The 5 percent level of significance is 0.6319 ; the 1 percent level is $\mathbf{0 . 7 6 4 6}$.
2 These are partial correlation coefficients corresponding to the regression coefficients in the equation $W_{e}=a+b E+c R$, where $W_{i}$ is the percent cnange in straignt time hourly earnings, $E$ is the percent change in production worker employment, and $R$ is the rate of return on stockholders' equity, lagged 1 year.

Unfortunately, no recent data were available to evaluate the possible relationship between wage changes and union strength. The most recent study of the extent of union organization in different industries was made in $1946 ;{ }^{7}$ it is probable, however, that the strength of unionism has not changed greatly in most industries since that time. In any case, on the basis of the best estimates available, there does not appear to be any general relationship between union strength and wage changes. This is suggested by the figures in table 5 , in which industries are ranked in accordance with their percentage increases in earnings during two major subperiods, together with data on estimated union strength, average profit levels, concentration ratios, and production worker employment in those industries. During both of the periods 1947-53 and 1953-58, the six industries which had the greatest increases in hourly earnings ranged from quite weakly unionized sectors, such as food and chemicals, to such strongly organized industries as primary metals. Contrariwise, the half dozen industries with the lowest increases in earnings included apparel, which was highly organized, as well as textiles and leather at the other extreme. Union strength per se therefore, does not appear to have been an important factor explaining developments in the wage structure; it must be stressed, however, that it does not necessarily follow from this that collective bargaining has not had an effect on the wage level. For it may be that wages are increased in the more strongly unionized industries by more than would otherwise be the case, and that other industries, both union and nonunion, adopt the same "pattern." Thus the lack of any evident relationship between wage changes and

[^4]union strength is not sufficient to demonstrate that unionism is purely a passive factor.

Table 5.-Changes in wages, profit rates, concentration ratios, union strength, and employment in manufacturing industries, 1947-53 and 1959-58


Sources: See app. A.

## WAGE PATTERNS IN THE POSTWAR PERIOD

The general forces underlying wage changes, as developed in the preceding section, are also given support by an analysis of the collective bargaining settlements negotiated in several manufacturing industries, or in companies generally representative of entire industries, during the postwar period. These settlements are summarized in table 6 for each year and for major subperiods. For purposes of analysis, they are separated into two broad groups according to the general degree of concentration in the industries involved. In addition, the "key" bargains are designated for each period. ${ }^{8}$

[^5]Table 6.-Wage-fringe adjustments in selected manufacturing industries, 1946-58

| Company or industry | Total settlements, 1946-50 |
| :---: | :---: |
| High concentration United States | ance. <br> 56 cents plus 6 holidays plus noncontributory pensions, plus contributory insurance (includes 11 -cent automatic increase). |
| General Motors (ke |  |
| ational | $531 / 2$ cents plus 6 holidays plus noncontributory pensions, plus contributory insurance (includes 3 -cent automatic increase). |
| Rubber (4 companies) | $523 / 2$ cents plus 6 holidays, plus noncontributory pensions, plus contributory insurance. |
| General Electric | 52 cents plus 7 holidays, plus contributory pensions, plus contributory insurance. |
| Armour | $553 / 2$ cents plus 6 holidays |
| Aluminum Co. ( | 58 cents plus 6 holidays, plus noncontributory pensions, plus noncontributory Insurance. |
|  | 57 cents plus 6 holidays, plus contributory insurance. <br> 50 cents plus noncontributory insurance ( 6 holidays, plus noncontributory pensions previously in effect). |
|  |  |
| Glenn Martin North Americ | 43 cents plus 7 holidays, plus contributory insurance. <br> 4712 cents plus 6 holidays (contributory insurance previously in effect. |
|  |  |
|  | 37 cents plus noncontributory pensions, plus contributory insurance. 47 cents (new construction). |
|  | 79 cents (includes 25 cents negotiated in 1945) plus contributory insurance ( 6 holldays plus contributory pensions previously in effect). 55 cents plus 6 holidays, plus contributory pensions (noncontributory insurance previously in effect). |
| American Viscos |  |
| Low concentra Full Fash | 46 cents plus 5 holidays, plus noncontributory pensions (noncontributory insurance previously in effect). |
|  |  |
| Northern Cotton Textiles | 54 cents plus 6 holidays (noncontributory insurance previously in effect) |
| merican Woole | 57 cents plus 6 holidays (noncontributory insurance previously in effect). |
| Men's Clot | $521 / 2$ cents ( 6 holldays, plus noncontributory pensions, plus noncontributory insurance previously in effect). |
| Women's Clo | 56 cents ( $63 / 2$ holidays for time workers, plus noncontributory pensions, plus noncontributory insurance previously in effect). |
|  |  |
| Mass | $42 y / 2$ cents plus 6 holddays (noncontributory insurance previously in effect). |
|  | Total |
| High concentration: |  |
| United States Steel (key) | $291 / 2$ cents plus 6 holidays. <br> 32 cents (includes 31 -cent automatic increase). <br> 28 cents (all automatic). <br> 32 cents. <br> 33 cents (estimated; Includes 9 -cent automatic increase). <br> $31 / \delta$ cents plus noncontributory pensions, plas noncontributory insurance. <br> $35 / 2$ cents (estimated). |
| General Motors (key).. |  |
| International Harvester |  |
| Rubber (4 comp |  |
| Armour.- |  |
| Aluminum Co. (steelworkers).- |  |
| Anaconda | 33 cents plus noncontributory pensions. <br> 30 cents (Includes 3 -cent automatic increase). <br> $431 / 2$ cents plus noncontributory pensions (includes 24 -cent automatic increase). |
| Lockheed Aircraft |  |
| Glenn Martin |  |
| North American Avi | $381 / 2$ cents plus noncontributory pensions (ineludes 15 -cent automatic increase). |
| Bethlehem Shipbuilding | $521 / 2$ cents plus 6 holldays. <br> 55 cents (new construction) plus noncontributory insurance. <br> $31 / 1 / 2$ cents plus 1 holiday (estimated; includes 3 -cent automatic increase). <br> 15 cents (Includes 11-cent automatic increase). |
| Pacific ship |  |
| Sinclair Oil |  |
| American Viscose |  |
| Low concentration: <br> Full Fashioned Hosle | ```25 percent reduction in rates (estimated). 4 cents (includes 3-cent automatic increase). 4 cents. 12\frac{12}{2}}\mathrm{ cents. 14 cents. 101/2 cents plus noncontributory insurance. 8 cents plus }3/2/2\mathrm{ holiday.``` |
| Northern Cotton Tex |  |
| American Woolen |  |
| Men's clothing |  |
| Women's clothi |  |
| International Shoe- |  |

Table 6.-Wage-fringe adjustments in selected manufacturing industries, 1946-58-Continued

| Company or industry | Total settlements, 1955-58 |
| :---: | :---: |
| High concentration: | 591/2 cents plus SUB ${ }^{1}$ plan, plus 1 holiday (includes 34 -cent automatic increase). |
| United States Steel (key) |  |
| General Motors (key). | 471/2 cents plus SUB plan, plus 1 holiday (includes 31-cent automatic increase). |
| International Harvester | 49 cents plus SUB plan, plus 1 holiday (includes 32 -cent automatic increase). |
| Rubber (4 companies) |  |
| General Electric. | 40 cents (estimated; includes 37 -cent automatic increase). 54 cents (includes 28 -cent automatic increase). |
| Armour. |  |
| Aluminum Co. (steelworkers).. | 63 cents plus SUB plan, plus 1 holiday (includes 36 -cent automatic increase). |
| Anaconda Copper- | 37 cents plus 1 holiday (includes 14 -cent automatic increase). |
| Lockheed Aircraf | 33 cents plus 1 holiday (estimated; includes 1-cent automatic increase). |
| Glenn Martin. | 36 cents plus 1 holiday (includes 19-cent automatic increase). |
| North American A viation |  |
| Bethlehem Shipbuilding | 66 cents plus 1 hollday. |
| Sinclair Oil | 51 cents plus 6 holidays. |
| American Viscose | $411 / 3$ cents (estimated) plus 1 holiday. <br> 131 cents (includes 81 -cent automatic increase) |
| Low concentration: |  |
| Full Fashioned Hosiery-....-- | Association bargaining discontinued after 1954. $71 / 2$ cents. ${ }^{2}$ |
| Northern cotton textiles (Berk-shire-Hathaway). |  |
| American Woolen... | Out of business after 1954. <br> $121 / 2$ cents plus 1 holiday. <br> 14 cents. <br> $141 / 2$ cents plus noncontributory pensions. <br> 18 cents plus $1 / 2$ holiday. |
| Men's clothing |  |
| Women's clothing. |  |
| Internatlonal Shoe |  |
| Massachusetts Sh |  |

${ }^{1}$ SUB $=$ Supplementary unemployment benefit.
${ }^{2}$ Association bargaining discontinued after 1954. The Berkshire-Hathaway Co. was substituted because it had been a major concern in the previous association.
Source: Wage Chronology Series, Bureau of Labor Statistics and data published by the Bureau of National Affairs. Some added information was obtained from personal correspondence.

Two important characteristics of postwar wage patterns can be noted from the table. First, the general level of settlements during the period 1946-50 were very similar for the great majority of firms and industries covered; in particular, no important differences were evident as between the high versus the low concentration sectors. During this period, five separate rounds of wage-fringe increases occurred. With few exceptions, manufacturing industries or companies, regardless of their product market characteristics, followed similar patterns. In the few instances of substantial downward modification of the pattern, as in aircraft and shipbuilding, the differences were made up in the 1951-54 period.

Beginning in 1951, however, very substantial deviations began to develop, primarily in line with the competitive characteristics of the industry. In the nonconcentrated sectors-textiles, clothing, and leather (shoes)-settlements fell very far below the pattern. In addition, the one company in the concentrated sector which fell below-American Viscose, manufacturers of rayon yarn-was subject to severe competition from the development of other synthetic fibers. In effect, those manufacturing industries which were subject to increasing competitive pressures in the product market and in which profits were being seriously curtailed, did not match the pattern established by the more profitable, and in most cases more concentrated, industries.

This general situation continued through 1955-58. The textile and clothing industrirs, including American Viscose, and the shoe firms continued to reach agreements tar below the level set in the better situated industries. Within the latter, more diversification also developed, although the bulk of settlements ranged between 40 and 50 cents per bour. The major exceptions were in industries organized by the steel union-steel, aluminum, and Atlantic coast shipbuilding (Bethlehem Steel Co.); in these sectors, wage increases were $591 / 2,63$, and 66 cents, respectively (plus tringes), over the 4 -year period.

The second point to be noted from the data is the increasing importance of automatic wage changes, incorporated into long-term contracts in the form of cost-of-living adjustments and annual improvement factors. During the 1946-50 period, this approach was introduced by General Motors, but was rarely followed elsewhere. In 1951-54, however, largely as a result of the sharp rise in the cost of living which accompanied the outbreak of the Korean war in 1950, the annual improvement factor-cost of living approach was adopted in automobiles, farm equipment, aircraft, electrical equioment, and a few others. The steel union, however, continued to follow the more traditional approach, as did several other leading companies and unions.
During 1955-58, however, most of the latter group also went over to automatic adjustments. As a result, virtually every strongly unionized company in the concentrated seciors listed in table 6 had negotiated long-term contracts in 1955 and 1956, providing for automatic annual wage increases plus automatic costs-of-living adjustments through 1957, 1958, and, in some cases, 1959. The only exceptions were rubber, shipbuilding, and oil (Sinclair). On the other hand, none of the low concentration sectors followed this policy after 1955.

The sequence of wage developments during the 1955-58 period is also of very considerable interest. In the summer of 1955, the major "key" bargain was negotiated in the automobile industry, in which sales and profits were at record or near record levels. The contract extended for 3 years to mid-1958, and included an annual improvement factor of approximately 6 cents per hour, a cost-of-iiving clause, and additional fringes estimated to be worth approximately 12 cents per bour. Shortly thereafter, the steel industry negotiated a straight wage increase of 15 cents, under a wage reopener clause, in a contract which expired in 1956. Output and profits in steel had also risen sharply from the 1954 recession low; the relevant data for both the gutomobile and steel industries are shown in table 7. Betore the year was out, the leading firms in several other major industries in which market conditions and profits were adequate had negotiated similar contracts, with many adopting the 3 -year approach of the automobile industry.

Table 7.-Basic trends in the steel and automobile industries, 1947-58

| Year | Profits before <br> tares on <br> equity <br> (percant) | Profts after <br> taxes on <br> equity <br> (percent) | Profits before <br> taxes as per- <br> cent of sales | Output <br> (1947-49: <br> $100)$ | Production <br> worker em- <br> ployment <br> (1977-49= <br> $100)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

IRON AND STEEL

| 1947...............................- | 19.8 | 12.1 | 10.9 | 101 | 101 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1948 | 17.0 | 14.7 | 12.3 | 106 | 105 |
| 1949 | 17.0 | 9.9 | 10.9 | 92 | 93 |
| 1950 | 28.1 | 14.2 | 15.1 | 118 | 104 |
| 1951.. | 34.0 | 12.3 | 16.0 | 131 | 110 |
| 1952... | 17.6 | 8.5 | 9.3 | 117 | 95 |
| 1953. | 25.5 | 10.7 | 12.4 | 139 | 110 |
| 1954. | 16.0 | 8.1 | 10.5 | 109 | 97 |
| 1955. | 27.1 | 13.5 | 14.5 | 146 | 107 |
| 1956 | 25.1 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 143 | 104 |
| 1957. | 22.7 | 11.4 | 13.0 | 139 | 105 |
| 1958.-...-.......-.-............. | 14.2 | 7.2 | 10.5 | 105 | 86 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1947... | 27.9 | 15.6 | 10.4 | 95 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1948. | 32.9 | 18.7 | 11.8 | 101 | 101 |
| 1949 | 35.8 | 20.9 | 13.2 | 104 | ${ }^{98}$ |
| 1950. | 51.8 | 24.6 | 17.1 | 132 | 109 |
| 1951. | 39.5 | 14.1 | 13.2 | 120 | 110 |
| 1952.. | 36.8 | 13.6 | 12.6 | 102 | 100 |
| 1953...- | 37.9 | 13.6 | 11.0 | 126 | 119 |
| 1954. | 29.4 | 13.9 | 10.8 | 109 | 97 |
| 1955... | 46.1 | 21.1 | 15.1 | 153 | 116 |
| 1956 | 27.1 | 13.0 | 10.8 | 125 | 100 |
| 1957. | 28.1 | 14.0 | 10.8 | 128 | 98 74 |
| 1958. | 14.4 | 8.1 | 7.0 | 99 | 74 |

Sources: See app. A. The output index for "Iron and Steel" is the Federal Reserve Board index of industrisl production, with 1947 weights.

In mid-1956, the "key" bargain open for negotiation was in steel. Both production and profits were at about their 1955 levels, a major investment boom was developing in plant and equipment, and the precedent set by the previous year's settlements in automobiles and other industries was strong. The result was an extremely favorable contract for the steelworkers-a 3-year contract extending into 1959, including a 9 -cent annual improvement factor, automatic cost-ofliving adjustments, and major fringe benefits. Similarly, favorable long-term contracts were signed in the aluminum industry; in most others, the terms were somewhat less liberal, but also involved longterm commitments to annual wage increases.

The results of these two major "patterns," established in the automobile and steel industries during the period of high output and profits, continued to be felt throughout the declining years of 1957 and 1958. In both of these years, despite marked declines in output and employment throughout the economy, wage increases were automatic in several major manufacturing industries. Further, the widespread use of cost-of-living escalators magnified the effects of quite
small (originating) increases in the Consumer Price Index. The automobile contract, which terminated in the midst of the sharp recession of 1958, was again renewed for a 3 -year period, and again included an automatic annual improvement factor of $23 / 2$ percent per year (about 7 cents) plus cost-of-living adjustments. Thus the recession did not appear to have had any appreciable effect on the annual rate of increase in negotiated rates; the direct costs of additional fringe benefits negotiated in the 1958 automobile contract, however, were very low. And in 1959, the steel contract was again being negotiated in the context of a developing boom.

The probability that the rate of increase in wages after 1958 has not been appreciably affected by the 3 -year automobile contract is given added support by a comparison of the wage-fringe increases negotiated during the first 6 months of 1959 as compared to the same period in 1955. These periods were generally comparable, since they both represented approximately the same phase of sharp recovery from previous recessions. From December 1954 to June 1955, unemployment declined from 5.0 to 4.1 percent, seasonally adjusted; in the same period, December 1958 to June 1959, the rate fell from 6.1 to 4.9 percent.

NEGOTIATED SETTLEMENTS, FIRST SIX MONTHS 1955 AND 1959


Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics.
The above chart relates to settlements involving 1,000 or more workers concluded during the 6 -month period. It includes all wage changes negotiated during the January-June period that are scheduled to go into effect during the contract year-i.e., the 12 -month period following the effective date of the agreement. In summarizing percentage increases, it has been necessary to estimate
their value in terms of cents on the basis of available information on wage levels in the industry.
This chart excludes-
Settlements involving fewer than 1,000 workers.
Settlements in construction, the service trades, finance, and government. Instances in which contract reopening privileges were not exercised.
Wage increases and changes in supplementary practices that went into effect during the period but that were negotiated earlier-for example, deferred wage increases, cost-of-living adjustments, or annual improvement factor increases.
Chart 1 provides a comparison of the number of employees covered by negotiated contracts who received wage increases within specified ranges in the first 6 months of 1955 and 1959. In 1955, 72 percent of employees received wage increases of 5 to 11 cents, compared to only 60 percent in early 1959. However, a full 30 percent received more than 11 cents in 1959, contrasted to only 8 percent in 1955; contrariwise, 15 percent received less than 5 cents in 1955 compared to 8 percent in 1959. An estimate of the weighted average of wage increases for 1955 was 7.6 cents; in 1959, 9.2 cents. This increase of about 20 percent approximates the rise in hourly earnings from 1955 to 1959; relatively, therefore, the 1959 increase was no greater than 1955. On the other hand, the rate of unemployment was almost one percentage point greater in the first 6 months of 1959 as compared to 1955 . And finally, 69 percent of the 1959 settlements also liberalized one or more fringe benefits as contrasted to 60 percent in the first 6 months of 1955, although the costs of the 1959 fringes may well have been below those of 1955 . The weight of evidence, however, indicates that the rate of advance in wage-fringe costs has not been slower during the 1959 upswing.

One final possible qualification should be noted. The data on which these comparisons are based excludes contracts which contained reopening clauses that were not utilized-that is, contracts in which no increases occurred because the union chose not to request one. They also exclude several types of settlements noted in the chart. It is doubtful that this would affect the data in any important way.

## III. The Movement of Manufacturing Prices

An analysis similar to that applied to wage movements was also carried out for price movements in 16 two-digit manufacturing industries. Since the Bureau of Labor Statistics does not compute wholesale price indexes on a basis consistent with most two-digit classifications, it was necessary to construct such indexes by recombining various subgroups of the wholesale price index. The sources and methods used are described in appendix A. The resulting price indexes are shown in table $8^{9}$; in all, they account for close to 80 percent of the weights in the entire wholesale price index, and for approximately 95 percent of the total weight in the "all manufactures" index. The major additional items included in the entire wholesale price index are, of course, farm products.

[^6]Table 8.-Wholesale price indexes in manufacturing industries, 1947-58 ${ }^{1}$
$[1947-49=100]$

| Industry | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1954 } \\ \text { weight } \end{gathered}$ | 1947 | 1948 | 1049 | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1055 | 1956 | 1957 | 1858 | Percent Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary metals | 7.13 | 00.4 | 102.8 | 106.8 | 111.7 | 123.8 | 125. 1 | 132.3 | 136.1 | 145.0 | 157.8 | 163.0 | 164.2 | 81.6 |
| Nonelectrical machinery | 7.82 | 92.4 | 101. 1 | 106. 6 | 110.2 | 122.5 | 123.1 | 125.7 | 127.8 | 133.3 | 144.2 | 153.5 | 157.1 | 70.0 |
| Stone, clay, glass. | 2.15 | 92.7 | 101. 1 | 105.5 | 109.3 | 117.3 | 118. 1 | 123.6 | 127.4 | 131.5 | 139.0 | 144.7 | 149.5 | 61.3 |
| Fabricated metals | 8. 28 | 90.8 | 102.5 | 108.7 | 110.4 | 121.6 | 120.6 | 122.4 | 124.0 | 127.8 | 136.0 | 141.8 | 142.6 | 57.0 |
| Motor vehlcles and equipment ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5.55 | 91.3 | 100.8 | 107.9 | 107.2 | 112.9 | 119.6 | 118.9 | 119.3 | 122.9 | 129.8 | 135.4 | 139.7 | 53.0 |
| Rubber products | 1.35 | 98.0 | 101.8 | 100.2 | 112.0 | 132.5 | 128.4 117.0 | 125.7 120.4 | 127.7 120.3 | 140.2 123.4 | 145.6 133.2 | 146.5 139.7 | 148.2 140.7 | 51.2 46.1 |
| Electrical machinery | 7.11 1.30 | 96.3 95.3 | 101.5 | 102.2 102.3 | 103.7 108.5 | 116.2 118.7 | 117.0 115.9 | 120.4 | 120.3 117.2 | 123.4 119.2 | 133.2 125.6 | 139.7 130.6 | 140.7 | 46.1 38.6 |
| Tobacco products | . 97 | 95.6 | 99.6 | 104.9 | 107.0 | 110.4 | 111.3 | 119.1 | 120.7 | 120.8 | 121.0 | 126.4 | 131.0 | 37.0 |
| Paper and allied. | 8. 17 | 98.6 | 102.9 | 98.5 | 100.9 | 119.6 | 116.5 | 116. 1 | 116.3 | 119.3 | 127.2 | 129.6 | 131.0 | 32.9 |
| All manufacturing. | 82.95 | 95.9 | 103.8 | 100.3 | 104. 1 | 115.5 | 112.9 | 112.8 | 113.7 | 115.0 | 119.5 | 123.2 | 124.5 | 29.8 |
| Petroleum products. | 4.24 | 89.6 | 112.1 | 98.3 | 111.0 | 109.4 | 111.2 | 111.9 | 109.0 | 111.2 | 117.5 | 125.8 | 114.8 | 28.1 |
| Lumber products. | 2.97 | 93.7 | 107.2 | 99.2 | 113.9 | 123.9 | 120.3 108 | 120.2 104.6 | 118.0 105.3 | 123.6 101.7 | 125.4 101.7 | 119.0 105.6 | 117.7 110.8 | 25.6 |
| Food products. | 12. 73 | 98.2 | 106.1 | 95.7 | 99.8 | 111.4 | 108.8 | 104.6 | 105.3 | 101.7 | 101.7 107.4 | 105.6 108.0 | 110.9 109.0 | 12. 9. |
| Leather products | 1.27 | 99.5 101.4 | 102.1 103.8 | 98.4 94.8 | 104.9 96.3 | 120.5 110.0 | 103.8 104.5 | 104.3 103.6 | 101.6 107.0 | 101.4 | 107.4 107.2 | 108.0 109.5 | 109.0 110.4 | 8.5- |
| Ohemicals. | 6. 83 3.22 | 10.4 100.7 | 103.2 | 96.1 | 96.7 | 104.4 | 101.2 | 100.6 | 100.1 | 100.3 | 101.7 | 101.8 | 101.6 | 8.8 |
| Textile products. | 3.18 | 99.2 | 105.9 | 94.9 | 101.2 | 115. 9 | 88.9 | 95.4 | 91.8 | 92.2 | 91.7 | 91.5 | 88.0 | -11.3 |

1 Printing and publishing, transportation equjpment, and instruments are omitted because of lack of data.
Motor vehicles and equipment is included in piace of transportation equipment.
Sources: Soe app. A.

A complete year-to-year cross-section analysis, relating the percentage change in price to several variables, was conducted. The simple correlation coefficients for several of the more important possible relationships are listed in table 9 . In addition, the complete matrix of all possible simple correlation coefficients is provided in appendix $B$.

Table 9.-Simple cross-section correlation coefficients between price changes and selected variables in 16 manufacturing industries, 1947-58 ${ }^{1}$

| Year | Percentage change in wholesale price index on- |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross hourly earnings | Produc. tivity per production worker man-hour | Output | A verage profls before taxes | Average profits after taxes | Concentration ratios |
| 1947-48. | 0.093 | 0.024 | 0.375 | 0.339 | 0. 560 | 0.329 |
| 1948-49.. | . 214 | . 328 | -. 416 | . 439 | . 335 | . 287 |
| 1949-50 | -. 055 | . 170 | . 073 | -. 041 | . 113 | -. 019 |
| 1950-51. | . 101 | -. 415 | -. 199 | . 294 | -. 066 | -. 526 |
| 1951-52. | . 375 | . 035 | -. 065 | . 536 | . 624 | . 581 |
| 1952-53. | . 546 | -. 171 | . 176 | . 490 | . 432 | . 595 |
| 1953-54. | . 620 | -. 215 | -. 247 | . 715 | . 505 | . 387 |
| 1854-55. | . 551 | -. 201 | . 587 | . 448 | . 395 | . 196 |
| 1955-56. | -. 098 | $-.418$ | . 283 | . 404 | . 442 | . 193 |
| 1956-57. | . 551 | $-.100$ | . 397 | . 585 | . 711 | . 617 |
| 1957-58:. | . 308 | . 329 | . 115 | . 629 | . 276 | -. 114 |

${ }^{1}$ The 5 -percent level of significance is 0.4973 . The 1 -percent level is 0.6226 .
Source: See apps. A and B.
A number of interesting points are indicated. Perhaps of greatest importance is the lack of any evident relationship between changes in prices end changes in output, at least up to 1954. After 1954, the correlation became weakly positive, except for the one year of sharp recovery, 1954-55, when a significant relationship appeared.

The remaining findings may be briefly summarized as follows:

1. Changes in prices were not strongly related to changes in productivity per production worker man-hour. It is of some interest, however, that several negative correlations appeared, indicating that lower price increases were often associated with greater increases in productivity:
2. Price changes were unrelated to changes in gross hourly earnings during the early part of the period up to 1951-52. After that point, however, the correlation became very much stronger.
3. Price adjustments were clearly related to profit levels throughout most of the postwar period; the relationship was strongest, however, after 1951.
4. The relationship of price changes to concentration ratios was quite irregular. Up to 1951, it was low or negative; in fact, the strong negative correlation in 1950-51 suggests that prices in nonconcentrated industries rose more than in concentrated. From 1951 to 1957, however, the coefficient was consistently positive, though the strength of the relationship varied considerably. And finally, the correlation became weakly negative in the 1957-58 recession. ${ }^{10}$
[^7]A closer evaluation of the relationship of prices to output and wages was obtained by a multiple cross-section regression analysis covering the two subperiods 1947-53 and 1953-58. The percentage change in the wholesale price index was tested against (1) the percentage change in output and (2) the percentage change in direct labor costs per unit of output per total worker man-hour. The latter variable thus takes account of the effects of productivity on labor costs as well. The results are shown in table 10. Output was not a significant variable during either subperiod (after taking account of changes in unit direct labor costs); on the other hand, direct labor costs were highly correlated with price changes during the 195358 period, but much less strongly so from 1947 to 1953. In general, these findings are consistent with those indicated by the simple correlation analysis.

Table 10.-Cross-section regression equations: Prices

| Independent variable | Regression , coefficient | Partial correlation coefficient | Beta coeff. cient | Standard error of beta coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1947-53: } \\ & \text { Percent change: } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.1891 | 0.2516 | 0.2365 | 0.2522 |
| Direct labor costs per unit of output per total worker man-hour. | . 4982 | . 3730 | . 3661 | . 2522 |
| 1953-58: <br> Percent change: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct labor costs per unit of output per total worker man-hour. | . 1. 9367 | $\begin{array}{r}1.7681 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | .3261 .9724 | . 22884 |


| Regression constants: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1947-53. | 6. 84 |
| Multiple correlation coefficient: |  |
|  |  |
| Coefficient of multiple determination: |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Degrees of freedom | $\mathrm{N}-3=13$ |
| 1 Significant at the 5 percent level. |  |

Similar relationships were shown by time series analyses, although the small number of observations and the major structural shifts which occurred in the economy during the 1947-58 period limit the usefulness of time series for this purpose. In table 11, the simple correlation coefficients are given for each two-digit industry, indicating the relationship between price changes and several other variables from 1947 to 1958 . Table 12 summarizes the results of a multiple regression analysis, relating the percent change in prices to (1) the percent change in output, and (2) the percent change in gross hourly earnings. In both cases, the price-output relationship was very weak, while the price-gross hourly wage relationship was strong. In 8 of the 16 industries, the price-wage correlation was significant at the 5 -percent level; in 2 more, it was close to that level of significance. In addition, the simple correlation coefficients between price changes and profit levels were at or close to 5 -percent significance level in nine industries. Thus the time series data tend to corroborate the general results of the cross-section analysis.

Table 11.-Simple time series correlation coefficients between annual changes in prices and selected variables, 1947-58 1

${ }^{1}$ The 5 percent level of significance is 0.6021 the 1 percent level is 0.7348 .
Sources: See app. A.
Table 12.-Time series partial correlation coefficients between annual changes in prices, output, and hourly earnings, 1947-58 ${ }^{1}$

| Industry | Partial correlation ${ }^{2}$ of percent change in price on- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Change in output | Change in gross hourly earnings |
| 20. Food | -0.037 |  |
| 21. Tobacco | -. 114 | . 129 |
| 22. Textiles... | . 290 | . 604 |
| 23. Apparel | . 202 | . 825 |
| ${ }^{24}$. Lumber.-- | . 807 | -. 375 |
| 25. Furniture | -. 000 | . 653 |
| 26. Paper----- | . 331 | . 523 |
| 28. Chemicals. | . 319 | . 342 |
| 30. Rubber.... | - . 534 | . 508 |
| 31. Leather | -. 277 | . 558 |
| 32. Stone, clay, and glass. |  | 813 |
| 33. Primary metals. | . 238 | 623 |
| 34. Fabricated metals. | -. 108 | . 751 |
| 35. Machinery, except electrical | -. 138 | . 663 |
| 36. Electrical machinery | . 276 | . 661 |

[^8]
## TRENDS IN SPEĆIFIC MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

On the basis of the data on prices. wages, productivity, and profits, indexes were computed for each two-digit industry for which data were available, reflecting trends in the wholesale price index, direct labor costs per unit of output per total worker man-hour, and returns to capital (profits before taxes plus depreciation and depletion charges) per dollar of sales. These indexes are described in appendix A. In order to compare the movements of each of these variables both within each industry and among industries, ratios were computed to show the trends of each variable in each two-digit industry relative
to the trend in manufacturing as a whole. The resulting ratios are included in appendix C.

While these indexes are probably indicative of general trends in manufacturing industries, their limitations should be carefully noted. It has already been pointed out that the scope and method of classifying these various series differ, depending largely upon the nature and availability of the data involved. Thus profits are on a corporate basis, earnings, employment, and output are on an establishment basis, and prices on a product basis. In addition, the series included are not exhaustive, i.e., they do not reflect all the costs (including profits) which go to make up the final price. In particular, no data are available on the costs of materials; also, indirect taxes may be an important element of price in a few instances, as in tobacco products. Finally, the indexes of direct labor costs per unit of output very probably understate the actual rate of increase in labor costs, since they are based on the trend in gross hourly earnings of production workers only; no figures are available to show average hourly labor costs of both production and nonproduction workers. The resulting indexes probably understate the rate of increase in labor costs because (1) the rate of increase of employment of nonproduction workers has considerably exceeded that of production workers; in fact, the total number of production workers employed in manufacturing in 1958 was considerably lower than in 1947, whereas employment of nonproduction workers had risen by over 50 percent, and (2) because the average level of hourly compensation for nonproduction workers very probably exceeded the average hourly earnings of production workers. Thus, the shift in "employee mix" would result in a greater rate of increase in labor costs than would be reflected in the trend of earnings for production workers alone.

Since the following data is presented in terms of basic trends relative to manufacturing as a whole, some preliminary discussion of the underlying movement of prices, costs, and profits in all manufacturing may be helpful. These figures are presented in table 13. It is clear that the manufacturing price level has risen steadily since 1947, with the exception of a fairly substantial reduction of 3.2 percent in the 1949 recession and a smaller downward readjustment after the sharp speculative rise which accompanied the outbreak of the Korean war in mid-1951.

Table 13.-Basic trends in manufacturing, 1947-58
[1947-49=100]

| Year | Wholesale price index: All manufactures | Direct labor costs per unit of output | Profits plus depreciation and depletion as percent of sales | Materials and compoments for manufacturing | Production worker employment | Nonproduction worker employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947. | 95.9 | 96.3 | 102.3 | 96.4 | 103.4 | 97.4 |
| 1948. | 103.8 | 101.6 | 105.0 | 104.0 | 102.8 | 101.8 |
| 1949. | 100.3 | 101.6 | 92.7 | 99.6 | 93.8 | 100.8 |
| 1950 | - 104.1 | 99.8 | 119.0 | 104.5 | 99.6 | 103.5 |
| 1951 | 115.5 | 109.2 | 114.6 | 118.4 | 106.4 | 115.2 |
| 1952 | 112.9 | 111.6 | 99.7 | 113.4 | 106.3 | 124.6 |
| 1953 | 112.8 | 114.6 | 100.6 | 115.2 | 111.8 | 133.0 |
| 1954 | 113.7 | 114.5 | 98.0 | 115.4 | 101.8 | 133.0 |
| 1955 | 115.0 | 112.1 | 112.8 | 118.2 | 105.6 | 136.8 |
| 1956 | 119.5 | 115.8 | 109.3 | 123.7 | 106.7 | 144.8 |
| 1957 | 123.2 | 118.8 | 102.3 | 126.9 | 104.4 | 151.2 |
| 1958. | 124.5 | 120.4 | 92.7 | 127.2 | 94.2 | 148.8 |

[^9]During the early part of this period from 1947 to 1950 , labor costs and profits all rose considerably. From 1950 to 1954, profit margins declined, then again rose sharply with the strong recovery of 1955. During the subsequent period to 1957, they declined moderately, then fell considerably in the 1958 recession. By the end of the period (1956-58), the proportion of the sales dollar going into profits plus depreciation and depletion was at approximately the same level as in 1947-49. The pattern of movement, however, has been for gross margins to rise sharply at the beginning of boom periods and to recede gradually during the subsequent years of "leveling off."
The index of direct labor costs per unit of output has shown a continuing upward trend over the period, except for relatively small declines in 1950 and 1955, undoubtedly reflecting the increase in productivity which normally accompanies a strong upswing in output. ${ }^{11}$ Table 13 also shows the very considerable shift in employment toward nonproduction workers. It has already been noted that one probable result of this shift in employment patterns has been to raise the rate of increase in total labor costs per unit faster than is reflected in the index of unit direct labor costs. An additional implication of the rising importance of nonproduction worker employment is the fact that labor costs have become less responsive to cutbacks in production during recessions; this is clearly shown by the very much greater cutbacks in production worker than in nonproduction worker employment during the recessions of 1949, 1954, and 1958. By the same token, as Schultze has pointed out, one major reason for the rapid rise in labor costs per unit from 1955 to 1957 was the more than 10 percent increase in nonproduction worker employment as contrasted to the rise of only 3.5 percent in manufacturing production; the result, of course, was to hold down the rate of increase in productivity per total worker man-hour. ${ }^{12}$ One must presume, however, that in the long run, producers expect the shift in employeemix to represent a profitable choice; in the 1955-58 period, however, it probably had a considerable adverse effect on unit labor costs and profit margins.

The data included in appendix C provide a basis for comparing the general trends of prices, wages, profits, and other variables over time, both within and between industries. In table 14, ratios of the specific industry indexes to the index of all manufacturing are shown for several important variables, as of 1957.13 The year 1957 is used in order to avoid the effects on the data of the 1958 recession. For purposes of analysis, the industries have also been classified according to the extent of concentration and the strength of unionization in each. It should be stressed, however, that these trends cannot be considered as anything more than suggestive; considerably more detailed studies would be required within each sector before a more

[^10]firm evaluation of the role of concentration and unionization can be made.

Table 14.-Ratio of indexes in specific industries relative to all manufacturing, 1957

| [1947-49 ratio $=100$ ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry | Whole- sale price | Output |  | Labor costs per unit of output | $\begin{gathered} \text { Returns } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { capital } \end{gathered}$ | Concentration ratios (percent | Esti- mated union strenth (percent) |
| All manufacturing- | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Highly concentrated, strongly unionized industries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary metals.-.-----.--- | 132 | 90 | 107 | 119 | 113 | 81 | 75-100 |
|  | 119 | 91 | 99 | 107 | 109 | 51 | 75-100 |
| Stone, clay, and glass....-- | 118 | 99 | 102 | 103 | 117 | 58 | 50-75 |
| Electrical machinery------- Motor | 113 | 135 | 98 | ${ }^{94}$ | 92 | 72 | 75-100 |
| Motor vehicles.------------------ Petroleum | 110 102 | 87 96 | 98 | N.A. | 100 91 | 96 99 | $75-100$ $50-75$ |
| Highly concentrated, weakiy <br> unionized industries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemicals ----.-............-- | 89 | 137 | 107 | 87 | 121 | 59 | 25-50 |
| Low concentration, strongly unionized industries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| unionized industries: <br> Nonelectrical machinery... | 125 | 93 | 102 | 119 | 96 | 31 | 75-100 |
| Fabricated metals..-.-.-..- | 115 | 92 | 102 | 122 | 74 | 19 | 50-75 |
| Paper- | 105 | 107 | 103 | 106 | 83 | 5 | 50-75 |
| Apparel.------- | 83 | 82 | 82 | 95 | 83 | 8 | 75-100 |
| Low concentration, weakly unionized industries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Furniture..........-...----- | 106 | 96 | 96 | 99 | 77 | 7 | 25-50 |
|  | 97 | 75 | 96 | 91 | 58 | 2 | 25-50 |
| Leather. | 88 | 78 | 90 | 96 | 102 | 2 | 25-50 |
| Food-- | 86 | 83 | 104 | 107 | 90 | 22 | 25-50 |
|  | 74 | 73 | 85 | 81 | 52 | 12 | 0-25 |

Source: App. 0.
Nevertheless, at least some tentative observations may be made with respect to these figures. Perhaps the most striking are the trends in the primary metals industry. From 1947 to 1957, the wholesale price index rose to a level almost one-third higher than the price index for all manufacturing. Direct labor costs per unit rose by nearly 20 percent more, and returns to capital by 13 percent more than in all manufacturing. Yet these strong upward movements in relative prices, wages, and profit margins developed during a period in which output rose by considerably less than in manufacturing as a whole. ${ }^{14}$

Among the remaining industries within the highly concentrated, strongly unionized group, no similar clear trends are evident. In general, their price indexes rose by more than the average; this was not consistently related, however, to the movement of hourly earnings, labor costs, or returns to capital. Straight-time hourly earnings increased in all of these sectors by almost exactly the same amount as in manufacturing as a whole. In rubber and stone, clay, and glass, however, labor costs and capital returns both rose more than all manufacturing average; in electrical machinery and petroleum, on the other hand, the opposite was generally the case.

The two industries characterized by high concentration and weak union organization-tobacco and chemicals-reveal some interesting trends. In each of them, hourly earnings rose by more than the manu-

[^11]facturing average; productivity also increased sufficiently, however, that labor costs per unit rose by less than the average, particularly in chemicals. Also, the wholesale price index in these two sectors showed no significant relative upward movement (chemicals dropped considerably, in relative terms): The most striking figure which emerges, however, is the very considerable rise in total returns to capital; in both industries, these margins rose by very much more than in all manufacturing and by considerably more than any other individual sector.

Among the low concentration, strongly unionized industries, somewhat opposite trends are suggested. Once again, hourly earnings followed the all manufacturing trend; labor costs per unit, however, rose by quite a bit more than the average, except in apparel, and the same tendency is evident in the fact that prices in all of these sectors except apparel rose by more than in all manufacturing. Porfit margins, however, tended to decline.

Finally, those industries characterized both by a considerable amount of competition in the product market and by weak union organization all showed fairly similar characterstics. In general, hourly earnings and labor costs per unit rose by somewhat less than in all manufacturing; profit margins, on the other hand, fell quite substantially behind in most instances. In addition, output in these industries increased by considerably less than in manufacturing, although it will be recalled that no significant correlation was found between output, prices, and wages on the basis of year to year changes, or changes during major subperiods.

## IV. Summary

The primary purpose of the preceding discussion has been to present a body of data and to describe the statistical procedures utilized in analyzing that data as part of an evaluation of the forces underlying the postwar inflation in the United States. Among the most important of the findings of this statistical analysis are the following:

1. No important relationship was found between percent changes in straight time earnings and either percent changes in output, percent changes in production worker employment, or percent changes in output per production worker man-hour. On the other hand, the data indicated a strong interrelationship, particularly after 1951, between percent changes in straight time hourly earnings, profit levels (measures as a rate of return on stockholders' equity), and 1954 concentration ratios. These general relationships were supported by both simple and multipe cross-section and time series analyses. They were also given support by an analysis of wage "patterns" during the postwar period.
2. Based on the most recent available estimates of union strength, there was no generally applicable relationship between union strength and wage increases in various industries. While these estimates are considerably outdated, it is probable that union strength has not changed greatly in most industries over the past decade.
3. One of the factors underlying the upward movement of hourly earnings during the $1956-58$ period was the long-term contracts originating in the automobile and steel settlements of 1955 and 1956, which provided for automatic annual productivity increases and cost-
of-living adjustments through 1957, 1958, and 1959. These contracts established a pattern for several other major industries in the economy.
4. No important relationships were found between percent changes in price and percent changes in output, particularly up to 1954 ; even after 1954, the only statistically significant relationship appeared in the 1954-55 upswing. In addition, price changes were unrelated to percent changes in productivity per production worker man-hour.
5. Changes in price were most clearly related to profit levels throughout most of the postwar period. A strong relationship to changes in gross hourly earnings also developed after 1951. No consistently strong relationship was found between price changes and concentration ratios.

In closing, it should again be noted that important limitations exist with regard to the $n$ tture, scope, and comparability of the data. Nevertheless, it is hoped that the preceding discussion will provide a more complete analysis of the data than has been previously available.

## APPENDIXES

## Appendix A

Sources of Bastc Data

The data underlying the analysis of the movement of manufacturing wages and prices from 1947 to 1958 are presented in tables A-1 to A-21, for each twodigit standard industrial classification. The sources and methodology used in obtaining the data are the following:

## 1. WhOLESALE PRICE INDEXES

For all two-digit classifications except printing and publishing, transportation equipment, and instruments, wholesale price indexes were computed by recombining the appropriate wholesale price index groups and subgroups, weighted by their relative importance in 1954 . In some cases, these special indexes were computed by the Bureau of Labor Statisties for the use of the author; in others, indexes were computed by the author based upon information provided by the Bureau of Labor Statistics regarding the appropriate subgroups to be included. Most of the indexes are not completely comprehensive, in that they do not include all the wholesale price index subgroups which properly should be included; in addition, in order to minimize computations, some small subgroups were sometimes included which should properly have been excluded. The final indexes, however, comprise at least 80 percent of the total weights of items which would be represented by as accurate an index as could be constructed from current items included in the wholesale price index. The composition of each twodigit standard industrial classifications group is as follows:

| SIC oroup |  | WPI groups | Relative importance in WPI, December 1057 (based on 1854 20eights) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20. Food |  |  | 12. 73 |
| Source: Wholesale Price Index. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 14-1 | Cigarettes |  |
|  | 14-2 | Cigars |  |
|  | 14-3 | Other tobacco products |  |
| Source: Computed by author. |  |  |  |
| 22. Textile mill products |  |  | 3. 18 |
|  | 03-1 | Cotton |  |
|  | 03-2 | Wool |  |
|  | 03-3 | Manmade fiber textile products |  |
|  | 03-4 | Silk |  |
|  | Less: 03-31 | Filament yarns and fibers |  |
| Source: Computed by BLS for author. |  |  |  |
| 23. Apparel and other finished textile products.....-.-.-.-.......-. |  |  | 3. 22 |
| 03-51 Womens', misses', and juniors' <br> 03-52 Mens' and boys' <br> 03-54 Infants' and children's <br> 03-55 Underwear and nightwear |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[^12]


Data on gross hourly earnings were obtained directly from published data of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The figures are based upon reports from cooperating establishments, and pertain only to production and related workers.

Straight time earnings were derived from the gross figures by applying adjustment factors contained in the May 1950 Monthly Labor Review. The adjustment factor is designed to exclude only the premium pay for overtime at the rate of time and a half for work in excess of 40 hours per week.

## III. PRODUCTION AND :NONPRODUCTION WORKER EMPLOYMENT

Both series were derived directly from data published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The number of production workers is published directly on the basis of reports from cooperating establishments. The number of nonproduction workers was obtained by subtracting production workers from the number of total employees in each 2-digit industry.

## IV. OUTPUT

These indexes are based on the 1947 Standard Industrial Classification. All but the index for motor vehicles were provided by the Federal Reserve Board at the request of the Joint Economic Committee. They differ from the regularly published indexes of industrial production of the Reserve Board in that the latter were based on 1947 value added weights, whereas the indexes used here are based on 1954 value added weights. The 1954 weighted indexes were developed as part of the Reserve Board's testing procedures; they do not constitute official Federal Reserve Board indexes, nor does the Board necessarily endorse the use of 1954 weights.

It may also be noted that the Board has recently published revised indexes, using 1957 weights for the period beginning with January 1953, and based upon the new 1957 Standard Industrial Classifications.

The index for motor vehicles is based upon the published Federal Reserve Board index, with 1947 weights.

## V. PRODUCTIVITY

A. Outpuzt per production worker man-hour.-This series was computed by dividing the Federal Reserve Board output index (1954 weights) by an index of production worker man-hours. Production worker man-hours was computed by multiplying production worker employment by average weekly hours, as published by the BLS.
B. Output per total worker man-hour.-This series was computed by dividing the Federal Reserve Board output index ( 1954 weights) by an index of total worker man-hours. Total worker man-hours represents the sum of production worker man-hours (see A, above) plus the product of nonproduction worker employment times 40 hours per week.

## VI. profits: rates of return and margins

A. Rate of return on stockholders' equity.-The basic data on profits before and after taxes, and on stockholders' equity were obtained from the Quarterly Financial Report for Manufacturing Corporations, published by the Federal Trade Commission and Securities Exchange Commission. The entire profits and other data for each corporation are included within any given SIC group on the basis of the corporation's major source of gross sales receipts.

The series is based as nearly as possible on the sample used by the FTC-SEC during the period 1956-57. Three breaks in the sample coverage occurred in the first quarter of 1951, the first quarter of 1956, and the first quarter of 1958. In each case, the data were revised to the 1956-57 sample by linking the series on the basis of as many overlap quarters as were available. Annual profits are the sum of the four quarter figures; stockholders' equity is as of the end of the fourth quarter.
B. Profit margins, and depreciation and depletion charges per dollar of sales.Basic data on sales, and depreciation and depletion charges were obtained from FTC-SEC Quarterly Financial Reports, utilizing the same techniques described in A, above.

## VII. DIRECT LABOR COSTS PER UNIT OF OUTPUT

The indexes of direct labor costs per unit of output per production worker man-hour, and of direct labor costs per unit of output per total worker man-hour were derived by dividing the index of gross average hourly earnings by the index of productivity per production worker man-hour and per total worker man-hour, respectively.

## VIII. CONCENTRATION RATIOS

These ratios have beep computed on the basis of data contained in the report on "Concentration in American Industry," prepared for the Subcommittee on Antitrust and Monopoly of the Senate Committee on the Judiciary, 85th Congress, 1st session. The figures used were taken from table 37, "Share of product shipments accounted for by largest companies, 1954."

In arriving at the ratios used, the total values of product shipments in each 4 -digit industry (within the given 2-digit classification) showing a 50 percent or more concentration ratio for the eight largest companies constituted the numerator. The denominator represented the total value of product shipments for the entire 2-digit industry. The resulting concentration ratios, therefore, reflect the proportion of the total value of product shipments in each 2-digit group represented by "concentrated" 4 -digit industries (those in which the eight largest firms accounted for 50 percent or more of the total value of product shipments in 1954) in that group. The concentration ratios for 1954 were:
20 Food and kindred ..... 22.4
21 Tobacco ..... 100.0
22 Textile mill ..... 11. 9
23 Apparel ..... 5. 7
24 Lumber ..... 1. 5
25 Furniture and fixtures ..... 7.3
26 Paper ..... 5. 0
27 Printing and publishing ..... 2. 3
28 Chemicals ..... 59. 4
29 Petroleum refining. ..... 99.1
30 Rubber ..... 51. 2
31 Leather ..... 2.3
32 Stone, clay, glass ..... 57.9
33 Primary metals ..... 81. 1
34 Fabricated metals ..... 19.3
35 Machinery, nonelectrical ..... 31.1
36 Electrical machinery ..... 72.0
37 Transportation equipment ..... 83.2
371 Motor vehicles ..... 96.3
38 Instruments ..... 69.9
Tables $\mathrm{A}-1$ to $\mathrm{A}-21$ are presented below.

Table A-1.-Basic data: All manufacturing
[All indexes 1947-49 = 100]

|  | Wholesale price index | Index of hourly earnings |  | Index of employment |  | Indexes of pro-ductivity |  | Rates of return on stockholders equity |  | Inderes of direct labor costs |  | Index of profls plus depreciation plus depletion per dollar of sales | Index of output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross | Straight time | Production workers | Nonproduction workers | Per production worker man-hour | Per total worker man-hour | Before taxes. | After taxes | Per unit of output per total worker man-hour | Per unit of output per production worker man-hour |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 1947 | 95.9 | 93.1 | 92.7 | 103.4 | 97.4 | 96.0 | 96.7 | 24.6 | 14.9 | 96.3 | 97.0 | 102.3 | 100 |
| 1948. | 103.8 | 101.6 | 101.4 | 102.8 | 101.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 24. 6 | 15.1 | 101. 6 | 101.6 | 105. 0 | 103 |
| 1949 | 100.3 | 105. 4 | 105.8 | 93.8 | 100.8 | 105.0 | 103.7 | 18.3 | 11.3 | 101.6 | 100.4 | 92.7 | 97 |
| 1950. | 104.1 | 110.2 | 109.5 | 99.6 | 103.5 | 111.0 | 110.4 | 26.9 | 14.7 | 99.8 | ${ }^{69.3} 7$ | 119.0 | 112 |
| 1951. | 115.5 | 119.6 | 118.5 | 106.4 | 115.2 | 111.0 | 109.5 | 27.8 | 12.0 | 109.2 | 107.7 | 114.6 | 120 |
| 1952. | 112.9 | 125.6 | 124.6 | 106.3 | 124.6 | 115.0 119.0 | 112.5 | 22.2 | 10.4 | 111.6 114.6 | 109.2 11.8 | 100.6 | 136 |
| 1953. | 112.8 113.7 | 133.1 | 132.4 136.3 | 111.8 | 133.0 | 119.0 125.0 | 119.0 | 18.5 | 19.9 | 114.5 | 109.0 | 98.0 | 127 |
| 1955 | 115.0 | 141.4 | 140.9 | 105. 6 | 136.8 | 132.0 | 126.1 | 23.6 | 12.5 | 112.1 | 107.1 | 112.8 | 142 |
| 1956. | 119.5 | 148.9 | 147.9 | 106.7 | 144.8 | 136.0 | 128.6 | 22.1 | 12.0 | 115.8 | 109.5 | 109.3 | 147 |
| 1957 | 123.2 | 165.7 | 155.6 | 104.4 | 151. 2 | 141.0 | 131.1 | 19.5 | 10.7 | 118.8 | 110.4 | 102.3 | 147 |
| 1958. | 124.5 | 160.2 | 161.0 | 94. 2 | 148.8 | 147.0 | 133.1 | 15.1 | 8.4 | 120.4 | 109.0 | 92.7 | 136 |

Table A-2.-Basic data: Food and kindred products
[All indexes 1947-49 $=100$ ]

| . | Wholesale price Index | Index of hourly earnings |  | Index of employment |  | Index of productivity |  | Rates of return on stockholders equity |  | Indexes of direct labor costs |  | Index of profits plus depreciation plus depletion per dollar of sales. | Index of output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross | Straight time | Production workers | Nonpro duction workers | Per production worker man-hour | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per total } \\ \text { worker } \\ \text { man-hour } \end{gathered}$ | Before taxes | After taxes | Per unit of output per total worker man-hour | Per unit of output per production worker man-hour |  |  |
| 1947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |
|  | 88.2 | 93.2 | 92.6 | 102.1 | 95.8 | 97.1 | 98.8 | 28.6 | 16.1 | 94.3 | 96.0 | 112.3 | 101 |
| 1948 | 106.1 | 101.1 | 101.2 | 100.3 | 101.2 | 99.1 | 98.8 | 20.2 | 12.9 | - 102.3 | 102.0 | 93.8 | 09 |
| 1949 | 95.7 | 105.7 | 106.2 | 97.6 | 102.9 | 104.1 | 102.5 | 18.7 | 10.7 | 103.1 | 101.5 | 93.8 | 100 |
| 1950 | 09.8 | 110.6 | 111.2 | 96.6 | 108.4 | 108. 5 | 105.3 | 21.0 | 11.1 | 105.0 | 101.9 | 103.1 | 103 |
| 1952. | 111.4 | 119.0 | 119.4 | 96.8 | 114.6 | 109.4 | 104.9 | 17.8 | 8.0 | 113.4 | 108.8 | 84.6 | 105 |
| 1953. | 108.8 | 126.5 | 127.3 | 96.1 | 117.2 | 114.2 | 108.5 | 17.4 | 7.8 | 116.6 | 110.8 | 80.2 | 108 |
| 1954 | 104.6 | 134.0 | 135.1 | 96.0 | 120.3 | 116.4 | 109.6 | 17.8 | 8.1 | 122.3 | 115.1 | 90.8 | 109 |
| 1055 | 105.3 101.7 | 139.0 | 140.5 147.3 | 93.7 | 122.8 131.1 | 122.8 | 113.9 116.8 | 16.8 18.1 | 8.1 | 122.0 | 113.2 | 89.2 | 111 |
| 1956. | 101.7 | 152.3 | 153.4 | 93.3 | 126.8 | 132.6 | 121.9 | 18.9 | 8.8 9.3 | 124.9 | 114.9 | 90. 100.0 | 116 120 |
| 1957. | 105.6 | 160.7 | 162.1 | 90.0 | 126.6 | 139.0 | 126.1 | 17.2 | 8.5 | 127.4 | 115.6 | 92.3 | 120 |
| 1958. | 110.9 | 167.3 | 169.1 | 87.5 | 125.8 | 144.8 | 130.7 | 17.4 | 8.7 | 128.0 | 115.5 | 92.3 | 122 |

Table A-3.-Basic data: Tobacco manufactures
[All indexes 1947-49 $=100$ ]

|  | Wholesale price Index | Index of hourly earnlngs |  | Index of employment |  | Indexes of productivity |  | Rates of return on stoakholders equity |  | Indexes of direct labor costs ; |  | Index of profits plus depreciation plus depletion per dollar of sales | Index of output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross | Straight time | Production workers | Nonproduction workers | Per production worker man-hour | Per total worker man-hour | Before taxes | After taxes | Per unit of output per total worker man-hour | Per unit of output per production worker man-hour |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 1947. | 95.6 | 94.9 | 94.6 | 104.1 | 104.3 | 82.5 | 92.6 | 15.9 | 9.7 | . 102.5 | 102.6 | 87.1 | 98 |
| 1948 | 99.6 | 100.2 | 100.0 | 100.3. | 104.3 | 100.2 | 100.0 | 21.3 | 13.2 | $\cdots 100.2$ | 100.0 | 107. 1 | 101 |
| 1949. | 104.9 | 104.8 | 105.4 | 95.6 | 91.3 | 108.3 | 108.4 | 19.3 | 12.0 | -98.7 | 98.8 | 105.8 | 101 |
| 1950. | 107.0 | 112.9 | 112.9 | 89.0 | 117.4 | 115.0 | 112.5 | - 20.8 | 11.3 | - 100.4 | 98.2 | 115.8 | 102 |
| 1961. | 110.4 | 119.2 | 119.3 | 90.3 | 113. 5 | 118.7 | 116.7 | 21.2 | 9.3 | 102.1 | 100.4 | 113.3 | 108 |
| 1952 | 111.3 | 123.4 | 123.6 | 91.5 | 116.1 | 121.8 | 119.6 | 19.3 22.4 | 8.1 9.1 | 103.2 108.6 | 101.3 | 117.1 | 112 |
| 1953. | 119.1. | 130.8 | 131.2 137.6 | 90.0 89.6 | 109.6 112.2 | 122.3 118.8 | 120.4 | 22.4 20.9 | 9.18 | 108.6 | 107.0 115.4 | 117.0 | 105 |
| 1955. | 120.8 | 140.3 | 139.8 | 88.8 | 109.6 | 119.8 | 118.1 | 23.6 | 11.1 | 118.8 | 117.1 | 134.4 | 108 |
| 1956. | 121.0 | 157.9 | 152.7 | 84.7 | 112.2 | 128.7 | 126.1 | 24.1 | 11.5 | 120.5 | 118.0 | 136.9 | 111 |
| 1957. | 126.4 | 160.3 | 161.3 | 79.9 | 126. 5 | 142.5 | 137.1 | . 25.6 | 12.3 | 116.9 | 112.5 | 141.9 | 115 |
| 1958. | 131.0 | 168.8 | 168.8 | 75.7 | 121.3 | 158.6 | 152.5 | 27.4 | 13.1 | 110.7 | 106.4 | 148.1 | 123 |

Table A-4.-Basic data: Textile mill products
[All Indexes 1947-49=100]

|  |  | Index of hourly earnings |  | Index of employment |  | Index of productivity |  | Rates of return on stockholders equity |  | Indexes of dlrect labor costs |  | Index of profits plus deprecia tion plus depletion per dollar of sales | Index of output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross | Straight | Production workers | Nonproduction workers | Per production worker man-hour | Per total worker man-hour | Before taxes | After taxes | Per unlt of output per total worker man-hour | Per unit of output per production worter man-hour |  |  |
| 1947. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 1948 | 105. 9 | 92.1 102.8 | 91.9 102.7 | 102.5 | 95.8 | 94.9 | 95.4 | 33.2 | 15.9 | 96.5 | 97.0 | 115.8 | 99 |
| 1949. | 105.9 | 105.1 | 102.7 | 104.7 92.8 | 101.5 | 99.4 1067 | 99.7 105.7 | 32.6 | 15.9 | 103.1 | 103.4 | 115.8 | 105 |
| 1050 | 101. 101 | 109.3 | 109.4 | 98.8 98.2 | 102.7 | 106.7 109.0 | 105.7 108.5 | 13.9 | 6.5 | 99.4 | 98. 5 | 68.8 | 96 |
| 1951 | 115.9 | 117.6 | 117.1 | 96.1 | 111.7 | 109.0 110.5 | 108.5 109.2 | 18.0 | 10.6 | 100.7 | 100.3 | 94.8 | 109 |
| 1952. | 98.9 | 120.2 | 119.8 | 90.1 | 109.7 | 110.5 115.9 | 109.2 | 18.9 9.6 | 6.9 3.6 | 107.7 | 106.4 | 77.4 | 106 |
| 1953. | 95.4 | 121.1 | 120.7 | 89.2 | 110.3 | 116.9 119.2 | 114.3 117.4 | 9.6 10.0 | 3.6 4.0 | 105.2 | 103.7 | 48.1 | 105 |
| 1954. | 91.8 | 120.2 | 120.7 | 79.9 | 108.1 | 127.1 | 117.4 | 10.0 6.3 | 4. 1.6 | 103. 2 | 101.6 94.6 | 63.8 39.1 | 107 |
| 1955. | 92.2 | 122.9 | 121.6 | 80.5 | 107.6 | 132.5 | 129.9 | 11.0 | 1. 6 | 97.0 94.6 | ${ }^{94.8} 8$ | 56.3 | 110 |
| 1956 | 91.7 | 128.2 | 126.1 | 79.0 | 105.8 | 137.9 | 135.0 | 11.6 | 5.7 | 95.0 | 93.0 | 60.3 | 111 |
| 1957. | 91.5 | 132.6 | 131.5 | 74.7 | 106.0 | 141.8 | 137.9 | 9.1 | 4.2 | 96.2 | 93.5 | 63.0 | 106 |
| 1958. | 88.0 | 133.5 | 132.4 | 69.6 | 104.6 | 149.0 | 144.0 | 7.9 | 3.7 | 92.7 | 89.6 | 50.5 | 103 |

Table A-5.-Basic data: Apparel and other finished textile products
[All indexes 1947-49=100]

|  |  | Wholesale price index | Index of hourly earnings |  | Index of employ* ment |  | Indexes of productivity |  | Rates of return on stockholders equity |  | Indexes of direct labor costs |  | Index of profits plus deprecia tion plus depletion per dollar of sales | Index of output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gross | Straight time | Production workers | Nonproduction workers | Per production worker man-hour | Per total worker man-hour | Before taxes | After taxes | Per unit of output per total worke r man-hour | Per unit of output per production worker man-hour |  |  |
| 1947 |  | 100.7 | 97.1 |  | 98.9 | 92.4 | 97.5 | 98.3 | Percent | Percent | 98.8 |  | 137.1 | 97 |
| 1948. |  | 103.2 | 102.0 | 101.7 | 101.4 | 102.4 | 100.3 | 100.2 | 15.6 | 6.2 | 101.8 | 101.7 | 93.1 | 102 |
| 1949 |  | 96.1 | 100.9 | 101.7 | 99.7 | 105.1 | 102.2 | 101.5 | 9.9 | 3.8 | 99.4 | 98.7 | 69.8 | 101 |
| 1950 |  | 96.7 | 103.5 | 103.5 | 102.3 | 107.8 | 106.2 | 105. 5 | 13.4 | 5.0 | 98.1 | 97.5 | 93.1 | 108 |
| 1951. |  | 104.4 | 111.3 | 112.2 | 102.6 | 110.2 | 103.9 | 103.1 | 9.2 | 2.7 | 108.0 | 107.1 | 67.2 | 106 |
| 1952 |  | 101.2 | 112.2 | 111.3 | 103.2 | 113.4 | 106. 1 | 105. 2 | 11. 6 | 4. 6 | 106.7 | 105.7 | 77.6 | 111 |
| 1953 |  | 100.6 | 114.8 | 113.9 | 105.9 | 116.7 | 105.8 | 104.8 | 11.8 | 5.1 | 109.5 | 108.5 | 82.8 | 113 |
| 1954 |  | 100.1 | 116. 5 | 117.4 | 100.3 | 114.2 | 104.4 | 103.3 | 10.3 | 4.4 | 112.8 | 111.6 | 77.6 | 109 |
| 1955 |  | 100.3 | 116. 5 | 115.7 | 103.4 | 117.1 | 114.4 | 113.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 103.1 | 101.8 | 90.5 | 120 |
| 1956 |  | 101.7 | 125.1 | 124.3 | 103.2 | 119.1 | 116.0 | 114.3 | 16.7 | 8.2 | 109.4 | 107.8 | 103.4 | 121 |
| 1957 |  | 101. 8 | 128.6 | 127.8 | 102.2 | 121.5 | 116.7 | 114.4 | 13.1 | 6. 2 | 112.4 | 110.2 | 85.3 | 119 |
| 1958. |  | 101.6 | 130.3 | 129.6 | 98.6 | 117.2 | 121.0 | 118.3 | 11.7 | 5.0 | 110.1 | 107.7 | 75.0 | 117 |

Table A-6.-Basic data: Lumber and wood products
[All indexes 1947-49=100]


Table A-7.-Basic data: Furniture and fixtures
[All Indexes 1947-49=100]

|  | Wholesale price index | Index of hourly earnings |  | Index of employment |  | Index of productivity |  | Rates of return on stockholders equity |  | Indexes of direct labor costs |  | Index of profits plus depreciation plus depletion per dollar of sales | Index of output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross | Straight | Production workers | Nonproduction workers | Per production worker man-hour | Per total worker man-hour | Before taxes | After taxes | Per unit of output per total worker man-hour | Per unit of output per production worker man-hour |  |  |
| 1947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 1948. | 102.3 | 101.5 | 101.5 | 104.6 | 96.0 | 96.9 | 97.7 | 32.5 |  | 95.6 | 96.4 | 116.3 | 100 |
| 1949 | 102.3 | 105.1 | 105.8 | 104. 1 | 100.8 103.2 | 104.2 | 99.8 102.7 | 30.8 16.5 | 19.8 | 101.7 | 102.1 | 108.4 | 104 |
| 1950 | 106.5 | 109.1 | 108.5 | 108.7 | 115.2 | 105.2 | 104.8 | 29.8 | 9.8 | 102.3 | 100.9 | 75.3 | 96 |
| 1951. | 118.7 | 118.4 | 118.2 | 105.2 | 121.4 | 104.8 | 103.0 | 28.3 | 18.1 | 104. 1 | 103.7 | 108.1 | 117 |
| 1952. | 115.9 | 125.2 | 124.4 | 104.7 | 125.0 | 107.4 | 105.2 | 22.3 | 12.8 | 116.0 | 113.0 | 102.7 | 111 |
| 1953. | 117.1 | 131.1 | 131.5 | 108.3 | 131.3 | 109.7 | 107.0 | 21.6 | 9.5 | 122.5 | 119.6 | 84.4 | 114 |
| 1954. | 117.2 | 133.7 | 135.0 | 98.6 | 131.5 | 128.5 | 123.1 | 15.1 | 6.7 | 108.6 | 104.0 | 72.1 | 119 |
| 1955. | 119.2 | 137.9 | 137.6 | 105.2 | 137.8 | 132.5 | 128.0 | 21.0 | 10.2 | 107.7 | 104.1 | 88.4 | 124 |
| 1956. | 125.6 | 143.9 | 144.7 | 108.1 | 146.2 | 134.7 | 129.1 | 23.1 | 11.4 | 111.5 | 106.8 | 81.4 92.4 | 141 |
| 1957 | 130.6 | 149.0 | 150.0 | 106.4 | 147.4 | 133.8 | 127.3 | 17.9 | 8.5 | 117.0 | 111.4 | 78. 7 | 145 |
| 1958. | 132.1 | 151.6 | 152.6 | 100.7 | 145.4 | 136.0 | 128.2 | 13.6 | 6.2 | 118.3 | 111.5 | 68.4 | 132 |

Table A-8.-Basic data: Paper and allied products

Table_A-9.-Basic data: Printing and publishing
[All indexes $1947-49=100]$

${ }^{1}$ Not available.

Table A-10.—Basic data: Chemicals and allied products
[All indexes 1947-49=100]

|  | Wholesale price Index | Index of hourly earnings |  | Index of employment |  | Index of productivity |  | Rates of return on stockholders equity |  | Indexes of direct labor costs |  | Index of profts plus doprecistion plus depletlon per dollar of sales | Index of output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross | Straight time | Productlon workers | Nonproduction workers | Per production worker man-hour | Per total worker man-hour | Before taxes | After taxes | Per unit of output per total worker man-hour | Por unit of output per production worker man-hour |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 1947. | 101.4 | 92.0 | 91.7 | 102.9 | 96.4 | 93.0 | 93.6 | 24.4 | 14.8 | 98.3 | 98.9 | 100.2 | 96 |
| 1948. | 103.8 | 101.2 | 101.0 | 102.3 | 101.5 | 100.3 | 99.6 | 25.6 | 15.2 | 101.6 | 100.9 | 100.2 | 103 |
| 1949 | 94.8 | 108.8 | 107.3 | 94.8 | 102. 1 | 107.4 | 107.3 | 20.1 | 12.3 | 09.5 | 99.4 | 99.6 | 101 |
| 1950. | 96.3 | 112.8 | 112.7 | 96.8 | 107.2 | 127.6 | 123.2 | 30.6 | 16.4 | 91.6 | 88.4 | 133.6 | 124 |
| 1951. | 110.0 | 121.7 | 121.2 | 105.0 | 121.8 | 131.6 | 125.5 | 31.3 | 12.3 | 97.0 | 92.5 | 129.0 | 139 |
| 1952. | 104.5 | 127.7 | 128.2 | 105.2 | 132.9 | 137.4 | 127.5 | 25.2 | 11.0 | 100.2 | 92.9 | 115.6 | 144 |
| 1953. | 103.6 | 136.7 | 136.8 | 108.3 | 145.2 | 142.4 | 129.9 | 25.1 | 11.0 | 105.2 | 96.0 | 117.6 | 154 |
| 1854. | 107.0 | 142.6 | 143.0 | 104.2 | 147.8 | 147.7 | 132.2 | 22.4 | 11.7 | 107.9 | 96.5 | 115.6 | 153 |
| 1955. | 108.6 | 148. 6 | 148.4 | 107.0 | 150.9 | 166. 1 | 149.4 | 27.9 | 14.7 | 99.5 | 89.5 | 134.3 | 178 |
| 1956. | 107.2 | 157.6 | 157.8 | 108.4 | 159.6 | 176.3 | 156.2 | 25.8 | 13.7 | 100.9 | 89.4 | 127.6 | 191 |
| 1957. | 109.5 | 165.8 | 166.3 | 106.8 | 170.9 | 186.9 | 160.9 | 24.5 | 13.0 | 103.0 | 88.7 | 123.6 | 199 |
| 1958. | 110.4 | 172.5 | 173.3 | 100.4 | 176.1 | 200.4 | 166.4 | 20.1 | 11.0 | 103.7 | 86.1 | 113.6 | 109 |

Table A-11.-Basic data: Petroleum and related:products
[All indexes 1947-49 $=100$ ]


Table A-12.-Basic data: Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products
[All indexes, 1947-49=100]

|  | Wholesale price index | Index of houriy earnings |  | Index of employment |  | Index of productivity |  | Rates of return on stockholders equity |  | Indexes of direct labor costs |  | Index of profits plus depreciation plus depletion per dollar of sales | Index of output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ |  | Gross | Straight time | Production workers | Nonproduction workers | Per production worker man-hour | Per total worker man-hour | Before taxes | After taxes | Per unit of output per total worker man-hour | Per unit of output per production worker man-hour |  |  |
| - . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 1947 | 98.0 | 95.8 | 95.6 | 108.0 | 102.7 | 96.4 | 97.6 | 24.2 | 12.2 | 98.2 | 09.4 | 109.2 | 106 |
| 1948. | 101.8 | 100.3 | 100.5 | 102.1 | 100.7 | 100.1 | 100.3 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 100.0 | 100.2 | 105.1 | 102 |
| 1949. | 100.2 | 103.9 | 104.0 | 89.9 | 96.6 | 105.6 | :- 103.6 | 13. 6 | 8. 6 | 100.3 | 98.4 | 85.7 | 93 |
| 1950 | - 112.0 | 108.5 | 106.8 | 97.2 | 98. 6 | 109.1 | 109.7 | 29.6 | 16.1 | 98.9 | 09.5 | 127.6 | 111 |
| 1951 | 132.5 | 116. 4 | 115.2 | 104.5 | 106.0 | 106. 9 | - 107.3 | 36.9 | 14.4 | 108.5 | 108.9 | 135. 7 | 116 |
| 1952 | 128.4 | 126.1 | 124.4 | 103.9 | 113.0 | 108. 1 | 107.1 | 27.1 | 10.9 | 117.7 | 116. 7 | 113.3 | 117 |
| 1953 | 125.7 | 132.9 | 131.4 | 108.3 | 118.2 | 112.9 | 111.6 | 26.0 | 11.2 | 119.1 | 117.7 | 110.2 | 126 |
| 1954. | 127.7 | 135.7 | 134.9 | 95.0 | 113.6 | 122.3 | - 118.2 | 20.6 | 10.5 | 114.8 | 111.0 | 107.1 | 118 |
| 1955. | 140.2 | 144.0 | 140.5 | 105.4 | 117.5 | 122.7 | 121.6 | 23.0 | 12.6 | 118.4 | 117.4 | 116.3 | 138 |
| 1956. | 145.6 | 149.5 | 148. 2 | 103. 6 | 119.4. | 123.8 | 120.9 | 23.6 | 11.8 | 123.7 | 120.8 | 116.3 | 132 |
| 1957 | 146. 5 | 156.7 | 153.9 | 101. 1 | 121.8 | 126. 0 | 122.1 | 21.1 | 10.9 | 127.5 | 123.6 | 111.2 | 132 |
| 1958. | 148.2 | 161.9 | 161.6 | 91.4 | 120.4 | 133.6 | 126.0 | 19.0 | 9.3 | 128.5 | 121.2 | 109.2 | 123 |

Table A-13.-Basic data: Leather and leather products
[All indexes, 1047-49=100]



Table A-15.-Basic data: Primary metal industries
[All indexes $1947-49=100$ ]

| * |  | Wholesale price index | Index of hourly earnings |  | Index of employ. ment |  | Indexes of productivity |  | Rates of return on stockholders equity |  | Indexes of direct labor costs |  | Index of profits plus depreciatlon plus deplotion per dollar of sales | Index of output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross | Straight time | Production workers | Nonproduction workers | Per production worker man-hour | Per total worker man-hour | Before taxes | After taxes | Per unit of output per total worker man-hour | Per unit of output per production worker man-hour |  |  |
| 1947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 1948 |  | 102.4 | 82.6 101.5 | 92.2 101.1 | 104.3 | 98.5 | 97.9 | 98.7 | 18.2 | 11. 1 | 93.8 | 94.6 | 97.4 | 103 |
| 1949 |  | 106.8 | 105.9 | 106.6 | 105.0 90.6 | 101.7 99.8 | 100.2 | 100.9 | 22.7 16.1 | 13.1 9.6 | 100.6 | 101.3 | 105.1. | 107 |
| 1950 |  | 111.7 | 109.9 | 108.7 | 100.6 | 102.3 | 109.5 | 109.7 | 27.2 | 9.6 14.6 | 105.5 100.2 | 103.5 100.4 | 97.4. | 90 |
| 1951. |  | 123.8 | 120.7 | 118.9 | 110.1 | 116.2 | 107.9 | 108.2 | 32.3 | 12.8 | 111.6 | 111.9 | 129.5 | 125 |
| 1952 |  | 125.1 | 126.8 | 125.7 | 101.4 | 122.1 | 108.0 | 106.2 | 18.9 | 9.5 | 119.4 | 117.4 | 96.8 : | 113 |
| 1953. |  | 132.3 | 137.4 | 136.0 | 109.9 | 131.1 | 114.1 | 112.4 | 24.2 | 10.8 | 122.2 | 120.4 | 113.5 | 130 |
| 1954. |  | 136.1 | 139.4 | 140.1 | 95.9 | 130.8 | 112.7 | 108.3 | 16.5 | 8.8 | 128.7 | 123.7 | 110.0 | 106 |
| 1955 |  | 145.0 | 149.4 | 147.6 | 105.4 | 134.9 | 124.5 | 122.2 | 26.8 | 13.9 | 122.3 | 120.0 | $130.9{ }^{\prime}$ | 137 |
| 1956 |  | 157.8 | 157.4 | 156.5 | 106. 6 | 143.4 | 122.1 | 118.6 | 25.7 | 13.6 | 132.7 | 128.9 | 126.0 | 135 |
| 1957 |  | 163.0 | 166.8 | 166.7 | 105. 1 | 150.5 | 123.6 | 117.8 | 20.0 | 10.5 | 141.6 | 135.0 | 115.5 | 130 |
| 1958 |  | 164.2 | 176.8 | 178.4 | 86.5 | 146.9 | 122.0 | 112.8 | 12.7 | 6.7 | 156.7 | 144.9 | 102.3 | 102 |

Table A-16.-Basic data: Fabricated metal products

Table A-17.-Basic data: Machinery, except electrical

## [All indexes $1947-49=100$ ]

|  | Wholesale price fndex | Index of hourly earnings |  | Index of employment |  | Indexes of productivity |  | Rates of return on stockholders equity |  | Indexes of direct labor costs |  | Index of profts plus depreciation plus deplotion per dollar of sales | Index of output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross | Straight time | Production workers | Nonproduction workers | Per production worker man-hour | Per total worker man-hour | Before taxes | After taxes | Per unit of output per total worker man-hour | Per unit of output per production worker man-hour |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 1948. | 101.1 | 93.1 | 92.8 | 106. 6 | 99.7 | 96.1 | 97.7 | 26.9 | 16.1 | 95.3 | 96.9 | 103.2 | 104 |
| 1949. | 106.6 | 105.5 | 108.4 | 105.5 88.0 | 103.5 96.9 | 99.5 105.6 | 100.1 | 19.8 | 16.6 11.9 | 101.2 | 101.8 99 | 102.4 94.4 | 106 90 |
| 1950 | 110.2 | 110.9 | 110.0 | 91.7 | 97.8 | 109.5 | 108.6 | 26.2 | 14.4 | 102. 1 | 101.3 | 115.1 | 103 |
| 1951. | 122.5 | 121.4 | 118.6 | 109.8 | 112.3 | 107.0 | 107.9 | 33.2 | 13.2 | 112.5 | 113.5 | 119.0 | 125 |
| 1952 | 123.1 | 128.3 | 126.4 | 112.6 | 120.9 | 112.3 | 111.8 | 28.6 | 11.6 | 114.8 | 114.2 | 104.0 | 133 |
| 1953. | 125.7 | 135. 2 | 133.6 | 114.6 | 127.3 | 116.9 | 115.2 | 24.1 | 10.0 | 117.4 | 115.7 | 93.7 | 139 |
| 1954. | 127.8 | 138. 7 | 139.3 | 101.3 | 127.2 | 118.0 | 111.7 | 18.7 | 8.9 | 124.2 | 117.5 | 89.7 | 119 |
| 1955. | 133.3 | 144.2 | 142.8 | 103.7 | 130.1 | 120.4 | 114.9 | 21.7 | 10.5 | 125.5 | 119.8 | 100.8 | 128 |
| 1956 | 144.2 | 152.4 | 151.4 | 112.5 | 142.0 | 122.9 | 117.3 | 25.1 | 12.4 | 129.9 | 124.0 | 106.3 | 143 |
| 1957. | 153.5 | 158.7 | 159.3 | 110.4 | 151.6 | 121.6 | 112.7 | 21.0 | 10.4 | 140.8 | 130.5 | 98.4 | 135 |
| 1958. | 157.1 | 164.2 | 166.4 | 91.4 | 145.3 | 128.4 | 112.9 | 14.7 | 7.0 | 145.4 | 127.9 | 88.1 | 114 |

Table A-18.-Basic data: Electrical machinery

Table A-19.-Basic data: Transportation equipment
[All indexes 1947-49 $=100$ ]

Table A-20.-Basic data: Motor vehicles and equipment

Table A-21.-Basic data: Instruments
[All indexes 1947-49=100]

|  | Wholesale price index | Index of hourly earnings |  | Index of employment |  | Indexesfof productivity |  | Rates of return on stockholders equity |  | Indexes of direct labor costs |  | Index of proflts plus depreciation plus depletion per dollar of sales | Index of output |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gross | Straight | Production workers | Nonproduction workers | Per production worker man-hour | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per total } \\ \text { worker } \\ \text { man-hour } \end{gathered}$ | Before taxes | After taxes | Per unit of output per total worker man-hour | Per unit of output per production worker man-hour |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| 1948 | (1) | 92.7 1013 | 92.2 101.6 | 106.7 | 96.7 | 95.9 | 98.2 | 21.5 | 13.6 | 94.4 | 96.7 | (1) | 103 |
| 1949. | (1) | 106.1 | 106.3 | 102.7 | 101.7 | 103.6 | 100.5 | 18.4 | 11.4 | 99.9 105.6 | 102. 4 | (1) | 104 |
| 1950. | (1) | 112.1 | 110.9 | 94.8 | 106. 7 | 110.6 | 108.2 | 28.5 | 15.7 | 103.6 | 101.4 | (b) | 108 |
| 1951. | (1) | 123.1 | 121.1 | 111.6 | 125.7 | 105.6 | 103.9 | 29.3 | 11.7 | 118.5 | 116.6 | (1) | 124 |
| 1952. | (1) | 130.7 | 128.9 | 117.3 | 137.8 | 120.6 | 117.2 | 27.1 | 10.5 | 111.5 | 108.4 | (1) | 148 |
| 1953. | (1) | 135.2 | 133.6 | 125.6 | 151.8 | 123.9 | 119.2 | 25.8 | 10.0 | 113.4 | 109.1 | (1) | 161 |
| 1954 | (1) | 139.0 | 139.1 | 116.1 | 158.0 | 134.5 | 123.9 | 23.3 | 11.0 | 112.2 | 103.3 | (1) | 156 |
| 1955 | (1) | 145. 1 | 144.5 | 115.4 | 162.0 | 142.0 | 130.4 | 24.0 | 11.1 | 111.3 | 102. 2 | (1) | 167 |
| 1956 | (1) | 152.7 | 151.6 | 118.7 | 175.5 | 147.9 | 133.7 | 24.9 | 11.9 | 114.2 | 103.2 | (1) | 179 |
| 1957 | (1) | 160.3 | 160.2 | 116.6 | 186.2 | 156.7 | 137.7 | 23.6 | 11.7 | 116.4 | 102.3 | (1) | 184 |
| 1958. | (1) | 166.4 | 166.4 | 105.8 | 183.2 | 164.0 | 139.7 | 21.1 | 10.1 | 119.1 | 101.5 | (1) | 173 |

${ }^{1}$ Not available.

## Appendix B

## Cross-Section Correlation Matrixes

T'able B-1.—Matrixes of simple cross-section correlation coefficients: Wages ( $N=19$ )

| Variable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1947-48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings. | 1.0 | 0.417 | -0.248 | 0.195 | 0.012 | 0.138 | 0.226 |
| 2. Percent change: Production workers employment |  |  | $-.357$ | . 609 | . 121 | . 150 | $-.276$ |
| 3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. |  |  |  | . 486 | . 394 | . 367 | 396 |
| 4. Percent change: Output.-...................- |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 463 | . 472 | . 177 |
| 5. Average return on equity before taxes. |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 873 | $-.108$ |
| 6. Average return on equity after taxes. 7. Concentration ratio............. |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.071 |
|  | 1948-49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings. | 1.0 | -0.050 | 0.162 | 0.024 | 0.616 | 0.777 | 0.336 |
| 2. Percent change: Production worker employment |  | 1.0 | -. 318 | . 857 | -. 057 | -. 002 | $-.202$ |
| 3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. |  |  | 1.0 | . 186 | . 444 | . 282 | . 107 |
|  |  |  |  | 1.0 | .237 | . 203 | $-.103$ |
| 5. Average return on equity before taxes |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 940 | . 447 |
|  | 1949-50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings | 1.0 | $-0.563$ | 0.362 | -0.372 | -0. C87 | $-0.097$ | 0.033 |
| 2. Percent change: Production worker employment |  | 1.0 | $-.457$ | . 779 | . 591 | . 518 | $-.191$ |
| 3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour |  |  | 1.0 | . 142 | -. 052 | . 090 | . 293 |
| 4. Percent change: Ontput |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 654 | . 653 | . 085 |
| 5. A verage return on equity before taxes |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 902 | . 307 |
| 6. Average return on equity after taxes...---- |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 340 |
|  | 1950-51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings. | 1.0 | 0.171 | -0.247 | 0.078 | 0.178 | 0.127 | 0.045 |
| 2. Percent change: Production worker employment |  | 1.0 | -. 464 | . 908 | . 715 | . 554 | . 597 |
| 3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour. |  | . | 1.0 | -. 085 | $-.254$ | . 017 | . 093 |
| 4. Percent change: Output. |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 631 | . 588 | . 722 |
| 5. Average return on equity before taxes |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 869 | . 361 |
| 6. Average return on equity after taxes. |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 371 |
| 7. Concentration ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |
|  | 1951-52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Percent change: Straight-time hourly earnings. | 1.0 | 0.087 | 0.118 | 0.039 | 0.598 | 0.707 | 0.283 |
| 2. Percent change: Production worker employment. |  | 1.0 | . 431 | . 870 | . 620 | . 369 | . 328 |
| 3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour |  |  | 1.0 | . 793 | . 284 | . 081 | . 389 |
| 4. Percent change: Output |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 491 | . 210 | . 330 |
| 5. Average return on equity before taxes |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 821 | . 458 |
| 6. Average return on equity after taxes. |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | .463 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |

Table B-1.-Matrixes of simple cross-section correlation coefficients: Wages ( $N=19$ )-Continued


Table B-1.—Matrixes of simple cross-section correlation coefficients: Wages ( $N=19$ ) -Continued

| Variable | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1957-58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Percent change: $\delta$ Straight-time hourly earnings. | 1.0 | -0.576 | 0.049 | -0.440 | 0.392 | 0.484 | 0.549 |
| 2. Percent change: Production worker employment |  |  | . 079 | . 880 | $-.009$ | $-.030$ | - 1.538 |
| 3. Percent change: Output per production worker man-hour |  |  |  | . 527 | . 462 | . 359 | . 121 |
| 4. Percent change: Output |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 222 | . .171 | -. 349 |
| 5. Average return on equity before taxes |  |  |  |  | 1.0 : | . 883 | . 506 |
| 6. Average return on equity after taxes. <br> 7. Concentration ratio. |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | . 698 |

Table B-2.—Matrixes-of simple-cross-section-correlation coefficients: Wholesale prices ( $N=16$ )


Table B-2.-Matrixes of simple cross-section correlation coefficisnts: Wholesale prices ( $N=16$ ) -Continued


Table B-2.-Matrixes of simple cross-section correlation coefficients: Wholesales ( $N=19$ )—Continued


## Appendix C

## Trends in Indiyidual Industries Relative to All Manufacturing

In order to compare the movements of prices, wages, labor costs, and returns to capital in each 2-digit manufacturing industry, indexes were computed showing the ratio between the index of these variables in each industry and the index of the same variables in all manufacturing. The resulting indexes are presented in tables $\mathrm{C}-1$ to $\mathrm{C}-20$. A brief description of each of them follows.

1. Wholesale Price Index $=\frac{\text { Wholesale Price Index: Industry }}{\text { Wholesale Price Index: Manufacturing }}$

The basic wholesale price indexes are given in appendix A.

# Index of Straight Time Hourly Earnings: <br> 2. Straight Time Hourly Earnings $=\frac{\text { Industry }}{\text { Index of Straight Time Hourly Earnings: }}$ Manufacturing 

3. Output $=\frac{\text { Index of Output: Industry }}{\text { Index of Output: Manufacturing }}$

The basic indexes of output are given in appendix A.
Index of Output per Total Worker
4. Output Per Total Worker Man-Hour $=\frac{\text { Man-Hour: Industry }}{}$

Index of Output per Total Worker Man-Hour: Manufacturing
The basic indexes of output per total worker man-hour are given in appendix $A$.
5. Direct Labor Costs Per Unit of Output Per Total Worker Man-Hour $=\frac{\text { Index of Direct Labor Costs/Unit of Output/TWMH: Industry }}{\text { Index of Direct Labor Costs/Unit of Output/TWMH: Manufacturing }}$
Direct labor costs were measured by the index of gross hourly earnings of production workers; no data are available for hourly costs of both production and nonproduction workers. As à result, the index of direct labor costs probably understates the rate of increase in total labor costs, since nonproduction workers have increased considerably faster than production workers and since the average hourly compensation rate for nonproduction workers is probably higher than the average gross hourly earnings of production workers.

> Index of Profits Plus Depreciation Plus Depletion Per Dollar of Sales: Industr Index of Profits Plus Depreciation Plus Depletion Per Dollar of Sales: Manufacturing
6. Capital Costs Per Dollar of Sales $=\frac{\text { Depletion Per Dollar of Sales: Industry }}{\text { Index of Profits Plus Depreciation Plus }}$

The basic indexes of profits plus depreciation plus depletion per dollar of sales are given in appendix A.
It should be noted that the same limitations discussed in appendix $A$ with regard to comparability of scope and classification method are applicable in equal degree to the data presented here. It should also be noted that one important part of costs-viz, costs of materials per unit-are not available. In a few instances, indirect taxes may also represent a fairly important part of the final price not accounted for by the costs included above.

- Tables $\mathrm{C}-1$ to $\mathrm{C}-20$ follow:

Table C-1.-Ratios: Food and kindred products to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale Price Index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Straight } \\ & \text { time hourly } \\ & \text { earnings } \end{aligned}$ | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 102.4 | 99.9 | 109.8 | 102.2 | 101.0 | 97.9 |
| 1948 | 102.2 | 99.8 | 89:3 | 98.8 | 96.1 | 100.7 |
| 1949 | 95.4 | 100.4 | 101.2 | 98.8 | 103.0 | 101.5 |
| 1950 | 95.9 | 101.6 | 86.6 | 95.4 | 92.8 | 105.2 |
| 1951. | 96.5 | 100.8 | 93.8 | 95.8 | 87.5 | 103.8 |
| 1952 | 96.4 | 102.2 | 86:5 | 96.4 | 87.1 | 104.5 |
| 1953 | 92.7 | 102.0 | 90.3 | 94.4 | 81.3 | 106.7 |
| 1954 | 92.6 | 103.1 | 91.0 | 95.7 | 88.8 | 106.6 |
| 1955. | 88.4 | 104.5 | 84.6 . | 92.6 | 82.3 | 111.2 |
| 1956. | 85.1 | 103.7 | 91.5 | 94.8 | 82.8 | 107.9 |
| 1957. | 85.7 | 104.2 . | 90.2 | 96.2 | 82.8 | 107.2 |
| 1958 | 89.1 | 105.0 | 99.6 | 88.2 | 91.0 | 106.3 |

Table C-2.-Ratios: Tobacco products to all'manufacturing

| . | Wholesale Price Index | Straight time hourly earnings | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 99.7 | 102.0 | 85.1 | 95.8 | 98.0 | 106:4 |
| 1948. | 96.0 | 98.6 | 102.0 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 98.6 |
| 1949 | 104. 6 | 99.6 | 114.1 | 104.5 | 104. 1 | 95.2 |
| 1950 | 102.8 | 103.1. | 97.3 | 101.9 | 91.9 | 100.6 |
| 1951 | 95.6 | 100.7 | 98.9 | 106.6 | 90.0 | 93.5 |
| 1952 | 98.6 | 99.2 | 102.4 | 106.3 | 90.3 | 92.5 |
| 1953. | 105.6 | 99.1 | 116.3 | 103.7 | 82.1 | 94.8 |
| 1954 | 106.2 | 101.0 | 120.7 | 98.0 | 84.0 | 102.7 |
| 1955. | 105.0 | 99.2 | 119.1 | 93.7 | 76.6 | 106.0 |
| 1956. | 101.3 | 103.2 | 125.3 | 98.1 | 76.6 | 104.1 |
| 1957. | 102.6 | 103.7 | 138.7 | 104.6 | 79.3 | 98.4 |
| 1958. | 105.2 | 104.8 | 159.8 | 114.6 | 91.8 | 91.9 |

Table C-3.-Ratios: Textiles to all manufacturing


Table C-4.-Ratios: Apparel to all manufacturing


Table C-5.-Ratios: Lumber to all manufacturing

|  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Table C-6.-Ratios: Furniture and fixtures to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale price index | Straight time hourly earnings | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 99.4 | 99.9 | 113.7 | 101.0 | 100.0 | 99.3 |
| 1948. | 98.6 | 100.1 | 103.2 | 99.8 | 101.0 | 100.1 |
| 1949 | 102.0 | 100.1 | 81.2 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 100.7 |
| 1950 | 102.3 | 99.1 | 89.2 | 94.9 | 105. 4 | 104. 3 |
| 1951 | 102.8 | 99.7 | 89.6 | 94.1 | 92.5 | 105.3 |
| 1952. | 102.7 | 99.8 | 84.7 | 93.5 | 91.9 | 106.6 |
| 1953 | 103.8 | 99.3 | 81.6 | 92.2 | 88.8 | 106.9 |
| 1954 | 103.1 | 99.0 | 73.4 | 103.4 | 99.2 | 94.8 |
| 1955. | 103. 7 | 97.7 | 73.9 | 101.5 | 100.0 | 96.1 |
| 1956 | 105.1 | 97.8 | 84.5 | 100.4 | 100.0 | 96.3 |
| 1957 | 106.0 | 96.4 | 76.9 | 97.1 | 95.9 | 98.5 |
| 1958. | 106.1 | 94.8 | 73.8 | 96.3 | 98.5 | 98.3 |

Table C-7.-Ratios: Paper and products to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale Price Index | Straight time hourly earnings | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947. | 102.8 | 88.4 | 116.4 | 102.1 | 100.0 | 96.8 |
| 1948 | 99.1 | 100.5 | 93.4 | 99.6 | 99.0 | 100.8 |
| 1949 | 98.2 | 101.0 | 89.3 | 98.2 | 101.0 | 102.4 |
| 1950. | 96.9 | 100.6 | 92.6 | 100.8 | 106.3 | 100.4 |
| 1951. | 103.5 | 100.7 | 106.7 | 102. 6 | 104.2 | 97.9 |
| 1952 | 103.2 | 101.8 | 102.8 | 97.2 | 96.0 | 104.3 |
| 1953. | 102.9 | 100.2 | 95.6 | 97.3 | 97.0 | 103.1 |
| 1954 | 102.3 | 101.5 | 96.2 | 97.6 | 105.6 | 103.8 |
| 1955 | 103. 7 | 101.8 | 88.1 | 99.1. | 105. 7 | 103. 1 |
| 1956 | 106. 4 | 103.1 | 89.8 | 99.0 | 107.6 | 103.9 |
| 1957. | 105. 2 | 103.3 | 83.4 | 97.7 | 106.9 | 105.9 |
| 1958 | 105.2 | 104.0 | 87.3 | 101.7 | 117.2 | 102.7 |

Table C-8.-Ratios: Printing and publishing to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale Price Index | $\begin{gathered} \text { Straight } \\ \text { time hourly } \\ \text { earnings } \end{gathered}$ | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | (1) | 96.9 | 108.1 | 99.8 | 96.0 | 97.3 |
| 1948 | (1) | 99.8 | 94.2 | 100.3 | 98.1 | 99.4 |
| 1949 | (1) | 103.0 | 97.5 | 99.5 | 106.2 | 103. 3 |
| 1950 | (1) | 102.8 | 81.3 | 95.5 | 97.3 | 106.7 |
| 1951. | (1) | 99.2 | 94.7 | 96.9 | 91.7 | 101.1 |
| 1952 | (1) | 99.6 | 98.2 | 92.8 | 88.7 | 106. 1 |
| 1953 | (1) | 98.3 | 98.3 | 91.1 | 85.8 | 106. 7 |
| 1954 | (1) | 98.5 | 96.6 | 92.3 | 96.0 | 106.3 |
| 1955 | (1) | 98.4 | 89.6 | 89.1 | 90.1 | 109.9 |
| 1956 | (1) | 96.6 | 94.4 | 89.4 | 92.4 | 106.9 |
| 1957 | (1) | 95.2 | 94.6 | 87.4 | 92.4 | 108.1 |
| 1958. | (1) | 95.4 | 95.3 | 85.6 | 97.8 | 111.0 |

Not available.
Table C-9.—Ratios: Chemicals to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale price index | Straight time hourly earnings | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947. | 105. 7 | 88.9 | 97.9 | 96.8 | 96.0 | 102. 1 |
| 1948 | 100.0 | 99.6 | 95.4 | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1949. | 94.5 | 101.4 | 107.4 | 103.5 | 104.1 | 97.9 |
| 1950. | 92.5 | 102.9 | 112.3 | 111.6 | 111.7 | 91.8 |
| 1951. | 95.2 | 102.3 | 112.6 | 114.6 | 115.8 | 88.8 |
| 1952. | 92.6 | 102.9 | 115.9 | 113.3 | 116.1 | 89.8 |
| 1953. | 91.8 | 103.3 | 116.9 | 111.9 | 114.9 | 91.8 |
| 1954 | 94.1 | 104.9 | 118.0 | 111.1 | 122. 4 | 94.2 |
| 1955. | 92.7 | 105.3 | 119.1 | 118.5 | 126.2 | 88.8 |
| 1956. | 89.7 | 106.7 | 116.7 | 121.5 | 131.7 | 87.1 |
| 1957 | 88.9 | 106.9 | 120.8 | 122.7 | 137.2 | 86.7 |
| 1958. | 88.7 | 107.6 | 122.5 | 125.0 | 148.5 | 86.1 |

Table C-10.-Ratios: Petroleum and products to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale price index | Straight time hourly earnings | Profts as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 93.4 | 97.0 | 98.2 | 101.6 | 97.0 | 95.1 |
| 1948. | 108.0 | 100.9 | 105.3 | 101.4 | 101.0 | 99.3 |
| 1949 | 98.0 | 102.0 | 95.9 | 95.8 | 101.0 | 106.8 |
| 1950 | 106.7 | 100.2 | 85.2 | 100.9 | 99.1 | 99.2 |
| 1951. | 94.7 | 99.9 | 93.5 | 106.3 | 101.7 | 93.7 |
| 1952 | 98.5 | 100.5 | 94.5 | 102.8 | 97.6 | 97.4 |
| 1953. | 99.2 | 100.2 | 96.5 | 103.1 | 96.3 | 96.9 |
| 1954 | 95.9 | 100.1 | 97.1 | 98.6 | 98.4 | 101.7 |
| 1955 | 96.7 | 100.3 | 89.5 | 101.9 | 95.7 | 98.5 |
| 1956 | 98.3 | 102.7 | 93.7 | 103.9 | 96.6 | 98.8 |
| 1957. | 102. 1 | 102.0 | 90.7 | 102.6 | 95.9 | 99.7 |
| 1958. | 92.2 | 102.4 | 91.7 | 100.5 | 97.0 | 102.3 |

Table C-11.-Ratins: Rubber and products to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale price index | Straight time hourly earnings | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 102.2 | 103.1 | 106.7 | 100.9 | 106.0 | 102.0 |
| 1948. | 98.1 | 99.1 | 100.1 | 100.3 | 99.0 | 98.4 |
| 1949 | 99.9 | 98.3 | 92.4 | 99.9 | 95.9 | 98.7 |
| 1950 | 107.6 | 97.5 | 107.2 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 99.1 |
| 1951. | 114.7 | 97.2 | 118.4 | 98.0 | 96.7 | 99.4 |
| 1952 | 113.7 | 99.8 | 113.6 | 95.2 | 94.4 | 105. 5 |
| 1953 | 111.4 | 99.2 | 109.5 | 96.1 | 94.0 | 103.9 |
| 1954 | 112.3 | 99.0 | 109.3 | 99.3 | 94.4 | 100.3 |
| 1955. | 121.9 | 99.7 | 103.1 | 96.4 | 97.9 | 105.6 |
| 1956 | 121.8 | 100.2 | 106.4 | 94.0 | 91.0 | 106.8 |
| 1957 | 118.9 | 98. 9 | 108. 7 | 93.1 | 91.0 | 107.3 |
| 1958. | 119.0 | 100.4 | 117.8 | 94.7 | 91.8 | 106.7 |

Table C-12.-Ratios: Leather and products to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale Price Index | Straight time hourly earnings | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 103.8 | 102.6 | 124.3 | 103.8 | 10.5 .0 | 98.7 |
| 1948. | 98.4 | 100.1 | 94.0 | 98.8 | 97.1 | 101. 1 |
| 1949 | 98.1 | 97.7 | 79.8 | 97.3 | 97.9 | 100.6 |
| 1950. | 100.8 | 98.6 | 95.7 | 96.2 | 93.7 | 101.3 |
| 1951. | 104.3 | 97.4 | 74.5 | 98.8 | 80.8 | 97.4 |
| 1952 | 91.9 | 96.3 | 106.6 | 95.3 | 83.9 | 100.1 |
| 1953. | 92.5 | 94.1 | 109.4 | 92.6 | 77.6 | 100.8 |
| 1954. | 89.4 | 92.1 | 116.2 | 95.1 | 82.4 | 96.5 |
| 1955. | 88.2 | 91.1 | 117.8 | 92.1 | 79.4 | 98.1 |
| 1956. | 89.9 | 91.8 | 93.8 | 93.4 | 78.6 | 97.2 |
| 1957. | 87.7 | 90.2 | 102.1 | 93.7 | 77.9 | 95.7 |
| 1958. | 87.6 | 88.9 | 102.4 | 93.5 | 81.3 | 95.0 |

Table C-13.-Ratios: Stone, clay, and glass products to all manufacturing


Table C-14.—Ratios: Primary metals to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale price index | Straight time hourly earnings | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947. | 94.3 | 99.5 | 95.2 | 102.1 | 103.0 | 97.4 |
| 1948 | 99.0 | 99.7 | 100.1 | 100.9 | 103.9 | 99.0 |
| 1949. | 106.5 | 100.8 | 105. 1 | 96.8 | 92.8 | 103.8 |
| 1950. | 107.3 | 99.3 | 108. 2 | 99.4 | 102.7 | 100.4 |
| 1951. | 107.2 | 100.3 | 113.0 | 98.8 | 104.2 | 102.2 |
| 1952. | 110.8 | 100.9 | 97.1 | 94.4 | 91.1 | 107.0 |
| 1953. | 117.3 | 102.7 | 112.8 | 96.8 | 97.0 | 106.6 |
| 1954. | 119.7 | 102.8 | 112.2 | 91.0 | 84.8 | 112.4 |
| 1955 | 126. 1 | 104.8 | 116.0 | 96.9 | 97.2 | 109.1 |
| 1956 | 132.1 | 105.8 | 115.3 | 92.2 | 93.1 | 114.6 |
| 1957. | 132.3 | 107. 1 | 112.9 | 89.9 | 89.7 | 119.2 |
| 1958. | 131. 9 | 110.8 | 110.4 | 84.7 | 76.1 | 130. 1 |

Table C-15.-Ratios: Fabricated metal products to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale price index | $\begin{gathered} \text { Straight } \\ \text { time hourly } \\ \text { earnings } \end{gathered}$ | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947. | 94.7 | 99.2 | 107.1 | 100.4 | 102.0 | 99.1 |
| 1948 | 98.7 | 99.6 | 99.7 | 100.5 | 101.0 | 99.3 |
| 1949. | 106.4 | 101.1 | 92.4 | 98.1 | 95.9 | 102.6 |
| 1950. | 106.1 | 100.5 | 95. 5 | 97.6 | 102.7 | 103.4 |
| 1951. | 105.3 | 99.7 | 99.2 | 95.3 | 100.8 | 105. 1 |
| 1952 | 106.8 | 100.2 | 87.7 | 91.4 | 94.4 | 110.1 |
| 1953 | 108.5 | 100.0 | 81,1 | 91.2 | 98.5 | 110.6 |
| 1954. | 109.1 | 101.0 | 74.0 | 88.8 | 95.2 | 114.0 |
| 1955. | 111.3 | 100.9 | 74.6 | 85.2 | 92.2 | 119.4 |
| 1956 | 113.8 | 101.1 | 73.1 | 83.4 | 89.7 | 121.0 |
| 1957. | 115.1 | 101.5 | 74.1 | 83.4 | 91.7 | 121.8 |
| 1958. | 114.5 | 102.7 | 75.6 | 84.1 | 91.0 | 122.2 |

Table C-16.-Ratios: Machinery, except electrical, to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale price Index | Straight time hourly earnings | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947. | 96.4 | 100.2 | 100.9 | 101.0 | 104.0 | 99.0 |
| 1948 | 97.4 | 99.3 | 97.5 | 100.1 | 102.9 | 99.6 |
| 1949 | 106.3 | 100.6 | 101.8 | 98.9 | 92.8 | 101.2 |
| 1950 | 105.9 | 100.5 | 96.7 | 98.4 | 92.8 | 102.3 |
| 1951. | 106.1 | 100.1 | 103.8 | 98.5 | 104.2 | 103.0 |
| 1952 | 109.0 | 101.4 | 104.3 | 99.4 | 107.3 | 102.9 |
| 1953 | 111.4 | 100. 9 | 93.1 | 99.2 | 103.7 | 102.4 |
| 1954. | 112.4 | 102.2 | 91.5 | 93.9 | 95. 2 | 108.5 |
| 1955 | 115.9 | 101.4 | 89.4 | 91.1 | 90.8 | 112.0 |
| 1956 | 120.7 | 102.4 | 97.3 | 91.2 | 98.6 | 112.2 |
| 1957 | 124.6 | 102.4 | 96.2 | 86.0 | 93.1 | 118.5 |
| 1958. | 126. 2 | 103.4 | 95.0 | 84.8 | 85.1 | 120.8 |

Table C-17.—Ratios: Electrical machinery to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale Price Index | Straight time hourly earnings | Profts as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 100.4 | 100.2 | 99.2 | 98.2 | 103.0 | 101.8 |
| 1948. | 97.8 | 99.9 | 96.7 | 99.6 | 99.0 | 100.3 |
| 1949 | 101.9 | 100.0 | 104.6 | 102.7 | 97.9 | 97.4 |
| 1950. | 99.6 | 97.3 | 116.0 | 100.0 | 104.5 | 97.9 |
| 1951. | 100.6 | 97.0 | 111.9 | 97.3 | 107.5 | 100.0 |
| 1952 | 103.6 | 97.7 | 112.5 | 106.6 | 125.8 | 91.8 |
| 1953. | 106. 7 | 97.1 | 102.7 | 103. 1 | 129.9 | 94.5 |
| 1954. | 105.8 | 98.2 | 95.4 | 105. 1 | 127.2 | 93.5 |
| 1955. | 107.3 | 97.6 | 82.9 | 104.5 | 124.8 | 93.7 |
| 1956 | 111.5 | 98.1 | 79.8 | 105.9 | 134.5 | 92.4 |
| 1957 | 113.4 | 98.1 | 92.3 | 104.0 | 135. 2 | 94.1 |
| 1958. | 113.0 | 99.0 | 95.0 | 99.1 | 128.4 | 99.7 |

Table C-18.-Ratios: Transportation equipment to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale Price Index | Straight time hourly earnings | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | (1) | 100.1 | 84.4 | 95.1 | 94.0 |  |
| 1948 | (1) | 99.9 | $\stackrel{87.3}{97.3}$ | 95.1 100.9 | 94.0 | 104. 98.7 |
| 1949. | (1) | 100. 1 | 120.3 | 102.7 | 106. 2 | 98.0 |
| 1950 | (1) | 100.3 | 119.7 | 103.7 | 108. 1 | 97.3 |
| 1951 | (1) | 98.7 | 97.3 | 98.6 | 112.5 | 100.5 |
| 1952 | (1) | 98.6 | 105.0 | 94.9 | 121.8 | 105.4 |
| 1953. | (1) | 98.3 | 93.3 | 98.1 | 138.1 | 101. 6 |
| 1954 | (1) | 98.8 | 95.8 | 99.2 | 134.4 | 101.0 |
| 1955 | (1) | 98.8 | 109.9 | 103.3 | 142.6 | 97.9 |
| 1956 | (1) | 98.6 | 89.7 | 100.6 | 134.5 | 98.8 |
| 1957 | (1) | 98.7 | 95.0 | 105.2 | 146.2 | 94.3 |
| 1958. | (1) | 100.2 | 82.3 | 104.8 | 134.3 | 96. 5 |

[^13]Table C-19.-Ratios: Motor vehicles and equipment to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale price index | $\begin{gathered} \text { Straight } \\ \text { time hourly } \\ \text { earnings } \end{gathered}$ | Profts as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947. |  | 99.4 | 88.7 | (1) | 95.0 | (1) |
| 1948. | 97.1 | 99.7 | 94.8 | (1) | 98.0 | (a) |
| 1949. | 107.6 | 100.9 | 118.4 | (1) | 107.2 | (1) |
| 1950. | 103.0 | 99.9 | 115.2 | (1) |  | (1) |
| 1951. | 97.7 105.9 | 100.9 | 93.9 105.7 | (1) | 100.0 81.6 | (1) |
| 1953 | 105.4 | 99.5 | 92.3 | (l) | 92.6 | (1) |
| 1954 | 104.9 | 99.5 | 97.8 | (1) | 85.8 | (1) |
| 1955 | 106.9 | 98.9 | 112.4 | (1) | 107.7 |  |
| 1956. | 108.6 | ${ }_{98}^{98.6}$ | 91.7 100.2 | (1) |  | (1) |
| 1957 | 112.9 112 | 98.3 99.3 | 100.2 86.6 | (1) | 87.1 72.8 | (1) |

${ }^{1}$ Not available.
Table C-20.-Ratios: Instruments and related products to all manufacturing

|  | Wholesale price index | Straight time hourly earnings | Profits as percent of sales | Output per total worker man-hour | Output | Labor cost per unit of output per total worker man-hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947. | (1) | 99.5 | (1) | 101.6 | 103.0 | 98.0 |
| 1948. | (1) | 100.2 | (1) | 101.4 | 101.0 | 98.3 |
| 1949 | (I) | 100.5 | (b) | 96.9 | 95.9 | 103.9 |
| 1950. | (l) | 101.3 | (1) | 98.0 | 97.3 | 103.8 |
| 1951 | (1) | 102.2 | (1) | 94.9 | 103.3 | 108.5 |
| 1952. | (1) | 103.5 | (1) | 104.2 | 119.4 | 99.9 |
| 1953. | (1) | 100.9 | (1) | 102.7 | 120.1 | 99.0 |
| 1954. | (1) | 102.1 | (1) | 104. 1 | 124.8 | 98.0 |
| 1955. | (1) | 102.6 | (1) | 103.4 | 118.4 | 99.3 |
| 1956. | (d) | 102.5 | (1) | 104.0 | 123.4 | 98.6 |
| 1957 | (1) | 103.0 | (1) | 105.0 | 126.9 | 98.0 |
| 1958. | (1) | 103.4 | (1) | 105.0 | 129.1 | 98.8 |
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## TECHNICAL NOTE 1

# THE SERVICE SECTOR: DATA ON OUTPUT, EMPLOYMENT, PRICES, AND INCOMES 

By George W. Bleile

The purpose of this note is to present more fully some of the data which were developed during the investigation of inflation and growth in the service sector. The major findings have been reported in chapters 3 and 5 of the Joint Economic Committee "Staff Report on Employment, Growth, and Price Levels" (Washington, 1959).

The note is in three parts. Part 1 contains data on an aggregate basis for the entire service sector including finance and insurance, transportation, utilities, professional and other personal services. The second part presents more specific data for industries in three broad service groups: medical care, transportation and public utilities, and other nonprofessional services. Part 3 is a description of data and a report of preliminary analysis of prices and wages carried out on a cross section of large cities.

## PART 1. THE SERVICE SECTOR IN THE AGGREGATE

## I. Output

## A. THE POSTWAR RECORD

The/output of services in the private domestic economy increased nearly 50 percent in the postwar period. The rate of increase was rather steady at about 3.5 percent per year, although there was some acceleration in the rate of increase in the last part of the period.

Two sets of data are available which measure services output. One set presents deflated expenditure data; the other shows gross product originating (GPO) aggregated on an industry basis. The expenditure data is the service portion of personal consumption expenditure and includes purchases by households and private, nonprofit institutions. ${ }^{1}$

The gross product originating data is taken from Charles L. Schultze's monograph "Prices, Costs, and Output" and is based on estimates of net national income originating by industry. ${ }^{2}$

While the two sets of data should not be expected to match perfectly because of the differences in concept and scope, there is broad correspondence. The household operation expenditure category corresponds roughly to the public utility and communication industries; transportation generally with railroad and other transportation industries; and the other services-expenditure category with the personal services industry. ${ }^{3}$; The other services category includes medical care, personal care, recreation, business services, and expenditure by private nonprofit groups.;, Both sets of data omit housing because the major portion of housing "expenditure," and the major portion of the "output" of the real estate industry is imputed rental value of owner-occupied houses. Homeowners are assumed to be in the real estate business renting to themselves. ${ }^{5}$

[^15]The expenditure data sums to the total real services (less housing) consumed in the household sector. The gross product originating data summed over all industries equals gross national output originating in the private domestic economy (less the real estate industry). Comparison of the consumption of services with total consumption measures the relative importance of the service sector in final real consumer demand. Compensation of the GPO in the service sector with total private gross product originating, measures the relative importance of the service sector as a user of resources.

Table 1 below shows the percentage increases in output of services in the private economy.

Table 1.—Real output of services
[Index: 1947=100]

| Year | Real consumption expenditure data 1 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Business } \\ \text { gross national } \\ \text { product }{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Gross product originating ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total serv- } \\ & \text { ices less } \\ & \text { housing } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other serv- } \\ & \text { ices } \end{aligned}$ |  | Service sector | Personal services industries |
| 1947.. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1948.- | 102.8 | 103.0 | 103.6 | 102.2 | 103.3 |
| 1949. | 104.3 | 105.0 | 101.9 | 100.7 | 102.9 |
| 1950. | 109.3 | 110.0 | 111.5 | 109.1 | 106.0 |
| 1951. | 112.3 | 111.0 | 118.9 | 114.5 | 108.3 |
| 1952. | 115.3 | 113.7 | 122.5 | 119.2 | 111.4 |
| 1953. | 119.4 | 118.3 | 129.0 | 123.6 | 113.9 |
| 1954. | 123.3 | 123.7 | 125.9 | 125.6 | 117.5 |
| 1955. | 130.9 | 130.0 | 137.7 | 134.8 | 123.1 |
| ${ }_{1957}^{1956}$ | 138.6 | 137.7 | 139.9 | 144.2 | 131.5 |
| 1957. | 142.7 | 141.3 | 141.9 | 149.1 | 136.1 |
| 1958. | 147.2 | 146.3 |  | (3) |  |

${ }^{1}$ Real personal consumption expenditure, "U.S. Income and Output," table II-5.
${ }^{2}$ Charles L. Schultze, "Prices, Costs, and Output," table 2, p. 29 . The service sector is an aggregation, using 1947 net national income originating weights, of the following industries: finance and insurance, communication, public utilities, railroads, other transportation, and personal services industries. Business GNP excludes output originating in Government and real estate.
${ }^{3}$ Not available.
Table 2 presents average annual rates of increase in the service sector. Both the real consumption expenditures and gross output originating estimates are given.

Table 2.-Service sector output: Average annual rates of change, selected years, 1947-58
[Percentage rates]

| Period | Services less housing 1 | Service sector ${ }^{2}$ | Period | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Services } \\ & \text { less } \\ & \text { housing }{ }^{1} \end{aligned}$ | Service sector ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947-57. | 3.6 | 4.1 | 1953-57. | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| 1947-58. | 3.6 | ${ }^{(3)}$ | 1953-58. | 4.3 | ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1947-53 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 1955-57. | 4.4 | 5.2 |
| 1947-55. | 3.4 | 3.8 | 1955-58. | 4.0 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Real consumption expenditure data.
2 Gross product originating data.
${ }^{1}$ GPO data not available for 1958.
Source of data in table 1. Compound interest computed from base and terminal year values.

## B. THE INCREASED RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF SERVICES

## 1. The share of services in consumption

Evidence of the increased relative importance of the service sector in consumption is presented in the tables below. Real consumption of services less housing as a share of total consumption increased from 23.7 percent in 1947 to 25.0 percent in 1958. This is a 5.5 percent increase in relative importance most of which accrued in the last few years. (See table 3.) The same pattern is
present in the ratio of "other services" to consumption. It is this category which contains most of the expenditures for the traditional personal services such as medical care, personal care, laundry and dry cleaning, recreation and the like. While the changes in the ratio are small, the magnitudes involved are large, and the offsetting effects in durable goods demand significant.

Table 3.-Percentage distribution of personal consumption, constant dollars

| Year | Durable goods | Nondurable | Services total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Services } \\ \text { less housing } \end{gathered}$ | Housing | Household operation | Transportation | Other services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 11.9 | 53.8 | 34.3 | 23.7 | 10.5 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 15.3 |
| 1948. | 12.3 12.9 | 52.7 52.0 5 | 34.9 35.1 | 23.9 23.7 | 11.0 11.4 | 4.6 4.6 | 3.9 3.7 | ${ }_{15}^{15.5}$ |
| 1950 | 14.8 | 50.4 | 34.8 | 23.4 | 11.4 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 15.2 |
| 1951 | 13.4 | 50.9 | 35.8 | 23.8 | 11.9 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 15.2 |
| 1952. | 12.7 | 51.3 | 36.0 | 23.9 | 12.2 | 5.0 | 3.6 | ${ }_{15}^{15.2}$ |
| 1954 | 13.6 | 50.3 50.1 | 退35.6 | 23.6 | 12.0 | 5.0 | 3.5 3.3 | ${ }_{15.6}$ |
| 1955. | 15.5 | ${ }^{59.0}$ | 35.5 | 23.7 | 11.8 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 15.2 |
| 1956 | 14.4 | 49.3 | 36.3 | 24.3 | 12.0 | 5.5 | 3.1 | 15.6 |
| 1957. | 14.2 | ${ }^{4980}$ | 36.9 | 25.2 25.0 | 11.7 13.0 | 5.7 5.9 | 3.1 | 15.7 |
| 1958. |  |  |  |  |  | 5.9 | 3.0 | 16.1 |

Source: U.S. Income and Output; table II-5.
Examination of this data emphasizes the cyclical stability of services consumption. During recession years the share of total consumption accounted for by services typically increases because total consumption declines more than service consumption. In 1948-49 and again in 1954 there was a bulge in the ratio of services to total consumption which is especially noticeable. It is striking that the ratio increased in 1956 and 1957 as well as in the recession years 1954 and 1958 .

In general the same behavior can be observed when the ratio of total services (including Government) to total gross national product is examined. The ratio fluctuates very closely around 33 percent except in 1949, 1952, 1954, and 1956-58. The increase in the importance of services in 1949 and 1954 is the recession effect mentioned above. The 1952 increase was due to the abnormal Government service requirements associated with the Korean war and does not show up in the personal consumption ratio.

In contrast with the real consumption data discussed above, current dollar expenditure data show a rather steady increase in share spent in the service sector year after year. There is a trend like progression from 21.7 percent of consumption expenditures to 25.7. (See table 4.)

Table 4.-Percentage distribution of personal consumption expenditures, current dollars
[Percent of total consumption expenditures]

| Year | Durable goods | Nondurable goods | Services, total | Services less housing | Housing | Household operation | Transportation | Medical care | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 12.4 | 56.5 | 31.1 | 21.7 | 9.4 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 9.2 |
| 1948. | 12.7 | 55.3 | 31.9 | 22.0 | 9.9 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 4.9 | 9.4 |
| 1949 | 13.6 | 53.3 | 33.1 | 22.5 | 10.6 | 4.6 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 9.5 |
| 1950 | 15.6 | 51.2 | 33.3 | 22.4 | 10.9 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 9.4 |
| 1951. | 14.1 | 50.1 | 33.5 | 22.5 | 11.0 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 5.0 | 9.2 |
| 1952. | 13. 2 | 52.4 | 34.4 | 22.9 | 11.5 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 9.5 |
| 1953 | 14.1 | 50.7 | 35.2 | 23.4 | 11.8 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 9.6 |
| 1954 | 13.6 | 50.1 | 36.3 | 24.1 | 12.2 | 5. 1 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 10.1 |
| 1955 | 15.4 | 48.6 | 36.0 | 24.1 | 11.9 | 5. 2 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 10.1 |
| 1956. | 14.2 | 48.7 | 37.0 | 24.9 | 12.1 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 5.7 | 10.5 |
| 1957 | 14.0 | 48.3 | 37.5 | 25.1 | 12.4 | 5.5 | 3.1 | 5.8 | 10.7 |
| 1958. | 12.8 | 48.4 | 38.7 | 25.7 | 13.0 | 5.8 | 3.1 | (1) | 16.9 |

[^16]
## 2. The share of the service sector in the private domestic economy

The data presenting gross product originating by industry also shows a shift in the relative importance of services. Tabulated in table 5 is the relative importance of the major industrial groups for 1947-57. The service sector as an aggregate increased from 24 to 25.4 percent from 1947 to 1957 ; and from 23.2 percent in 1953 when the manufacturing industries, reaching their postwar peak importance, supplied both consumers and the Military Establishment with goods.
Table 5.-Gross output originating in the private domestic economy, percentage distribution by industry 1947-57

|  | 1947 | 1948 | 1949 | 1950 | 1051 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture | 11.5 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 11.1 | 10.7 | 10.1 | 9.9 |
| Mining | 2.5 | 2. 5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Contract constr | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5. 7 | 5. 7 | 5.6 |
| Manufacturing | 34.9 | 34. 3 | 33.2 | 34.3 | 35.8 | 35.7 | 37.2 | 34.6 | 35.8 | 35.6 | 35.0 |
| Wholesale trade | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6. 6 | 6. 4 |
| Retail trade. | 15.2 | 15.0 | 15.5 | 15.6 | 14.9 | 15. 1 | 14.9 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 15.2 | 15.4 |
| Finance and insuran | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3. 0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| Rail transportation | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3. 0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
| Other transportatio | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3. 3 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| Communications. | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1. 5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1. 7 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Public utilities. | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Services ${ }^{1}$ - | 11.2 | 11.1 | 11.5 | 10.6 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 10.8 |
| Total private domestic economy ${ }^{2}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Addenda: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goods sector | 53.9 | 54.7 | 53.5 | 54.0 | 54.7 | 54.3 | $55.3$ | $53.8$ | $54.4$ | $53.6$ | $52.7$ |
| Less agricult | (42.4) | (42.1) | (42.0) | (42.2) | (44.2) | (43.8) | $(45.0)$ | $(42.7)$ | $(43.7)$ | $(43.5)$ | (42.8) |
| Service sector.-. | 24.0 | 23.6 | 24.2 | 23.4 | 23.3 | 23.6 | 23.2 | 24.1 | 23.7 | 24.7 | 25.4 |
| Services industries | (11.2) | (11.1) | $(11.5)$ | $(10.6)$ | (10.3) | (10.3) | (9.9) | (10.5) | (10, 1) | (10.5) | (10.8) |
| Other services ${ }^{3}$ | (12.8) | (12.5) | (12, 7) | (12.8) | (13.0) | (13.3) | (13.3) | (13.6) | (13.6) | (14.2) | (14.6) |
| Commercial sector. | 22.1 | 21.7 | 22.3 | 22.6 | 21.9 | 22.1 | 21.6 | 22.1 | 21.9 | 21.8 | 21.8 |

1 See definition in appendix.
${ }^{2}$ The real estate industry has been omitted. May not add because of rounding.
${ }^{3}$ Finance and insurance, rail and other transportation, communications, public utilities.
Source: Charles L. Schultze, "Prices, Costs and Output," table 2 and "U.S. Income and Output" table I-10. The table was constructed by aggregating the Schultze real output indexes using 1947 net national income originating weights.

A 1.4 percentage point increase in relative importance may appear to be small, but the nonagricultural goods producing industries in the aggregate increased in relative importance only 0.4 points. The relative importance of services was 5.8 percent greater in 1957 than in 1947. In comparison, the relative importance of manufacturing was less than 1 percent greater and the relative importance of agriculture declined 14 percent.

## II. Employment

## A. THE POSTWAR RECORD

Employment in the service sector has increased at a fairly constant rate with some acceleration near the end of the period. Total employment was little affected by the general business cycle; service sector employment dipped much less than employment in nonservice sectors. In fact, the average number of full-time and part-time employees in the service industries increased during the 1948-49 and the 1957-58 recessions and remained constant in 1954.

Table 6 below presents in index number form the record of employment in the service sector. The left-hand panel shows the average number of full-time and part-time wage and salary employees; the right-hand panel shows full-time equivalent persons participating in production. ${ }^{6}$ Comparison of the index of employ-

[^17]ment in the private domestic economy (less real estate) with employment in the service sector demonstrates the relative insensitivity of the sector to recession. When the service industries alone are considered, the insensitivity to recession is even more pronounced.

Table 6.-Employment in the service sector
[Index: $1947=100$ ]

|  | Number of full- and part-time wage and salary workers |  |  | Full-time equivalent persons participating in production |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total private domestic economy | Service sector | Service industries | Total private domestic economy | Service sector | Service industries |
| 1947 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1948. | 102.1 | 101.8 | 101.8 | 101.7 | 101.7 | 101.6 |
| 1949 | 98.3 | 100.9 | 102.5 | 98.3 | 100.4 | 101.3 |
| 1950 | 101.7 | 104.4 | 107.5 | 100.8 | 102.9 | 104.5 |
| 1951. | 107.6 | 108.7 | 111.0 | 105. 1 . | 106.7 | 107.0 |
| 1952 | 108.9 | 109.6 | 111.3 | 106.2 | 107.6 | 107.3 |
| 1953 | 111.8 | 111.9 | 113.6 | 108.2 | 109.4 | 108.9 |
| 1954 | 108.1 | 110.7 | 113.6 | 105.1 | 108.1 | 107.9 |
| 1955 | 111.9 | 116.0 | 121.4 | 108.2 | 112.5 | 113.8 |
| 1956. | 115.9 | 121.7 | 128.9 | 111.1 | 117.2 | 119.5 |
| 1957. | 116.4 | 124.1 | 132.3 | 111.3 | 119.8 | 122.8 |
| 1958 | 112.6 | 123.9 | 135.4 | 107.7 | 119.1 | 124.4 |

Source: Full- and part-time workers "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-14, includes wage and salary workers only.
Full-time equivalent persons participating in production, "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-16, includes active proprietors of unincorporated enterprises as well as wage and salary workers. Full-time equivalent employment means that part-time workers are counted as a fraction of 1 full-time employee. For example, 2 half-time employees would be counted as 1 full-time equivalent employee.

Note.-The service sector includes the finance, transportation, and utility industries plus the service industries which are defined in the appendix.

The indexes of full-time equivalent persons participating in production shows, in general, the same patterns.

Table 7 lists the average annual percentage increase in employment in the total private economy, the service sector, and the services industries. Employment in services increased on the average faster than in the total economy.

Tarle 7.-Employment: Average annual rates of change, selected periods, 1947-58
[Average annual percentage rates]


Source: "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-14 and VI-16. Compound interest rates computed from base and terminal year values.

Note.-The service sector includes the finance, transportation, and utility industries plus the service industries which are defined in the appendix.

Employment figures, unlike gross output originating data, are available in some detail for the service industries. Table 8 lists such detail as is possible in index number form for ease of comparison.

Table 8.-Service sector: Average number of full-and part-time employees, 1947-58


## R. THE SHIFT IN RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF SERVICE EMPLOYMENT

The increase in the relative importance of service employment in the economy can be demonstrated in two ways: First by an analysis of which industries or sectors absorbed the increase in total employment; second by analyzing the proportion contributed by each industry to total private domestic employment.

1. Analysis of the increase in employment

Analysis of the net increase in the average number of full-time and part-time employees indicates the following (see table 9):
Tarle 9.-Analysis of sectoral contributions to increases in employment, selected periods 1947-58

| Period | Net increase total private domestic economy 1 | Service sector |  |  | Nonservice sector |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Service industries | Other service sector | Total | Trade | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agricul- } \\ \text { ture } \end{gathered}$ | Industrial |
|  | Increase (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1947-57. | 6,898 | 2,833 | 2, 035 | 798 | 4,065 | 2,105 | -359 | 2,319 |
| 1947-58. | 5,280 | 2,817 | 2, 231 | 586 | 2,463 | 1,969 | -308 | 802 |
| 1947-53. | 4,945 | 1,397 | 862 | 535 | 3,548 | 1,305 | -325 | 2,568 |
| 1947-55 | 5,010 | 1,884 | 1,351 | 533 | 3,126 | 1, 532 | -363 | 1,957 |
| 1953-57 | 1,953 | 1,436 | 1,173 | 263 | , 517 | 800 | -34 | -249 |
| 1953-58 | , 335 | 1, 420 | 1,369 | 51 | -1,085 | 664 | 17 | -1,766 |
| 1955-57. | 1,888 | 949 | 684 | 265 | 939 | 573 | 4 | +362 |
| 1955-58. | 270 | 933 | 880 | 53 | -663 | 437 | 55 | -1,155 |
|  | Increase (in percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1947-57. | 100.0 | 41.1 | 29.5 | 11.6 | 58.9 | 30.5 | -5.2 | 33.6 |
| 1947-58. | 100.0 | 53.4 | 42.3 | 11.1 | 46. 6 | 37.3 | -5.8 | 15.2 |
| 1947-53 | 100.0 | 28.3 | 17.4 | 10.8 | 71.7 | 26.4 | -6.6 | 51.9 |
| 1947-55 | 100.0 | 37.6 | 27.0 | 10.6 | 62.4 | 30.6 | -7.2 | 39.1 |
| 1953-57. | 100.0 | 73.5 | 60.1 | 13.5 | 26.5 | 41.0 | $-1.7$ | -12.7 |
| 1953-58. | 100.0 | 423.9 | 408.7 | 15.2 | -323.9 | 198.2 | 5. 1 | -527.2 |
| 1955-57. | 100.0 | 50.3 | 36. 2 | 14.0 | 49.7 | 30.3 | . 2 | 19.2 |
| 1955-58. | 100.0 | 345.5 | 325.9 | 19.6 | -245. 6 | 161.9 | 20.4 | -427.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Full- and part-time employees. Wage or salary workers.
Source: "U.S. Income and Ontput," table VI-14.
(a) Of the nearly 6.9 million increase in employment in the private domestic economy between 1947 and 1957, the service sector absorbed 41 percent, the commercial sector (wholesale and retail trade) 30 percent, the industrial sector (mining, manufacturing, and construction) about 34 percent and agriculture lost about 5 percent.
(b) From 1955 to 1957, the industrial sector absorbed 19 percent of the nearly 1.9 million increase while the service sector took over 50 percent and trade 30 percent.
(c) From 1953-57, the service sector absorbed 73 percent of the total increase in employment, trade 41 percent; but agriculture and the industrial sector contributed over 280,000 persons (or 14 percent of the net increase) who had to be reemployed in some other sector.
2. The shift to service employment as a proportion of total man-years of labor used

Table 10 makes use of data for the full-time equivalent labor input of wage or salary workers and active proprietors in the private domestic economy (less real estate).

Table 10.-Persons participating in production-Percentage distribution by industry, 1947-58

|  | 1947 | 1948 | 1949 | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture | 14.0 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 11.2 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 10.6 | 10. 1 | 9.8 | 9 |
| Mining --.-.-- | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Contract constr | 6.0 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6. 7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
| Whanufacturing --.-.-.-- | 30.6 | 30.2 | 29.0 | 29.9 | 30.9 | 31.2 | 32.1 | 30.7 | 30.9 | 30.7 | 30.4 | 29.0 |
| Whoelsale, retail trade.--- | 21.8 2.6 | 22.1 | 22.8 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.7 | 22.6 | 23.0 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 23.2 | 23.8 |
| Finance and insurance ...- | 2.6 3.1 | 2.6 2.9 | 2.8 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.0 2.6 | 3. 1 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3. 6 | 3.8 |
| Other transpor | 2. 9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 3.0 | 2.6 3.0 | 2.5 3.0 | 2.3 3.0 | 2.2 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| Communication | 1.4 | 1.4 | 3.5 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.0 1.4 | 3.0 1.5 | 3.0 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 3. 1.6 | 3. 1 1.6 |
| Public utilities | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Services '. | 14.7 | 14.6 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 14.7 | 15.1 | 15.5 | 15.8 | 16.2 | 17.1 |
| Total private domestic economy ${ }^{2}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 10.2 | 100.0 |
| Addenda: Goods secto | 52.5 | 52.5 | 51.0 | 51.4 | 51.4 | 51.3 | 51.5 | 50.6 | 50.4 | 49.8 | 49.1 |  |
| Less agricult | (38.5) | (38.6) | (37.2) | (38.5) | (39.8) | (40.1) | (40.7) | (39.5) | (39.8) | (39.7) | (39.3) | (37.8) |
| Service sector | 25. 7 | 25.4 | 26.2 | 26.1 | 26.0 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 26.4 | 26.7 | 27. 1 | 27.6 | 28.4 |
| Service industri | (14.7) | (14.6) | (15.1) | (15.2) | (14.9) | (14.8) | (14.7) | (15.1) | (15.5) | (15.8) | (16.2) | (17.0) |
| Other services ${ }^{3}$ | (11.0) | (9.8) | (11.1) | (10.9) | (11.1) | (11.1) | (11.2) | (11.3) | (11.2) | (11.3) | (11.4) | (11.4) |
| Commercial s | 21.8 | 22.1 | 22.8 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 22.7 | 22.6 | 23.0 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 23.2 | 23.8 |

${ }^{1}$ See definition in appendix.
2 Detail may not add because of rounding. The real estate industry has been omitted.
${ }^{3}$ Finance and insurance, railroads and other transportation, communication, public utilities.
Source: "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-16.
The share of persons participating in production accounted for by the service sector is rather constant at about 26 percent prior to 1954 . But beginning with the recession year, 1954, when the share accounted for by the selvice sector typically increases, an increase year by year in the importance of service employment is shown.
The service sector was 11.0 percent more important as a user of labor in 1958 than it was in 1947. The service industries alone were 15.6 percent more important while the utilities and communications industries gained smaller amounts, or, in the case of railways, declined in relative importance.

## III. Prices

The service component of the Consumer Price Index has shown a progressive slowing of the rate of increase during the postwar years, although the rate of advance still exceeded 3 percent per year in the period of least increase, 1955-58. This compares with an annual increase of about 2.2 percent for the total CPI during the same period. Table 11 presents the record on rates of increase in the Consumer Price Index for several subperiods 1947-58. After a rapid rise from wartime levels, commodity prices showed a slight decline between 1951 and 1955 , but then increased again in the period following 1956. The service price index continued up without pause. There has not been a single quarter-to-quarter change in which the service price index did not rise.

Table 11.-Service prices: Average annual rates of change, selected periods, 1947-58
[Percentage rates]


1 September 1959.

- Not available.
${ }^{3}$ Nervices other than housing, household operation, and transportation.
Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics: "U.S. Income and Output," table VII-3. Rates are compound interest computed from annual base and terminal values.

Tabulated in table 12 is the quarterly Consumer Price Index for virtually every one of approximately 300 items included in the index. The table is reprinted from a Bureau of Labor Statistics multilith release.



Table 12. Consumer Price Index-United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 19h7-58 (Cons'd)

| Itam apd group | (1947-49=100 unlopa othervise opecified) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Other } \\ \text { index } \\ \text { barep } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1947 |  |  |  | - 1948 |  |  |  | 1942 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. |
| Houalng-Contirued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 94.1 | 93.3 | 95.4 | 98.4 | 102.0 | 104.4 | $106 . c$ | 105.9 | 103.E |  | 97.2 |  |
| Purniture |  | .93.5 | 92.8 | 95.2 | 98.4 | 102.2 | 105.1 | 106.9 | 106.6 | 204.1 | 100.6 | 98.2 | 99.6 |
| Living roon suitas |  | 99.5 | 96.4 | 98.0 | 100.C | 102.3 | 102.2 | 103.5 | 103.9 | 1 c 2.1 | 99.1 | 96.6 | 96.3 |
| Dinotte sets |  |  |  | 91.6 | 96.3 | 101.5 | 116.5 | 109.6 | 106.7 | 1 CL .4 | 99.3 | 97.6 | 97.6 |
| Bedroom sultes |  | 86.7 | 88.1 | 92.6 | 76.8 | 101.7 | 108.0 | 110.2 | 108.5 | 106.3 | 103.0 | 1C2. 2 | 101.9 |
| Bedding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sora beds |  | 97.9 | 96.4 | 97.6 | 100.3 | 101.8 | 101.8 | 1 cl .8 | 102.2 | 10.3 | 101.0 | 99.5 | 99.1 |
| Mettresse |  | 101.1 | 98.3 | 98.6 | 98.6 | 101.4 | $100 . \varepsilon$ | 100.2 | 101.4 | 1 cr .4 | 99.7 | 98.6 | 98.9 |
| Applianoen 3/- |  | 95.7 | 97.0 | 101.3 | 10.3 | 203.3 | 102.3 | 103.4 | 104.6 | 101.1 | 76.8 | 36.7 | 96.6 |
| Souing mechine |  | 88.5 | 89.1 | 92.6 | 95.5 | 29.7 | 12:2.1 | $105 . c$ | 106.1 | $1 \mathrm{C6} .1$ | 106.1 | 106.1 | 106.7 |
| Mehing machive |  | 21.1 | 95.0 | 99.4 | 1 Cl .7 | $1{ }^{12} 21$ | 100.5 | 104.3 | 105.5 | 102.9 | 1 Cl .2 | 97.1 | 101. C |
| Vacrum oleaner |  | 161.5 | 102.9 | 103.6 | 10c.0 | 98.9 | 97.8 | 98.2 | 77.6 | 10c.c | 9). 6 | 73.5 | 98.5 |
| Refrigoretor |  | 69.5 | 91.7 | 99.1 | 1 Cl .4 | 102.7 | 102.2 | 107.6 | 109.7 | 104.2 | 38.3 | 79.4 | 97.4 |
| Ranges- |  | 96.1 | 37.3 | 98.5 | 101.5 | 102.6 | 102.7 | 123.6 | 104.9 | 102.8 | 39.5 | 30.4 | 95.5 |
| Tonsters---mene housefurnishinga: | Daec 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Dinnerware-------------------1 |  | 93.8 | 93.8 | 95.9 | 95.9 | 98.9 | 99.3 | 100.7 | 105.C | 106.3 | 105.4 | 105.c | 105.0 |
| Aluminam pans- | Dec 52 |  | - | ----- | ---- | ----- | ----- | ---- | ----- | ----- | ---- | -- |  |
| Fapor mapkins |  | 89.6 | 94.6 | 96.9 | 103.0 | 107.2 | 107.2 | 106. |  | 102.6 |  |  | 914.-9 |
|  | Dee 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 108. | 105.5 | 102.6 | 97.8 | 9\%.5 | 91.9 |
| Apparol- |  | 36.3 | 97.1 | 98.1 | 39.9 | 102.6 | 102.9 | 105.1 | 104.8 | 201.4 | 9.95 | 97.9 | 97.1 |
| fez'a and boys'- |  | 96.8 | 97.1 | 97.8 | 99.3 | 101.7 | 102.5 | -104.2 | 103.8 | 101.1 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 98.9 |
| Wowen's and girla' |  | 97.5 | 97.8 | 97.4 | 100.8 | 102.6 | 102.6 | 100.1 | 105.9 | 101.2 | 98.2 | 96.0 | 94.3 |
| Footwoar- |  | 93.5 | 94.8 | 95.0 | 98.3 | 1103.3 | 103.1 | 103.9 | 103.9 | 103.1 | 1 C 2.7 | 101.6 | 1 Cl .4 |
| Othor apparel- |  | 93.8 | 110.0 | 102.2 | 1 CL .9 | 1109.6 | 1109.5 | $109 . \mathrm{C}$ | 105.9 | 97.1 | 92.0 | 89.3 | 89.3 |
|  |  | 91.9 | 92.9 | 96.6 | 97.0 | 98.8 | \|100.3 | 106.8 | 106.4 | (ㄴ/4) | (LL) | 105.5 | 1192.4 |
| Men'os |  |  |  |  | 9\%.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suits, year-round |  | 88.7 | 90.5 | 92.4 | 95.7 | 103.8 | 104.8 | 102.7 | 102.9 | 1 cl .4 | ( 5 | 101.1 | 102.3 |
| Suite, |  | (4) | 91.7 | (L/) | (4) | (4) | 104.3 | (4/) | (L/) | (L) | 105.3 |  | (102.7 |
|  |  | 200.0 | 100.0 | $92 . \mathrm{C}$ | 92.7 | 47.0 | 101.0 | 1 c 3.6 | $1 \mathrm{CT}_{4} .0$ | 105.0. | 1830́ | 1 (x). 3 | 1200.3 |


|  Women'as |  | (4) | (L) | 100.7 | 1100.2 | (L/) | (4) | 101.7 | 101.2 | (4/) | (L/) | 96.6 | 95.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coats, heary, plaiz |  | (4/) | (4/) | 94.8 | 92.1 | (L/) | (4) | 108.4 | 110.7 | (4/) | (L) | 105.0 | 140.] |
| Conts, light, plain |  | 95.6 | (LL) | (L/) | (4/) | 105.9 | (4) | (4/) | (4.) | 100.7 | (L) | .4/) | (L/) |
| Sults------- |  |  |  | 94.4 | 91.5 | 98.0 | (4) | 104.5 | 103.6 | 105.4 | (L) | 100.9 | 99.8 |
| Dreseos |  | (4/) | (L/) | 96.7 | 100.1 | (4) | (4) | 105.9 | 103.0 | (4/) | (5/) | 101.1 | 100.1 |
| Children'sa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ---- | 22.8 | 95.2 | 99.7 | (4/) | 104.1 | 102.4 | 103.0 | (L/) | 101.4 | 100.8 |
|  |  |  |  | 99.5 | 97.7 | (4/) | (LI) | 101.9 | 101.6 | (4/) | (5). | 101.1 | 96.4 |
|  |  | 100.8 | 102.1. | 101.8 | 102.8 | 104.9 | 104.0 | 103.6 | 102.1 | 97.1 | 94.1 | 92.9 | 92.7 |
| Men'a: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 102.5 | 103.0 | 102.9 | 103.7 | 106.6 | 104.0 | 102.4 | 99.7 | 93.9 | 93.1 | 91.9 | $92 . \mathrm{C}$ |
| Shirta, | J | 109.0 | 112.3 | 111.1 | 111.5 | 111.5 | 104 | 98.2 |  | 87.0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Shorts, wove |  | 102.7 | 101.7 | 102.3 | 104.3 | 108.3 | 107.7 | 102.8 | 100.8 | 94.5 | 91.4 | 89.9 | 89.3 |
| Underahirt |  | 103.2 | 202.0 | 101.5 | 101.0 | 104.3 | 105.3 | 101.5 | 99.9 | 95.5 | 94.4 | 94.4 | 94.4 |
| Soake- |  | 102.7 | 105.7 | 103.2 | 102.0 | 100.8 | 100.2 | 100.8 | 99.6 | 95.6 | 95.6 | 96.6 | 97.2 |
| Trousers, wor |  | 99.5 | 99.5 | 100.1 | 101.2 | 101.2 | 101.7 | 102.2 | 102.2 | 98.9 | 97.8 | 97.8 | 96.8 |
|  |  | 113.6 | 103.9 | 101.6 | 100.8 | 102.4 | 100.5 | 99.6 | 99.4 | 97.1 | 91.3 | 92.2 | 91.6 |
|  |  | 105.0 | 100.7 | 100.0 | 102.1 | 103.4 | 102.2 | 103.0 | 101.5 | 97.8 | 94.7 | 93.3 | 94.4 |
|  Hom's: | Dac 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----m |  |
|  |  | 65.4 | 96.6 | (4) | (4) | 103.2 | 107.0 | (4) | (L/) | 108.8 | 97.4 | : (L/) | (4) |
| Dreases, house |  | 104.8 | 106.2 | 103.4 | 102.9 | 104.3 | 102.7 | 100.6 | 101.0 | 96.0 | 92.6 | 92.0 | 91.2 |
|  <br> lidren'as | Mar 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oifla' dremee |  |  |  | 97.8 | 105.2 | 103.2 | 103.7 | 105.6 | 204.5 | 95.4 | 92.7 | 92.3 | 92.7 |
| Cirio' panti |  |  |  | 95.5 | 99.1 | 102.7 | 103.9 | 103.9 | 205.1 | 1101.4 | 97.9 | 97.9 | 97.9 |
| Cring' apkl |  |  |  | 96.7 | 97.4 | 98.1 | 100.9 | 102.9 | 1202.9 | 103.6 | 102.2 | 101.5 | 100.9 |
| Boya' ahire |  |  |  | 96.9 | 104.6 | 100.7 | 96.9 | 109.9 | 209.1 | 92.3 | 87.7 | 100.7 | 98.4 |
| Boya' bkorte |  |  |  | 101.9 | 205.1 | 100.7 | 101.3 | 101.9 | 102.5 | 98.2 | 93.7 | 91.8 | 91.1 |
|  | Dec 52 |  | --mer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91.1 |
|  |  |  |  | 106.4 | 106.4 | 102.4 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 90.6 | 90.6 | 90.6 |
| Iard goode, peralo |  | .96.9 | 101.4 | $105: 7$ | 109.4 | 116.7 | 113.1 | 108.8 | 1200.5 | 88.9 | 86.7 | 84.6 | 84.9 |





| (1947-49700 unleas otherviee ppeotried) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left[\begin{array}{l} \text { Cher } \\ \text { 1ndex } \end{array}\right.$ | 1947 |  |  |  | 1948 |  |  |  | 1942 |  |  |  |
| Itam and proup | bater | Mar. | Jure - | Sept: | Dac. | Mar. | Jume | Sent. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Septo | Dec. |
| Madionl Care-Contirued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Group hoopltalitation | Dec 52 |  | --- | ---0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --mer |  |
| Presorlptions and drug |  | 34.6 | 95.8 | 97.5 | 98.9 | 100.6 | 101.2 | 101.7 | 102.2 | 202.3 | 102.6 | 102.9 | 103.1 |
| Preseriptionem |  | 91.6 | 93.1 | 96.2 | 98.4 | 101.0 | 101.7 | 102.5 | 103.6 | 103.6 | 104.0 | 102.6 | 105.1 |
|  |  | 98.5 | 99.4 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 200.3 | 100.3 | 100.3 |
|  |  | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 99.8 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 99.8 | 200.1 | 100.1 | 100.5 | 200.5 |
| Multiple Fitanin conoentrete-m-m--- | Deo 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----0- |  |
| Peraonal care |  | 97.3 | 97.1 | 97.2 | 100.0 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 102.1 | 102.7 | 201.9 | 101.2 | 100.3 | 100.1 |
|  |  | 93.4 | 93.14 | 94.5 | 97.0 | 99.1 | 99.2 | 102.9 | 105.5 | 205.1 | 104.7 | 104.? | 104.7 |
| Beauty shop eorfloes__-_-m-m |  | 100.3 | 100.3 | 100.9 | 100.7 | 101.3 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.7 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 99.5 | 98.7 |
| Shanpoo and veve |  | 99.5 | 99.6 | 100.7 | 99.2 | 99.8 | 100.2 | 101.? | 100.3 | 200.3 | 100.3 | 100.4 | 99.5 |
| Permanent mere- |  | 101.3 | 101.1 | 101.1 | 102.5 | 103.2 | 99.5 | 99.3 | 98.8 | 28.6 | 98.6 | 98.2 | 97.6 |
| Toilet goode- |  | 99.3 | 98.6 | 97.2 | 103.6 | 102.5 | 101.3 | 102.2 | 102.2 | 700.0 | 98.8 | 97.9 | 96.2 |
| Toothpaete |  | 95.5 | 95.7 | 96.6 | 97.3 | 97.5 | 97.3 | 103.9 | 104.0 | 104.3 | 104.14 | 105.1 | 103.2 |
| Face pouder |  | 91.9 | 95.4 | 98.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.2 | 100.2 | $100 . ?$ | 100.0 | 103.5 | 105.5 | 106.3 |
| Toslet ecap |  | 111.2 | 102.1 | 96.4 | 110.5 | 107.5 | 103.9 | 100.7 | 100.5 | 95.2 | 90.9 | 87.3 | 84.6 |
| Rasor bladea |  | 99.9 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 99.8 | 99.9 | 100.1 | P10.1: | 100.14 | 100.4 | 100.4 |
| Sanitary napkin |  | 82.5 | 91.6 | 94.5 | 96.3 | 98.6 | 103.3 | 106.9 | 106.3 | 106.8 | 106.8 | 106.9 | 106.9 |
| Clemsing tiesue | $\text { Dea } 52$ | ----- | ---- | ----- | ---- | ----- | ---- | ----- | ---m | ----- | ----- | ---x |  |
| Shaving orear. | Deo 52 |  | ---- | ----- |  |  |  | ----- |  |  | ----- | ----- |  |
| Face orean | Deo 52 |  | ----* | ----- | ----* |  |  | ----- |  |  | ----- | --**- |  |
| Shampoo-memereremer | Dec 52 |  | ----- | ----* |  |  |  | --0-9 |  | ---*- | ----* | ----- |  |
| Home permanert refill | Deo 52 |  | ---- |  |  | --* | --* |  |  | - |  | ----- | --- |
| Reading and recreation |  | 95.1 | 95.2 | 95.6 | 97.4 | 97.7 | 100.2 | 100.3 | 103.0 | 204. 1 | 103.9 | 104.9 | 104.3 |
| Motion-picture adal se |  | 98.7 | 97.6 | ( $4 /$ | 99.6 | 99.5 | 98.7 | 98.9 | 101.4 | 102.5 | 101.3 | 102.6 | 102.0 |
| Adults--- |  | 98.3 | 97.4 | 97.4 | 99.4 | 99.5 | 98.8 | 99.2 | 101.5 | 102.5 | 102.0 | 103.14 | 102.2 |
| Children |  | 201.4 | 98.9 | (4) | 100.4 | 99.8 | 99.4 | 98.1 | 101.6 | 103.9 | 97.4 | 97.9 | 102.1 |
|  |  | 91.4 | 92.4 | 92.6 | 93.9 | 95.7 | 102.7 | 103.7 | 106.1 | 107.0 | 107.0 | 107.0 | 107.0 |
| Tolevision 0 to | Deo 52 |  | ---*- | ----- | ---** | --- |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Radios, table | Dec 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.7 | 83.7 |
| Toye- | Deo 52 |  | ---6- | ----0 | ---- | ---* | -----a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sporting goode | Dec 52 |  | -->- |  | --- | ---* | ----* | --- |  | ---*- | ---*- |  |  |
| Television repelra | Deo 52 |  | --*-* |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---* | ---m | ---- |  |


seo footnoter at and of table.

Twble 12. Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected iters and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Cont'c)

| Itar and group | (1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1952 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other | 1950 |  |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | bases | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. |
|  |  | 100.7 | 101.8 | 104.4 | 106.? | 110.3 | 110.8 | 111.6 | 113.1 | 112.1 | 113.4 | 114.1 | 114.1 |
|  |  | 103.1 | 103.0 | 105.2 | 107.4 | 110.1 | 110.5 | 111.7 | 112.7 | 112.9 | 113.3 | 114.0 | 114.8 |
|  |  | 99.7 | 100.7 | 103.5 | 106.2 | 109.9 | 110.3 | 111.0 | 112.5 | 111.6 | 112.6 | 113.4 | 113.0 |
| Coumodities |  | 97.9 | 100.3 | 102.8 | 106.0 | 109.9 | 110.3 | 110.9 | 112.4 | 111.0 | 111.7 | 112.3 | 111.6 |
| Nondurables- |  | 97.6 | 100.2 | 102.5 | 105.8 | 109.9 | 110.3 | 111.0 | 112.4 | 110.8 | 111.7 | 112.5 | 111.5 |
| Nondurablea 1088 food |  | 99.3 | 99.0 | 102.0 | 104.9 | 108.1 | 108.3 | 109.6 | 109.8 | 109.1 | 108.5 | 109.3 | 109.1 |
| Nondurablee less food and apparel- |  | 102.4 | 101.6 | 104.8 | 107.8 | 110.1 | 110.2 | 109.9 | 111.5 | 111.7 | 111.7 | 112.9 | 113.3 |
|  |  | 102.4 | 102.4 | 106.1 | 108.8 | 112.4 | 112.5 | 112.5 | 113.9 | 114.4 | 113.3 | 113.5 | 113.8 |
|  |  | 98.3 | 98.3 | 104.0 | 108.1 | 112.1 | 112.0 | 110.5 | 110.5 | 109.3 | 107.7 | 107.6 | 107.7 |
|  |  | 99.5 | 99.4 | 102.6 | 105.5 | 108.5 | 108.8 | 109.8 | 110.4 | 110.0 | 109.3 | 109.7 | 109.8 |
| Services- |  | 107.4 | 107.9 | 109.2 | 110.8 | 113.1 | 113.7 | 115.0 | 116.5 | 117.6 | 119.3 | 120.3 | 121.9 |
|  |  | 107.0 | 107.0 | 108.9 | 111.0 | 113.8 | 114.2 | 115.5 | 117.0 | 118.1 | 120.3 | 121.5 | 122.5 |
| Household operation services, gas, and olectricity $\qquad$ |  | 105.1 | 105.2 | 106.2 | 107.8 | 108.6 | 108.9 | 109.7 | 111.8 | 112.4 | 113.2 | 114.0 | 115.3 |
| Household utilitios $1 /-$ |  | 104.8 | 104.9 | 105.5 | 105.6 | 105.8 | 105.8 | 106.9 | 107.8 | 108.7 | 109.2 | 109.8 | 110.5 |
|  |  | 115.5 | 115.7 | 120.8 | 123.1 | 127.0 | 128.3 | 131.8 | 132.6 | 134.3 | 138.2 | 14.1 .5 | 143.2 |
| Medical care aervices--m-m-m-m |  | 106.2 | 106.5 | 107.4 | 109.0 | 111.3 | 112.2 | 112.9 | 115.4 | 117.3 | 120.0 | 121.2 | 121.7 |
|  |  | 102.7 . | 102.4 | 103.3 | 106.3 | 109.2 | 109.2 | 109.3 | 109.9 | 110.4 | 111.9 | 111.6 | 112.0 |
| Food |  | 97.3 | 100.5 | 104.0 | 107.1 | 212.0 | 112.3 | 112.5 | 115.0 | 112.7 | 114.6 | 115.4 | 113.8 |
|  |  | 102.3 | 102.7 | 107.0 | 107.5 | 113.4. | 124.0 | 214.6 | 115.2 | 115.7 | 116.9 | 117.4 | 117.7 |
|  |  | 98.7 | 106.1 | 112.1 | 109.1 | 117.2 | 116.9 | 118.6 | 116.3 | 115.2 | 116.5 | 119.2 | 113.0 |
|  |  | 94.7 | 92.3 | 97.0 | 100.7 | 106.2 | 105.9 | 107.2 | 110.7 | 112.0 | 108.9 | 112.5 | 112.7 |
|  |  | 95.5 | 102.5 | 91.1 | 99.9 | 106.3 | 107.7 | 100.4 | 115.8 | 113.7 | 122.4 | 111.5 | 115.8 |
|  |  | 95.5 | 94.1. | 107.7 | 117.0 | 112.7 | 113.8 | 118.4 | 114.5 | 104.4 | 105.2 | 113.7 | 110.6 |
|  | Jan 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---3- |
|  |  | 104.6 | 104.9 | 107.1 | 109.4 | 111.7 | 112.3 | 112.9 | 113.9 | 114.0 | 114.0 | 114.8 | 116.4 |
|  |  | 107.8 | 108.7 | 109.5 | 110.4 | 111.9 | 112.7 | 114.2 | 115.6 | 116.7 | 117.6 | 118.3 | 120.7 |
| Hano maintemance and repairs-m---- | Dec 52 |  |  |  |  | ----- | ----- | ----- |  | ----- | ----- |  | 100.0 |
| Exterior house paint | Dec 52 | ----* | ----- |  | ----- | ----- | ----- | - | ----- | ----- | ----- | - | 100.0 |



Table 12. Consumer Price Index-United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Cont'd)

| Item and group | (1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other index bases | 1950 |  |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  | 1952 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. |
| Housing-Continued Housefurni ghinge--Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Furniture and bedding-- |  | 98.9 | 98.9 | 105.0 | 108.9 | 112.6 | 113.4 | 113.1 | 112.9 | 111.8 | 110.1 | 110.1 | 109.6 |
| Furniture- |  | 98.5 | 98.2 | 104.7 | 108.3 | 111.4 | 112.1 | 111.5 | 111.3 | 110.0 | 108.0 | 100.3 | 107.8 |
| Living room |  | 97.0 | 97.5 | 102.9 | 106.1 | 109.6 | 111.1 | 109.0 | 109.9 | 109.7 | 106.8 | 107.9 | 106.9 |
| Dinette sets- |  | 96.0 | 93.2 | 100.9 | 105.4 | 105.4 | 106.2 | 106.1 | 106.3 | 104.1 | 103.4 | 102.9 | 102.8 |
| Bedroom suites |  | 101.4 | 101.3 | 108.6 | 112.7 | 117.1 | 116.6 | 117.7 | 115.5 | 113.6 | 111.7 | 111.7 | 111.6 |
| Bedding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sofa beds |  | 98.8 | 101.0 | 102.6 | 104.9 | 110.6 | 111.2 | 112.2 120.3 | 111.2 | 120.6 | 108.0 | 108.5 117.3 | 111.2 |
|  |  | 99.1 | 98.9 94.5 | 107.8 97.7 | 115.3 100.5 | 119.7 104.0 | 121.0 103.2 | 120.3 101.2 | 121.0 | 120.3 99.8 | 119.3 98.1 | 117.3 97.9 | 115.9 97.9 |
|  |  | 95.4 106.1 | 94.5 105.5 | 97.7 107.9 | 100.5 110.8 | 104.0 111.6 | 103.2 | 101.2 112.0 | 101.2 | 99.8 111.6 | 112.0 | 97.9 112.5 | 97.9 114.0 |
| Waehing machi |  | 99.0 | 97.3 | 102.0 | 103.6 | 106.7 | 107.4 | 107.8 | 107.6 | 107.8 | 107.7 | 107.4 | 107.0 |
| Vacuum cleane |  | 97.8 | 97.4 | 98.9 | 101.5 | 105.6 | 106.1 | 105.5 | 105.5 | 107.3 | 108.2 | 108.1 | 108.2 |
| Refrigerators |  | 98.6 | 97.1 | 98.9 | 101.9 | 104.1 | 104.1 | 104.6 | 105.0 | 102.2 | 98.1 | 99.6 | 99.0 |
| Ranges- |  | 93.9 | 93.5 | 98.7 | 101.2 | 108.4 | 109.0 | 106.6 | 107.5 | 107.1 | 106.9 | 105.0 | 105.4 |
| Toasters | Dec |  |  |  | 108.6 | 110.0 | 105.8 | 103.1 | 102.5 | 102.3 | 100.5 | 100.2 | 100.0 |
| Miscellaneous housefurnishings : Dinnerware |  | 105.8 | 105.4 | 105.4 | 108.0 | 111.7 | 121.9 | 114.5 | 115.6 | 116.5 | 116.0 | 117.0 | 117.6 |
| Aluminum pans | Dec 52 |  | ----- | ----- | 95.8 | 98.3 | 98.8 | 98.8 | 99.1 | 100.4 | 100.2 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Dec 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
| Toilet tissue- |  | 94.0 | 93.8 | 96.2 | 200.3 | 108.1 | 107.6 | 105.6 | 105.1 | 104.9 | 103.2 | 103.9 | 103.2 |
| Electric light bulbs- | Dec 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
| Apparel--- |  | 96.8 | 96.5 | 99.2 | 102.2 | 106.2 | 106.6 | 109.3 | 108.1 | 106.4 | 105.6 | 105.8 | 105.1 |
| Men's and boys'- |  | 98.4 | 98.1 | 100.4 | 102.8 | 105.9 | 107.2 | 110.3 | 110.4 | 108.7 | 108.3 | 107.8 | 107.4 |
| Women's and girls' |  | 93.7 | 93.3 | 96.2 | 98.5 | 102.1 | 101.7 | 104.? | 103.0 | 101.4 | 100.5 | 101.6 | 100.4 |
| Footwear- |  | 101.5 | 102.1 | 105.2 | 110.3 | 116.8 | 117.8 | 119.6 | 117.9 | 116.4 | 115.4 | 114.2 | 124.4 |
| Other apparel------ |  | 89.5 | 88.4 | 94.4 | 99.1 | 103.8 | 103.7 | 100.4 | 96.2 | 92.8 | 91.3 | 91.5 | 92.5 |
| wool appare |  | (4/) | (4) | 104.9 | 105.7 | (4/) | (4) | 120.7 | 119.6 | (4) | (4/) | 116.6 | 124.3 |
| Men's: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 102.1 | 103.0 | 103.1 | 105.2 | 112.8 | 124.6 | 120.1 | 118.1 | 116.1 | 135.4 | 1113.7 | 112.6 |
| Suits, year-round-------------------------- |  | (10) | 102.9 | (1/) | (4) | $\xrightarrow{121}$ | 1115.3 | (18) | (4/) | (L) | 112.8 | (L/) | (4) |


| Trousers | Dec 52 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 1200.3 | 101.4 | 1105.2 | 106.4 | 108.9 | 109.1 | 1106.7 | 104.5 | 103.5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sveaters- |  | (4) | (4) | 101.2 | 108.4 | (4/) | (4/) | 129.3. | 130.4 | (4/): | (4/) | $\begin{aligned} & 103.5 \\ & 124.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l} 103.4 \\ 124.9 \end{array}\right.$ |
| Women's: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coats, heayy, plain |  | (4) 8 | (4/) | 107.9 | 107.3 | (4) | (4/) | 131.9 | 128.2 | (4/) | (4/) | 126.3 | 121.5 |
| Suats, ilght, pla |  | 89.8 100.8 | (4/) | (4/) 102.7 | (L/4) | 114.4 | (4/) | 112 | (4/) | 106.4 113.8 | (4) | (1/) | (4/) |
| Dressea |  | (4/) | (4/) | 103.0 | 103.0 | (4/) | (4) |  | 108.5 | 113.8 | (4) | 109.7 | 104.0 |
| Childrea's: |  | (4) | (4) | 103.0 | 103.0 | (L) |  |  | 102.8 |  | (4) | 107.2 | 103.3 |
| Boys' suite |  | 100.6 | (4) | 103.8. | 106.5 | 110.8 | (4) | 116.5 | 115.9 | 116.5 | (4) | 116.5 | 116.5 |
| Girls' coats Girls' skirts |  | (4/) | (L) | 102.6 | 100.0 | (4/) | ([5/) | 113.6 | 110.1 | (4) | (4) | 110.5 | 109.2 |
| Girls skirts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
| Cotton apparel Men'ss | Jun 55 | 92.8 | 92.9 | 95.1 | 98.2 | 102.2 | 102.9 | 102.9 | 101.7 | 100.5 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.2 |
| Shirts, business |  | 91.7 | 90.9 | 91.6 | 94.3 | 99.7 | 99.8 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 97.6 | 96.5 | 95.8 | 95.8 |
| Shirts, epo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----- |  |  |
|  |  | 87.4 | 87.0 | 90.7 | 96.1 | 99.7 | 101.5 | 99.7 | 94.8 | 92.6 | 91.7 | 91.2 | 91.2 |
| Shorts, woven |  | 89.3 | 89.3 | 93.9 | 96.2 | 102.2 | 104.1 | 104.1 | 103.5 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 98.4 | 98.1 |
| Underahirt |  | 95.0 | 95.5 | 98.3 | 108.6 | 112.0 | 112.6 | 112.0 | 110.3 | 104.1 | 100.6 | 100.1 | 100.1 |
| Socks-- |  | 96.2 | 96.6 | 98.7 | 100.2 | 105.8 | 107.1 | 107.7 | 107.7 | 107.4 | 106.2 | 104.0 | 103.7 |
| Trcusers, |  | 97.3 | 96.8 | 101.7 | 103.3 | 107.9 | 109.5 | 110.0 | 107.4 | 107.4 | 106.4 | 105.9 | 105.9 |
| Dungareeo- |  | 91.9 | 91.9 | 98.5 | 106.5 | 112.2 | 112.6 | 113.7 | 112.2 | 112.2 | 112.2 | 111.9 | 111.9 |
| Shirtes, work |  | 95.1 | 95.5 | 96.6 | 100.0 | 102.5 | 104.7 | 105.3 | 102.8 | 100.9 | 99.7 | 98.1 | 97.1 |
| Gloves, work Wamen's: | Dec 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- |  | --- |  |  | 100.0 |
| Dresses, street |  | 93.4 | 94.6 | (4/) | (4/) | 98.9 | 98.6 | (4/) | (4/) | 7.1. | 8.4 |  |  |
| Dresses, house |  | 92.0 | 93.4 | 92.9 | 95.3 | 98.6 | 98.0 | 95.9 | 94.7 | 97.1 94.2 | 98.4 94.2 | 94.2 | 94.4 |
| Blousers----- | Mar 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Children'os |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 92.7 | 94.8 | 94.6 | 94.6 | 98.7 | 99.5 | 106.5 | 105.6 | 104.5 | 103.8 | 104.2 | 101.7 |
| Girls' panties |  | 96.7 | 94.4 | 95.5 | 100.3 | 103.1 | 102.1 | 102.1 | 103.1 | 103.1 | 102.1 | 103.1 | 104.0 |
| Girls' anklete |  | 100.9 | 99.5 | 100.9 | 105.7 | 110.1 | 109.5 | 110.6 | 109.5 | 107.8 | 107.8 | 107.8 | 107.4 |
| Boys' shirto |  | 90.0 | 83.1 | 98.4 | 99.1 | 90.8 | 88.2 | 101.8 | 101.0 | 91.7 | 86.0 | 100.9 | 99.1 |
| Boye' shorte |  | 91.1 | 90.5 | 95.6 | 96.2 | 101.1 | 103.6 | 103.6 | 103.6 | 101.9 | 101.1 | 101.1 | 101.1 |
| Boye' jeans <br> Other cotton apparel: | Deo 52 |  |  | - | 95.9 | 99.7 | 100.8 | 100.3 | 100.0 | 98.6 | 100.8 | 100.5 | 100.0 |
| Diapers- |  | 90.6 | 86.7 | 94.6 | 98.5 | 102.2 | 102:2 | 101.4 | 97.4 | 96.8 | 93.7 | 94.4 | 96.5 |
| Fard goods, percalo? |  | 86.7 | 86.1 | 94.1 | 100.2 | 106.0 | 106.0 | 98.0 | 95.3 | 91.3 | 90.5 | 91.3 | 92.3 |

Table 12. Consumer Price Index-United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Cont'd)


| Shoe repairs | Jan 53 | 101.5 | 101.5 | 102.4 | 105.3 | 112.4 | 113.4 | 113.9 | 113.8 | 113.8 | 113.8 | 113.8 | 113.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transportation- |  | 109.3 | 109.9 | 112.7 | 114.1 | 116.9 | 117.5 | 119.7 | 122.2 | 124.4 | 126.3 | 127.7 | 128.9 |
| Privato- |  | 106.9 | 106.6 | 108.3 | 109.1 | 110.8 | 111.3 | 113.4 | 116.7 | 118.8 | 119.4 | 121. 2 | 121.9 |
| Automobiles, |  | 109.9 | 110.0 | 110.0 | 110.2 | 113.1 | 113.4 | 116.6 | 121.1 | 125.0 | 125.0 | 125.7 | 126.5 |
| Auto |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99.5 |
| Tirer-- |  | 102.4 | 105.6 | 120.9 | 129.6 | 130.6 | 130.4 | 130.6 | 130.9 | 130.9 | 130.8 | 129.3 | 130.0 |
| Casoline |  | 103.5 | 103.9 | 106.0 | 107.4 | 108.4 | 107.9 | 107.1 | 109.8 | 110.7 | 111.6 | 111.9 | 110.5 |
| Motor oil |  | 105.4 | 105.4 | 105.7 | 1.06 .0 | 106.9 | 106.5 | 106.9 | 107.6 | 107.1 | 107.6 | 107.6 | 106.9 |
|  |  | 103.4 | 102.7 | 109.4 | 108.9 | 113.4 | 213.8 | 114.7 | 114.6 | 114.9 | 116.9 | 11.6 .9 | 117.5 |
|  |  | 102.3 | 102.3 | 102.3 | 102.0 | 102.1 | 102.1 | 102.1 | 102.1 | 105.9 | 105.9 | 105.9 | 106.4 |
| Auto insurano <br> Publio |  | 114.6 | 104.1 | 102.4 | 102.4 | 102.4 | 104.8 | 118.4 | 121.6 | 121.6 | 121.6 | 144.7 | 146.9 |
| Publio Transit fai |  | 216.8 | 117.9 | 123.3 | 126.2 | 131.6 | 132.5 | 135.1 | 135.7 | 138.1 | 143.0 | 143.5 | 145.8 |
| Transit fases, Rasluad fares, |  | 117.2 | 119.1 | 125.5 | 128.7 | 135.9 | 136.0 | 138.8 | 139.14 | 141.3 | 148.1 | 148.7 | $150.9$ |
| Railroad fares, |  | 117.0 | 117.0 | 117.0 | 117.0 | 117.0 | 117.0 | 117.0 | 118.1 | 118.1 | 118.1 | 118.1 | $118.1$ |
| Modionl oareMedical caro lass hospital rates |  | 105.1 | 105.4 | 107.0 | 108.0 | 109.9 | 111.0 | 111.8 | 114.3 | 115.7 | 117.8 | 118.8 | 119.3 |
| and group hospitalieation- |  | 104.2 | 104.6 | 105.5 | 106.3 | 108.0 | 108.3 | 109.2 | 110.2 | 111.0 | 111.5 | 112.4 | 113.0 |
|  |  | 103.7 | 103.8 | 104.6 | 105.1 | 107.2 | 107.6 | 108.6 | 110.3 | 111.7 | 112.6 | 114.0 | 114.3 |
| General practitioners' fees- |  | 103.7 | 103.7 | 104. 5 | 104.9 | 107.4 | 107.7 | 108.6 | 110.4 | 111.9 | 112.9 | 114.1 | 114.5 |
| Office visit- |  | 103.2 | 103.2 | 104. 4 | 104.9 | 106.8 | 107.1 | 108.4 | 109.2 | 110.8 | 112.0 | 113.0 | 113.1 |
| Houss visit |  | 104.2 | 104.2 | 104.7 | 104.7 | 107.9 | 107.8 | 107.7 | 108.8 | 109.5 | 110.6 | 112.2 | 213.0 |
| Obatetrical |  | 103.9 | 103.9 | 104.2 | 105.8 | 107.6 | 109.5 | 111.7 | 119.2 | 122.3 | 122.7 | 123.5 | 123.7 |
| Surgeons' fees |  | 104.0 | 104.2 | 105.0 | 105.6 | 106.3 | 106.6 | 108.3 | 109.1 | 110.6 | 110.7 | 112.9 | 113.3 |
| Appendeotomy |  | 103.5 | 103.5 | 105.1 | 105.8 | 105.8 | 106.1 | 109.3 | 109.6 | 110.8 | 111.2 | 113.5 | 113.8 |
| Tonsilleotan |  | 104.5 | 104.9 | 104.9 | 105.3 | 106.8 | 107.1 | 107.4 | 108.5 | 110.3 | 110.3 | 112.3 | 112.9 |
| Dontists' Iees |  | 105.5 | 106.7 | 107.8 | 108.7 | 110.4 | 110.5 | 111.6 | 112.4 | 212.6 | 112.8 | 113.7 | 114.8 |
| Extractione |  | 105.5 | 106.7 | 107.7 | 108.7 | 109.6 | 109.8 | 111.2 | 111.8 | 112.3 | 112.6 | 113.8 | 11.5 .1 |
| Optonetric examination and |  | 106.1 | 106.9 | 108.1 | 109.2 | 112.5 | 112.4 | 112.8 | 114.2 | 113.7 | 113.7 | 113.7 | 1.4 .0 |
| eyoglasases- |  | 104.0 | 104.2 | 104.0 | 106.4 | 108.9 | 109.4 | 109.7 | 109.9 | 110.4 | 110.7 | 110.5 | 110.9 |
| Hoopital rates- |  | 113.4 | 113.5 | 114.5 | 119.1 | 125.3 | 127.3 | 127.9 | 131.2 | 137.3 | 140.0 | 141.6 | 113.4 |
| Mon's pay mard |  | 115.5 | 116.7 | 117.5 | 121.6 | 129.7 | 131.7 | 132.5 | 135.7 | 143.1 | 146.0 | 147.2 | 149.5 |
| Sendprivato rock |  | 113.3 | 113.3 | 114.7 | 119.7 | 124.7 | 126.8 | 127.6 | 131.4 | 136.7 | 138.8 | 139.7 | 141.3 |
| Private roos- |  | 111.6 | 110.5 | 111.6 | 116.5 | 120.9 | 122.9 | 123.2 | 126.5 | 131.5 | 134.0 | 136.5 | 137.9 |

Table 12. Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid)

| Item and group | (1947-49=100 unlese otherrise specified) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1952 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other | 1950 |  |  |  | 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | bases | Yar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. |
| Medical Care-Contimsed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dec 52 |  |  |  | 83.1 | 83.6 | 85.5 | 85.5 | 90.3 | 91.9 | 99.3 | 100.2 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 103.0 | 103.2 | 104.7 | 165.8 | 106.6 | 106.8 | 107.5 | 107.4 | 107.7 | 107.8 | 107.9 | 108.5 |
|  |  | 105.1 | 105.5 | 108.4 | 110.5 | 111.6 | 112.2 | 113.1 | 112.8 | 113.4 | 113.6 | 113.6 | 114.3 |
| Aspirin tablets |  | 100.3 | 100.3 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 100.0 | 99.4 | 99.4 | 99.4 |
|  |  | 100.5 | 100.5 | 100.5 | 100.5 | 100.5 | 100.6 | 101.0 | 101.2 | 101.4 | 101.4 | 101.4 | 101.4 |
| Maltiple vitamin concentrate-------- | Dec 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |
|  |  | 99.1 | 99.2 | 101.3 | 107.4 | 110.7 | 110.8 | 110.0 | 111.1 | 111.0 | 111.7 | 112.1 | 112.5 |
| Men's haircuts |  | 104.7 | 107.2 | 108.4 | 116.2 | 118.2 | 118.2 | 118.5 | 122.0 | 123.5 | 128.4 | 130.0 | 131.5 |
|  |  | 98.4 | 97.9 | 98.2 | 98.8 | 100.9 | 101.8 | 102.0 | 103.4 | 103.5 | 104.4 | 104.4 | 104.4 |
| Shanpoo and wave set |  | 99.8 | 99.5 | 99.8 | 100.6 | 103.3 | 104.3 | 1 CL .7 | 107.0 | 107.1 | 108.3 | 1 c 8.7 | 109.1 |
| Perranent wave- |  | 96.7 | 95.8 | 96.1 | 96.3 | 97.5 | 98.5 | 98.3 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 98.9 | 98.3 | 97.9 |
| Toilet goods- |  | 93.7 | 93.4 | 95.5 | 104.3 | 107.8 | 107.9 | 105.9 | 105.9 | 104.9 | 104.2 | 104.1 | 104.1 |
| Toothpaste |  | 100.2 | 100.2 | 100.9 | 110.1 | 110.6 | 110.4 | 108.6 | 108.6 | 106.6 | $106 . ?$ | 106.8 | 106.7 |
| Face powder |  | 106.5 | 106.8 | 1017.0 | 130.4 | 131.4 | 132.5 | 132.5 | 132.7 | 132.7 | 132.7 | 132.7 | 132.7 |
| Toilet scap |  | 80.7 | 79.8 | 84.2 | 89.3 | 95.5 | 94.8 | 89.7 | 88.9 | 86.7 | 84.1 | 83.8 | 83.7 |
| Razor blades |  | 100.4 | 100.4 | 100.4 | 100.4 | 100.4 | 1 CO .9 | 100.9 | 100.9 | 100.9 | 100.9 | 100.9 | 100.9 |
| Sanitary napkin |  | 106.8 | 106.8 | 107.4 | 112.6 | 122.0 | 122.4 | 122.0 | 123.7 | 124.6 | 125.0 | 125.2 | 125.2 |
| Cleanaing ties | Dec 52 | ----- | ---7* | ------ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ----- |  | 100.0 |
| Shaving crea | Dec 52 |  | ---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---- |  | 100.0 |
| Face cream | Dec 52 |  | ----- | ----- | ----2- |  |  |  |  |  | ---- | - | 100.0 |
| Shampoo | Dec 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 100.0 |
|  | Dec 52 |  |  |  | 84.2 | 84.2 | 84.2 | 84.2 | 84.2 | 90.2 | 97.1 | 200.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 104.4 | 102.5 | 102.7 | 104.1 | 107.0 | 106.5 | 105.8 | 106.5 | 106.3 | 106.8 | 107.3 | 108.0 |
| Motion-plcture admissions---m-n-m- |  | 102.6 | 100.6 | 101.1 | 102.0 | 104.5 | 102.8 | 102.7 | 102.9 | 103.7 | 104.8 | 103.9 | 104.0 |
| Adults - |  | 103.1 | 101.2 | 101.7 | 102.7 | 105.6 | 104.4 | 104.3 | 104.5 | 105.1 | 106.6 | 105.6 | 105.6 |
| Children- |  | 99.9 | 98.1 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 97.5 | 93.0 | 92.2 | 92.5 | 95.1 | 93.3 | 93.2 | 94.5 |
| Newspapers- |  | 107.9 | 1207. | 108.7 | 108.0 | 109.0 | 109.6 | 109.6 | 112.8 | 112.8 | 314.7 | 120.2 | 121.1 |
| Television sets | Dec 52 |  |  |  | 117.9 | 123.1 | 228.5 | 108.6 | 1108.4 | 104.1 | 100.7 | 99.2 | 100.0 |
| Radios, table | Dac 52 | B8. 4 | 88.1 | 91.6 | 95.2 | 99.2 | 99.5 | 100.2 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 100.5 | 100.3 | 100.0 |
| Toye--- | Dec 52 |  |  |  | ----- | ----- |  |  |  |  |  | --- | 100.0 |
| Sporting goods- | Dec 52 | ----- | ----- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -->--* | 100.0 |
| Television repairs- | Dec 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |



See footnotes at and of table.

Table 12. Consumer Price Index--Untted States city average: Indaxas of selected iteme and groups, quarterly, 27h7-58 (Cont id;

| Itan and roup | (1947-49=100 unlege otbernico apeaifice) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1955 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | bumpa | Sare | June. | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sent. | Dese | Mar. | Juno | Septe | Dece |
| 011 1tome |  | 113.6 | 114. 5 | 115.2 | 121.9 | 114.8 | 115.1 | 111.7 | 11/. 3 | 114.3 | 214.4 | 214.9 | 114.7 |
| A11 itmen leas food |  | 115.1 | 115.5 | 116.3 | 116.6 | 116.5 | 116.3 | 126.3 | 116.6 | 116.1 | 215.3 | 116.9 | 117.6 |
| All itoae loas aheltor |  | 112.4 | 113.3 | 113.3 | 113.4 | 113.1 | 113.4 | 112.7 | 112.2 | 112.? | 112.3 | 112.7 | 112.4 |
| Comoditi |  | 110.7 | 11.5 | 111.7 | 111.0 | 110.6 | 110.9 | 109.7 | 109.1 | 109.0 | 108.9 | 109.2 | 108.7 |
| Nomdurebie |  | 110.5 | 111.6 | 112.1 | 111.5 | 111.2 | 112.0 | 111.3 | 110.1 | 110.2 | 110.4 | 111.1 | 110.2 |
| Nondurablen lens food- |  | 109.7 | 109.6 | 110.7 | 111.1 | 110.9 | 110.4 | 12.4 | 110.15 | 110.2 | 109.9 | 112.1 | 111.6 |
| Nondurables leas food and apparel- |  | 114.6 | 114.5 | 115.9 | 116.6 | 116.8 | 116.1 | 116.2 | 116.9 | 116.4 | 116.0 | 117.1 | 117.9 |
|  |  | 113.1 | 112.8 | 112.3 | 110.9 | 210.1 | 108.7 | 106.2 | 107.0 | 106.2 | 104.7 | 103.? | 105.1 |
| Dursbles lese care |  | 107.3 | 107.2 | 106.3 | 106.4 | 105.9 | 103.7 | 102.9 | 102.5 | 101.9 | 101.0 | 101.1 | 100.3 |
| commodities lese food |  | 110.0 | 109.8 | 110.2 | 110.0 | 109.4 | 108.6 | 107.7. | 108.1 | 107.6 | 107.0 | 107.3 | 108.1 |
|  |  | 122.7 | 123.8 | 125.4 | 126.2 | 126.8 | 127.3 | 128.1 | 128.5 | 129.0 | 129.6 | 130.4 | 130.9 |
| Servicea leas rent |  | 123.3 | 124.3 | 125.6 | 126.3 | 126.9 | 127.5 | 129.4 | 128.7 | 127.2 | 129.9 | 130.8 | 131.3 |
| Houshold oparition mervioes, gan, and electriaity |  | 116.1 | 117.2 | 117.8 | 118.3 | 118.6 | 117.7 | 117.9 | 118.4 | 119.1 | 120.9 | 121.8 | 122.3 |
| Housohold utilitios $1 /$ - |  | 111.0 | 111.8 | 112.7 | 113.5 | 113.8 | 112.2 | 112.4 | 113.1 | 11.2 | 115.3 | 115.8 | 115.9 |
|  |  | 145.9 | 115.8 | 150.3 | 150.5 | 154.0 | 153.8 | 153.9 | 154.4 | 154.8 | 153.7 | 153.3 | 153.6 |
| Mrdionl onre morolicen- |  | 122.3 | 123.7 | 124.5 | 125.0 | 126.4 | 127.3 | 128.2 | 129.3 | 130.6 | 130.8 | 131.6 |  |
| Other mervioes |  | 112.4 | 113.2 | 114.1 | 124.7 | 114.7 | 116.1 | 117.4 | 117.3 | 117.4 | 118.1 | 119.3 | 119.3 |
| Food |  | 111.7 | 113.7 | 213.8 | 112.3 | 112.1 | 113.8 | 112.4 | 110.4 | 110.8 | 111.3 | 111.6 | 109.5 |
| Food at hove- |  | 111.3 | 113.7 | 113.5 | 111.7 | 121.4 | 213.3 | 111.6 | 109.2 | 109.7 | 110.3 | 110.4 | 1207.9 |
| corrale and bakery produc |  | 117.7 | 118.9 | 120.3 | 120.9 | 121.2 | 121.3 | 122.6 | 123.3 | 123.7 | 124.0 | 124.0 | 123.9 |
| Mants, poultry, ard flah |  | 107.4 | 211.3 | 213.5 | 107.8 | 109.5 | 111.1 | 106.7 | 102.2 | 152.3 | 103.8 | 103.5 | 94.6 |
| Dairy producte |  | 110.3 | 107.5 | 109.6 | 110.3 | 108.0 | 102.9 | 105.8 | 1106.8 | 105.4 | 104.1 | 106.5 | 107.7 |
| Fruite and vegotables--_-_-_-_- |  | 115.5 | 121.7 | 106.6 | 109.2 | 107.8 | 117.1 | 110.5 | 1108.4 | 112.0 | 119.5 | 110.2 | 110.7 |
|  |  | 109.1 | 110.9 | 116.7 | 113.5 | 112.3 | 115.2 | 116.0 | 112.0 | 111.9 | 107.7 | 114.1 | 113.7 |
|  | Jan 53 | 100.1 | 100.2 | 101.0 | 101.7 | 101.9 | 102.3 | 202.7 | 202.8 | 102.9 | 102.9 | 103.6 | 101.3 |
| Houeling 2/- |  | 116.3 | 117.4 | 118.4 | 118.9 | 119.0 | 128.9 | 119.5 | 119.7 | 117.6 | 119.7 | 120.4 | 120.8 |
|  |  | 121.7 | 123.3 | 126.0 | 127.6 | 128.0 | 128.3 | 128.8 | 129.4 | 130.0 | 130.4 | 130.5 | 131.1 |
| Howo mintomance and repairom___-_ | Doe 52 | 100.6 | 101.6 | 103.0 | 103.2 | 103.6 | 103.6 | 103.8 | 103.7 | 104.4 | 105.8 | 107.1 | 107.7 |
| Exterior bouse paint- | Dac 52 | 100.3 | 99.9 | 99.6 | 102.6 | 103.5 | 102.7 | 102.? | 103.1 | 103.0 | 103.5 | 103.8 | 104.3 |


| Porch flooring | Doe 52\| | 100.9 | 101.4 | 100.0 | 200.7 | 100.9 | 101.2 | 102.6 | 103.1 | 104.1 | 105.3 | 105.3 | 106.3. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meter heatar | Dee 52 | 100.7 | 101.3 | 101.7 | 101.8 | 102.2 | 100.3 | 100. 3 | 100.3 | 100.5 | 101.4 | 102.9 | 104.0 |
| Cabinot litchen a | Dea 52 | 100.4 | 100.1 | 101.4 | 101.5 | 101.7 | 101.7 | 101.3 | 100.1 | 100.4 | 101.9 | 104.1 | 104.2 |
| Sink laveet | Dac 52 | 100.1 | 101.5 | 104.0 | 102.6 | 102.6 | 102.4 | 102.7 | 102.6 | 104.2 | 105.7 | 108.7 | 112.7 |
| Repaloting | Dec 52 | 100.8 | 105.1 | 107.7 | 107.9 | 108.4 | 110.2 | 110.3 | 110.0 | 110.5 | 114.7 | 115.5 | 115.0 |
| Repainting gar | Dea. 52 | 101.1 | 105.5 | 108.1 | 108.4 | 109.3 | 111.6 | 111.3 | 111.4 | 111.7 | 115.3 | 116.8 | 116.7 |
| Refini ming flow | Deo 52 | 100.3 | 101.5 | 104.4 | 103.4 | 103.0 | 104.5 | 106.7 | 106.5 | 106.2 | 107.8 | 110.0 | 110.8 |
| Reahingling root | Dec 52 | 100.9 | 102.3 | 106.9 | 108.2 | 109.6 | 110.6 | 210.6 | 111.1 | 117.5 | 213.0 | 115.9 | 117.2 |
| Othar home-owner cootes Firot mortgag 1ntereat | Dee 52 | (4/) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property inmurame rate | Dee 52 | (5) | 100.0 | 105.8 $(4 /)$ | 100.0 | (4/) | 4 95.9 | 105.0 $(4 /)$ | 95.3 | (4/) | (4) 9 | 105.3 $(4 /)$ | 94.3 |
| 5 and elactrioity |  | 100.5 | 206.4 | 106.9 | 107.2 | 107.6 | 107.6 | 107.9 | 109.1 | 110.3 | 110.7 | 171.2 | 111.5 |
| Ca |  | 108.4 | 108.8 | 109.3 | 110.7 | 110.8 | 110.9 | 111.0 | 112.8 | 115.0 | 115.5 | 116.4 | 116.9 |
| Electricity |  | 104.5 | 104.1 | 104.2 | 103.8 | 104.5 | 104.5 | 104.9 | 105.5 | 105.8 | 106.2 | 106.2 | 106. 4 |
| Solid fuels and |  | 124.4 | 121.8 | 124.6 | 125.3 | 125.8 | 120.9 | 122.4 | 125.5 | 126.2 | 122.7 | 125.2 | 128.0 |
| Solid tuela |  | 125.3 | 122.0 | 124.7 | 125.6 | 125.6 | 120.1 | 121.9 | 123.9 | 124.4 | 119.7 | 123.3 | 126.3 |
| Petrolinus Ivele |  | 119.3 | 117.8 | 120.6 | 121.1. | 122.2 | 218.4 | 119.3 | 124.0 | 124.9 | 123.2 | 724.2 | 126.6 |
|  |  | 114.0 | 125.4 | 116.0 | 117.0 | 117.5 | 217.2 | 217.4 | 117.7 | 117.9 | 119.2 | 119.8 | 120.7 |
| laundry oca ps and detergenterm-m |  | 89.1 | 89.3 | 89.5 | 89.6 | 91.6 | 94.6 | 94.9 | 95.0 | 95.1 | 94.9 | 95.4 | 95.9 |
| Launiry enryice |  | 122.4 | 123.3 | 123.9 | 124.3 | 124.4 | 724.4 | 124.8 | 125.0 | 125.3. | 126.1 | 127.5 | 229.7 |
| Dry oleaning en |  | 114.1 | 116.6 | 116.6 | 116.4 | 116.1 | 716.4 | 116.7 | 116.9 | 116.4 | 117.9 | 719.5 | 120.1 |
| Doesetio |  | 120.1 | 120.2 | 120.6 | 220.6 | 121.7 | 221.8 | 121.8 | 121.8 | 122.3 | 122.3 | 122.9 | 222.9 |
| Tolepho |  | 120.8 | 123.2 | 125.4 | 125.4 | 225.4 | 119.4 | 119.4 | 119.6 | 119.7 | 119.7 | 120.3 | 120.3 |
| Poat |  | 119.1 | 119.1 | 119.1 | 129.7 | 129.9 | 729.9 | 129.9 | 229.9 | 129.9 | 129.9 | 129.9 | 129.9 |
| Motar- | Dec 52 | (1y) | 101.4 | (4/) | (4) | (4) | 203.1 | (4) | (4) | (4) | 117.8 | (4) | (4/) |
| angonentahinge |  | 108.0 | 108.0 | 208.1 | 108.1 | 107.2 | 205.8 | 106.0 | 105.4 | 104.6 | 103.8 | $105.6$ | $103.4$ |
| Textiles- | Deo 52 | 99.8 | 98.6 | 97.7 | 98.1 | 95.2 | 93.7 | 93.8 | 93.6 | 92.6 | 92.0 | 92.2 | 95.4 |
| Tovels, b |  | 115.0 | 114.0 | 113.4 | 114.2 | 113.1 | 133.1 | 111.1 | 109.7 | 108.6 | 110.8 | 110.1 | 211.3 |
| Stuete, |  | 100.6 | 97.5 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 89.3 | 44.9 | 85.5 | 87.7 | 85.5 | 83.3 | 82.5 | 88.1 |
| Curtains |  | 106.4 | 106.4 | 105.2 | 105.0 | 102.1 | 101.5 | 102.9 | 100.1 | 100.4 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 7104.8 |
| Blanbete, vool |  | (4) | (4/) | 124.9 | 127.6 | (4) | (4) | 122.6 | 120.9 | (4) | (4) | 222.4 | 230.3 |
| Bedegreede, ootton | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} \text { Deo } & 52 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | 100.3 | 100.4 | 100.6 | 101.4 | 98.3 | 98.9 | 99.2 | 99.9 | 99.8 | 200.0 | -99.8 | 99.7 |
| Drepery fatric, cottoo | Doc 52 | 100.0 | 98.3 | 96.9 | 96.9 | 96.8 | 95.9 | 95.4 | 94.6 | 94.2 | 94.1 | 94.4 | 94.6 |
|  |  | 142.3 | 112.3 | 11.200 | 14.6 | 240.7 | 40.0 | 243.7 | 144.4 | W4. 1 | 042.1 | 00.0 | 24.4 |
| Carpete, wool broedloc |  | 218.1 | 217.7 | $\underline{217.8}$ | 216.5 | 113.1 | 110.9 | 111.6 | $113.7$ | 013.6 | 113.2 | 114.8 | 115.7 |
| Carpete, rayen trondloo | Dee 52 | 99.9 | $98.3$ | 96.5 | 93.3 | 95.3 | 94.9 | 97.1 | 95.2 | 94.4 | 94.4 | 96.0 | 96.8 |
| Burpe folt been=- |  | 1107.1 | 1107.8 | 110.3 | 212.3 | 115.1 | 115.3 | 216.0 | 116.0 | 218.4 | H19.4 | 118.3 | 218.4 |

Table 12. Conoumer Price Index-United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 19l47-58 (Contid)


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline $\qquad$ \& \multirow[b]{8}{*}{Dec 52} \& (L) \& (L) \& 123.3 \& 123.1 \& (4/) \& (4/) \& 120.7 \& 121.3 \& (4) \& (4) \& 121.2 \& 121.4 <br>
\hline Costs, beary, plain \& \& (4/) \& (4/) \& 123.9 \& 122.6 \& (4/) \& (4) \& 122.6 \& 116.9 \& (4/) \& (4) \& 119.1 \& 117.7 <br>
\hline Conte, llcht, plain \& \& 107.6 \& (L/) \& (LL/) \& (L4) \& 106.5 \& (4) \& (4.1) \& (4/) \& 105.0 \& (4) \& (4) \& (4/) <br>
\hline  \& \& 107.7 \& (LI) \& 107.9 \& 103.1 \& 109.0 \& (4) \& 106.0 \& 102.2 \& 100.6 \& (4) \& 101. \& 100.0 <br>
\hline DrasmanChildren'e: \& \& (LL/) \& (LI/) \& 100.7 \& 105.1 \& (L/) \& (L) \& 104.0 \& 103.3 \& (4/) \& (4) \& 107.7 \& 108.6 <br>
\hline  \& \& 117.7 \& (4/) \& 120.1 \& 120.5 \& 121.2 \& (4/) \& 12]. 2 \& 122.1 \& 121.8 \& (4/) \& 122.4 \& 122.8 <br>
\hline  \& \& (L/) \& (4) \& 110.2 \& 106.9 \& (4/) \& (4) \& 110.7 \& 106.1 \& (4/) \& (4) \& 112.4 \& 104.7 <br>
\hline Girle akipt \& \& (LI) \& (4/) \& 100.3 \& 101.9 \& (4) \& (4) \& 101.5 \& 101.5 \& (4/) \& (5) \& 102.0 \& 103.0 <br>
\hline Cotton appa Man'e: \& \multirow[b]{10}{*}{Jun 55} \& 99.6 \& 99.6 \& 99.8 \& 90.5 \& 99.3 \& 99.1 \& 98.5 \& 98.4 \& 98.3 \& 99.1 \& 99.7 \& 100.0 <br>
\hline Shirte, bual mas---- \& \& 96.6 \& 97.3 \& 97.5 \& 97.9 \& 96:8 \& 96.8 \& 96.5 \& 96 \& 96.2 \& 95.9 \& 95.6 \& 95.7 <br>
\hline  \& \& \& 27.3- \& \& 97.9 \& \& \& \& \& - \& 100.0 \& 101.6 \& 102.1 <br>
\hline Pa jarase-
Shorte. \& \& 91.8 \& 91.8 \& 92.4 \& 91.6 \& 90.7 \& 90.6 \& 90.5 \& 90.6 \& 90.8 \& 90.6 \& 90.6 \& 90.7 <br>
\hline Shorte, vor Under whirte \& \& 98.2 \& 97.9 \& 97.4 \& 97.5 \& 96.4 \& 96.2 \& 96.5 \& 95.8 \& 94.9 \& 95.1 \& 95.4 \& \%6.0 <br>
\hline Sooke- \& \& 100.4 \& 100.0 \& 100.7 \& 100.6 \& 99.4 \& 99.7 \& 99.6 \& 99.6 \& 99.6 \& 99.5 \& 99.6 \& 99.9 <br>
\hline Troucere, \& \& 103.9 \& 103.8 \& 104.5 \& 104.6 \& 106.7 \& 106.2 \& 105.9 \& 105.8 \& 105.8 \& 105.4 \& 106.5 \& 106.5 <br>
\hline Ircusert, \& \& 105.5 \& 106.1 \& 104.5 \& 104.0 \& 103.4 \& 103.1 \& 102.9 \& 102.6 \& 101.3 \& 101.2 \& 101. 4 \& 101.8 <br>
\hline Shirte, vork \& \& 112.0
96.1 \& 110.6
96.4 \& 110.7 \& 110.6
96.6 \& 109.3 \& 108.8 \& 106.4
92.6 \& 206.4 \& 206.2 \& 106.1 \& 106.3 \& 106.3 <br>
\hline Gloves, work \& \& 96.1
100.5 \& 96.4
96.6 \& 96.4
96.4 \& 96.6
95.9 \& 96.1
95.0 \& 95.1
94.8 \& 92.6
91.7 \& 92.5
91.7 \& 92.1
91.5 \& 92.9
90.7 \& 94.2
91.0 \& 95.0 <br>
\hline Hapn's: \& \multirow{11}{*}{Mar 56

Deo 52} \& 100.5 \& 90.6 \& 90.4 \& 95.9 \& 95.0 \& 94.0 \& 91.7 \& 91.7 \& 91.5 \& 90.7 \& 91.0 \& 91.2 <br>

\hline  \& \& 102.5 \& $$
103.1
$$ \& (4/) \& (4/) \& 105.7 \& 105.2 \& (4/) \& (4/) \& 108.4 \& 111.3 \& (4) \& (L/) <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dresees, hou } \\
& \text { Blouees? }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& 94.9 \& 95.2 \& 95.0 \& 94.4 \& 91.9 \& 95.2- \& 94.2 \& 94.2 \& 94.1 \& 94.2 \& 94.9 \& 94.8 <br>

\hline Otrlal dreene \& \& 104.2 \& 104. 2 \& 107.3 \& 107.5 \& 108.7 \& 108.1 \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Qirin' pantio \& \& 403.6 \& 104.0 \& 103.9 \& 104.5 \& 103.1 \& 103.0 \& 103.6 \& 104.7 \& 104.3 \& 102.8 \& 105.3 \& 106.4 <br>
\hline Glris' ankl \& \& 105.4 \& 105.3 \& 107.3 \& 107.9 \& 107.9 \& 107.5 \& 107.3 \& 207.3 \& 106.7 \& 104.8 \& 105.1 \& 105.1 <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Boys' ahlo } \\
& \text { Beop' ahot }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \& 98.9 \& 98.8 \& 99.5 \& 99.3 \& 99.4 \& 97.4 \& 95.9 \& 95.1 \& 95.3 \& 94.7 \& 94.3 \& 95.2 <br>

\hline Boye' Jeane__rern \& \& 102.4 \& 102.3. \& 102.8 \& 102.9
97 \& 103.1 \& 102.9 \& 102.8 \& 101.0 \& 98.3 \& 98.3 \& 98.5 \& 99.1 <br>
\hline Orber ootton apparel: \& \& 2006 \& 100.2 \& 98.9 \& 97.6 \& 96.3 \& 95.9 \& 94.9 \& 95.2 \& 93.9 \& 93.5 \& 92.1 \& 92.4 <br>
\hline Diaperremer \& \& 96:9 \& 96.7 \& 96.0 \& 88.6 \& 87.2 \& 91.2 \& 90.9 \& 91.4 \& 91.1 \& 91.0 \& 91.5 \& 91.5 <br>
\hline land goods, perea \& \& 92.1 \& 92.1 \& 92.2 \& 92.7 \& 91.0 \& 91.1 \& 91.2 \& 91.5 \& 92.2 \& 91.0 \& 91.5 \& 91.4 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

See footiontes at end of tablo.

Table 12. Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 19h7-58 (Cont ${ }^{\text {I }}$ )

| Ites and mapup |  | - 1953 |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {apeotried }}$ - |  |  |  | 1955 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Othor } \\ & \text { index } \\ & \text { beees } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Yar. | June | Sent. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sapt. | Dece |
| Apparel-Contimed <br> Marmade IIbere apparol |  | 87.0 | 86.7 | 86.7 | 86.7 | 85.7 | 85.2 | 85.0 | 84. 7 | 84.1 | 82.3 | 83.2 | 83.0 |
| Man'le: |  | 87.0. | 86.7 | 86.7 | 86.7 | 85.7 | 05.2 |  | 24.7 | 84.1 | 82.3 | 83.2 |  |
| Sulte, reyop--- | Jun 53 | (4) | 100.0 | (L) | (4) | 99.9 | $101.9^{\circ}$ | ( $1 /$ ) | ( 4 /) | 101.0 | 92.1 | (4/) | (4) |
| Slaka, rayor | Deo 52 | 100.6 | 101.0 | 100.L | 99.5 | 99.1 | 99.5 | 95.4 | 98.8 | 96.8 | 95.4 | 96.3 | 90.6 |
| Jackets--- | Deo 52 | ( 4 ) | ( 4 /) | 95.5 | 94.1 | (4) | (4) | 90.8 | 91.5 | (4/) | (4/) | 89.0 | 89.9 |
| Sport ahirte, rayo | Deo 52 | 99.7 | 98.9 | 99.3 | $98 . \mathrm{C}$ | 99.7 | 99.7 | 99.2 | 99.1 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 97.9 | 97.7 |
| Socks, nylon, strotah | Jun 56 |  |  |  | ---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dresens, sayom |  | 89.5 | 89.7 | 90.8 | 91.5 | 90.1 | 89.5 | 91.1 | 90.9 | 90.5 | 28.8 | 92.9 | 92.5 |
| Sups, rayoz and nylo |  | 83.3 | 82.7 | 82.5 | 82.2 | 81.9 | 80.7 | 81.7 | 81.2 | 81.5 | 80.4 | 79.1 | 78.9 |
| Pantios, rayon |  | 100.1 | 100.6 | 101.0 | 101.7 | 100.4 | 100.3 | 99.3 | 98.8 | 988 | 98.6 | 98.1 | 98.3 |
| Mightgows, rayor |  | 86.5 | 87.1 | 87.1 | 87.2 | 86.1 | 86.1 | 84.7 | 86.7 | 86.8 | 87.0 | 87.2 | 87.2 |
| Hoes, nolon- |  | 80.5 | 80.6 | 80.3 | 80.1 | 78.6 | 77.9 | 76.5 | 76.2 | 75.7 | 75.4 | 74.6 | 74.1. |
| Sults, rayoz |  | 103.3 | (4/) | 106.6 | 106.9 | 104.9 | (4) | 103.1 | 99.9 | 99.9 | (4) | 99.0 | 100.6 100.9 |
| Skirts, rajod | Dec 52 | 96.2 | 96.5 | 97.8 | 97.9 | 98.2 | 98.0 | 96.9 | 76.3 93 | 96.3 | 98.0 | 101.9 | 100.9 |
| Blouses-m | Dac 52 | ,97.2 | 94.0 | 93.0 | 92.4 | 92.8 | 91.7 | 94.1 | 93.9 | 90.1 | 90.7 | 92.9 | 94.0 |
| Children'es ${ }_{\text {Boge' }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boge' sladko Boys' Jackot |  | 100.0 | (4) | 99.8 95.7 | 99.4 96.7 | ${ }^{99} 4$ | 94.8 | 95.4 90.1 | 97.2 87.9 | (47.0 | 96.9 | 97.2 90.7 | 95.6 88.9 |
|  | Dec 52 | (L/ $/$ ) | ( $4 /$ | 95.7 100.6 | 96.7 100.4 | (4/) | (L/) | 90.1 99.8 | 87.9 99.4 | (4/) | (4/) | 90.7 91.1 | 88.9 90.2 |
| Other mamade fibere apparel: |  | (4) | (4) | 100.6 | 100.4 | (4) | (4) | 99.0 | 99.4 |  |  | 91.1 |  |
| Fand goods, rajon |  | 69.5 | 69.1 | 69.9 | 69.7 | 69.2 | 69.5 | 69.9 | 69.8 | 69.7 | $68.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 69.1 | 69.1 |
| Miscellapocus appare | Dec 52 | $1 \mathrm{CO}, 1$ | 99.7 | 99.3 | 98.6 | 97.9 | 94.6 | 94.5 | 94.4 | 94.3 | 93.8 | 95.9 | 96.0 |
| Woson's conts, fur |  | ( $1 / 2$ | ( 4 ) | 89.7 | 85.9 | ( 4 / | ( 4 /) |  | 71.6 | ( 42 | (28) | 74.3 129.6 | $\begin{array}{r} 73.2 \\ 130.8 \end{array}$ |
| Wcaen's cirdles |  | 128.0 | 127.4 | 127.9 | 127.9 | 128.4 | 128.0 | 129.1 | 129.1 | 129.3 | 128.8 | 129.6 | 230.8 |
| Shoen- |  | 113.1 | 113.7 | 113.9 | 114.7 | 115.0 | 115.6 | 115.8 | 116.0 | 115.9 | 116.6 | 217.6 | 119.4 |
| Shoes, atreet |  | 117.5 | 119.3 | 118.5 | 119.0 | 119.9 | 119.9 | 119.8 | 120.0 | 120.0 | 120.8 | 121.5 |  |
| Shoes, work |  | 113.1 | 213.5 | 113.9 | 114. 1 | 124.0 | 113.7 | 113.9 | 114.1 | 113.1 | 113.6 | 113.9 | 116.2 |
| Women's: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shoos, etroe |  | 109.0 | 110.2 | 110.0 | 110.5 | 110.3 | 211.6 | 112.3 | 112.2 | 112.4 | 113.3 | 113.7 | 115.7 |
| Shoos, play- | Lec 52 | 98 | 97.5 | 98.0 | 101.3 | 101.1 | 101.3 | 99.7 | 100.1 | 99.5 | 100.5 | 104.5 | 105.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Childron'es } \\ & \text { Shoes, axford. } \end{aligned}$ |  | 115.0 | 114.8 | 116.1 | 116.1 | 116.9 | 117.6 | 118.6 | 119.3 | 119.2 | 119.4 | 120,2 | 121.9 |


| Shoe reprire | Jan 53 | 114.2 | 114.3 | 114.6 | 114.3 | 114.3 | 114.4 | 114.2 | 114.4 | $115.2{ }^{\circ}$ | 115.5 | 115.3 | 115.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tranaportatico |  | 129.3 | 129.4 | 130.7 | 128.9 | 129.0 | 128.9 | 126.4 | 127.3 | 127.3 | 125.8 | 125.3 | 127.3 |
| Privato-a |  | 122.2 | 122.1 | 122.8 | 120.8 | 120.5 | 120.2 | 117.4 | 118.4 | 118.2 | 116.5 | 115.8 | 117.8 |
| Autonoblles, nen |  | 226.5 | 126.3 | 126.5 | 124.2 | 127.2 | 127.5 | 117.2 | 126.0 | 122.3 | 119.2 | 112.7 | 123.0 |
| Autcrobiles, need |  | 97.3 | 93.7 | 90.8 | 86.3 | 79.4 | 79.7 | 79.9 | 76.7 | 76.7 | 75.7 | 75.6 | 72.6 |
| Tireg |  | 130.0 | 128.7 | 129.4 | 132.0 | 132.4 | 119.1 | 114.8 | 118.9 | 123.1 | 123.1 | 127.7 | 130.5 |
| Cacolin |  | 114.7 | 116.1 | 121.9 | 121.5 | 121.5 | 121.0 | 119.8 | 120.0 | 120.8 | 122.4 | 123.9 | 124.4 |
| Moter oll |  | 106.9 | 107.8 | 111.2 | 112.2 | 112.4 | 112.4 | 112.1 | 112.1 | 112.6 | 112.6 | 112.4 | 112.9 |
| Auto repair |  | 121.0 | 122.9 | 123.9 | 125.1 | 126.5 | 125.5 | 127.8 | 128.7 | 128.5 | 128.9 | 130.7 | 131.8 |
| Auto regiets |  | 106.7 | 106.7 | 106.7 | 106.7 | 125.0 | 115.0 | 115.0 | 115.0 | 215.4 | 116.0 | 116.0 | 116.0 |
| Auto inguren |  | 148.8 | 149.5 | 149.3 | 14.8 .7 | 148.3 | 148.0 | 146.9 | $146.9{ }^{\circ}$ | 145.5 | 142.0 | 138.3 | 138.4 |
| Publlo |  | 146.9 | 149.0 | 155.3 | 155.7 | 159.3 | 161.5 | 162.3 | 162.8 | 164.6 | 165.1 | 166.9 | 167.8 |
| Trangit t |  | 151.9 | 153.1 | 161.4 | 161.9 | 166.7 | 171.6 | 172.7 | 173.3 | 175.8 | 176.3. | 178.6 | 179.9 |
| Rallrond faren, |  | 118.1 | 122.8 | 122.8 | 122.8 | 122.8 | 117.5 | 217.5 | 117.5 | 117.5 | 117.5 | 117.5 | 117.5 |
| dicel a |  | 119.5 | 121.1 | 122.6 | 123.6 | 124.4 | 125.1 | 125.7 | 126.3 | 227.0 | 127.6 | 128.2 | 130:2 |
| Hudical care lese hoopdtal reter and Group bogpetaligation |  | 213.0 | 114.0 | 11.6 | 123.6 115.5 | 125.5 | 116.2 | 116.6 | 117.2 | 117.7 | 127.6 18.2 | 118.8 | 120.3 |
| Phyoloiang feeolm |  | 213.0 | 11450 | 116.6 | 115.8 | 115.5 | 116.2 | 119.6 | 121.3 | 122.4 | 123.0 | 123.9 | 120.3 |
| Conaral prectiticuers' |  | 115.0 | 115.7 | 116.5 | 118.4 | 118.6 | 119.6 | 120.2 | 122.2 | 123.4 | 124.0 | 125.0 | 126.3 |
| Orfioe oilit |  | 114.3 | 114.8 | 116.6 | 119.3 | 119.3 | 120.1 | 120.8 | 122.2 | 123.3 | 123.3 | 123.9 | 125d4 |
| Honu viadt |  | 112.6 | 113.6 | 113.6 | 114.7 | 115.2 | 115.6 | 116.2 | 217.7 | 119.2 | 120.5 | 121.9 | 123.1 |
| Oentetrical |  | 124.8 | 125.3 | 125.5 | 127.0 | 127.3 | 131.1 | 131.8 | 138.4 | 139.2 | 139.9 | 140.2 | 140.9 |
| Suryecea' P |  | 113.4 | 113.8 | 114.1 | 114.9 | 115.1 | 115.3 | 115.2 | 115.3 | 116.0 | 116.1. | 117.0 | 116.8 |
| Appordecto |  | 213.8 | 113.8 | 114.0 | 115.1 | 115.1 | 115.3 | 114.5 | 114.6 | 114.6 | 114.7 | 116.2 | 115.7 |
| Tonallleot |  | 113.2 | 114.8 | 114.8 | 115.1 | 115.8 | 116.0 | 117.1 | 117.5 | 119.4 | 119.3 | 119.3 | 119.6 |
| Denticte' fee |  | 114.8 | 116.7 | 117.9 | 120.4 | 120.5 | 120.9 | 121.1 | 121.6 | 122.5 | 121.6 | 121.8 | 222.6 |
| Pillingem |  | 215.0 | 116.5 | 117.7 | 119.8 | 120.0 | 120.4 | 120.6 | 121.0 | 121.6 | -120.8 | 121.1 | 121.8 |
| Extreotione |  | 214.6 | 118.1 | 119.4 | 123.5 | 123. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 123.7 | 124.2 | 125:4 | 127.1 | 125.3 | 125.9 | 126.7 |
| opelasses-_._ـ |  | 110.5 | 109.2 | 108.8 | 108.2 | 108.5 | 107.5 | 208.0 | 100.2 | 109.1 | 109.3 | 110.1 | 110.3 |
| Roppltal reter |  | 215.6 | 14698 | 151.0 | 152.6 | 155.6 | 156.9 | 157.6 | 159.5 | 161.6 | 165.0 | 166.4 | 166.9 |
| Mos's yuy vas |  | 152.4 | 152.9 | 158.4 | 160.4 | 163.5 | 164.7 | 165.1 | 166.2 | 170.4 | 175.1 | 176.5 | 176.9 |
| Sunprineto |  | 143.0 | 14.7 | 148.6 | 150.3 | 152.5 | 153.4 | 154.2 | 155.9 | 157.3 | 160.6 | 161.9 | 162.2 |
| Prinete |  | 139.9 | 111.3 | 14.4 | 145.5 | 149.0 | 151.0 | 152.0 | 155.0 | 155.6 | 157.6 | 159.3 | 160.2 |

Table 12. Consimer Price Index--United Jtates city averape: Indexea of aelccted itent and grovips, quarterly, 1947-58 (Contid)

| Item and broup | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Other } \\ & \text { index } \\ & \text { basees } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1953 |  |  |  | 1954 |  |  |  | 2955 |  |  |  |
|  |  | yar. | June | Vept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Sept. | Dec. |
| Mrdical Caro-Contimud |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Croup horptiall | Dec 521 | 101.4 | 104. 2 | 107.9 | 108.7 | 111.8 | 112.4 | 113.7 | 113.7 | 114.7 | 115.0 | 115.3 | 118.7 |
| Preseriptions and d |  | 108.0 | 1209.2 | 109.2 | 209.7 | 109.0 | 010.3 | 110.5 | $11 \mathrm{C} \cdot 6$. | 110.1 | 111.0 | 111.4 | 113.3 |
| Pruserl ptions- |  | 113.4 | 113.5 | 113.1 | 114.1 | -15.2 | 216.0 | 216.2 | 116.6 | 1).5.2 | 117.2 | 117.8 | 120.4 |
| Aspirin tablot |  | 99.3 | ?9.9 | 16.0 | 10c. 2 | 95.7 | 99.4 | 97.4 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 99.8 | 120.4 | 100.5 |
| Milk of magnet |  | 201.1 | 213. 2 | 211.6 | 212.2 | 712.2 | 212.1 | 112.5 | 112.5 | 112.5 | 112.5 | 112.6 | 121.9 |
| Multipla vitarin poncentreto--m--- | Dec 52 | 99.4 | $10: 1$ | 100.3 | 100.9 | 100.6 | 1 CO .? | 102.0 | 101.0 | 101.0 | 101.0 | 101.1 | 101.2 |
| Pormomal care |  | 112.4 | 112.6 | 112.9 | 113.6 | 114.1 | 712.7 | 273.5 | 113.6 | 213.5 | 214.7 | 116.6 | 117.9 |
| Mon's heircute |  | 131.5 | 133. 5 | 131.5 | 132.2 | 232.7 | 132.7 | 133.6 | 134.3 | 234.7 | 239.7 | 146.9 | 149.3 |
| Beauty ahop serv |  | 124.7 | 106.6 | 107.1 | 108.2 | 208. 2 | 108.5 | 110.0 | 110.4 | 110.7 | 121.4 | 111.7 | 112.2 |
| Shapapoo and vave |  | 109.5 | 112.6 | 113.5 | 115.3 | 115.3 | 215.9 | 117.5 | 118.0 | 118.4 | 119.4 | 120.1 | 120.9 |
| Pormanont varom. |  | 97.9 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 98.0 | 97.9 | 98.0 | 99.3 | 99.5 | 99.8 | 99.9 | 99.6 | 99.6 |
| Toilet goods |  | 1203.9 | 103.9 | 104.1 | 104.1 | 104.9 | 102.4 | 103.2 | 103.1 | 103.0 | 103.0 | 103.7 | 104.2 |
| Toothpeste |  | 106.6 | 106.7 | 106.8 | 106.8 | 106.9 | 206.9 | 107.1 | 107.1. | 207.1 | 106.6 | 100.7 | 106.5 |
| Face porder |  | 132.7 | 133.4 | 134.1 | 134.1 | 134.1 | 122.8 | 124.6 | 123.5 | 122.1 | 119.9 | 120.0 | 120.0 |
| Toilet soap |  | 83.4 | 82.4 | 81.9 | 81.9 | 85.3 | 83.8 | 86.5 | 86.6 | 86.9 | 87.0 | 97.L | 88.8 |
| Rasor blades |  | 100.9 | 10¢:9 | 101.0 | 101.0 | 201.0 | 101.0 | 101.0 | 101.0 | 101.0 | 101.0 | 201.1 | 101.1 |
| Sanitary napk |  | 125.2 | 125.2 | 125.3 | 125.3 | 126.1 | 226.1 | 126.1 | 126.1 | 126.1 | 126.1 | 126.2 | 126.2 |
| Cleanaing tiscue | Deo 52 | 99.3 | 98.5 | 99.0 | 98.2 | 97.7 | 96.4 | 96.3 | 95.7 | 94.6 | 91.6 | $8 \mathrm{8}$. | 86.5 |
| Shaving orear-m- | Dec 52 | 200.0 | 100.0 | $1 \mathrm{co}$. | 100.2 | 100. 3 | 200.3 | 100.4 | 100.4 | 100.4 | 105.0 | 1 Cb .0 | 107.9 |
| Faos erran: | Dec 52 | 100.0 | 100.1 | 100.4 | 10c. 4 | 201.1 | 92.5 | 92.6 | 92.1 | 92.1 | 91.8 | 92.4 | 95.6 |
| Shampoom | Dec 52 | 100.0 | 99.8 | 99.8 | 100.0 | 100.6 | 100.6 | 100.3 | $7{ }_{1} 1.8$ | 100.8 | 100.8 | 101.0 | 101.0 |
| Howe permanont reisill | Dec 52 | 1.00 .3 | 103.6 | 1 19.8 | 110.5 | 110.9 | 203.7 | 103.7 | 103.7 | 203.7 | 123.7 | 131.7 | 133.6 |
| Reading and reareation_-_-mon-mon-m |  | 107.7 | 107.8 | 107.8 | 108.9 | 10.8.2 | 7106.4 | 106.5 | 106.6 | 100.6 | 106.2 | 106.7 | 106.8 |
| Yotion-pleture admissions |  | 106.7 | 108.1 | 116:0 | 115.0 | 113.4 | 714.6 | 119.3 | 117.5 | 120.9 | 123.4 | 122.7 | 122.1 |
|  |  | 108.0 | 109.1 | 111.5 | 115.9 | 115.0 | 216.9 | 121.4 | 112.9 | 123.4 | 125.0 | 125.5 | 124.2 |
| Children- |  | 98.1 | 100.5 | 100.9 | 107.6 | 103.4 | 1 Cl .9 | 107.4 | 104.6 | 107.6 | 113.2 | 108.5 | 110.0 |
| News papars--m |  | 121.1 | 120.9 | 120.9 | 120.9 | 120.7 | 120.9 | 120.9 | 121.5 | 123.2 | 123.7 | 123.7 | 123.7 |
| Televialon sete | $\text { Dec } 52$ | 99.6 | 98.0 | 96.9 | 95.5 | 94.1 | 93.4 | 8 P .2 | 87.1 | 87.1 | 86.0 | 35.8 | 85.4 |
| Radios, table | Dee 52 | 97.8 | 99.3 | 99.0 | 98.4 | 97.0 | 95.1 | 94.4 | 93.4 | 92.3 | 91.7 | 91.0 | 90.1 |
| Toye | Dee 52 | 100.0 | 95.8 | 94.5 | 95.0 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 89.1 | 91.7 | 91.7 | 39.7 | 89.7 | 91.15 |
| Sporting goodomer | Dae 52 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 97.1 | 96.0 | 96.5 | 92.5 | 92.8 | 92.8 | 90.9 | 89.4 | 90.3 | 90.3 |
| Tolevialion repalre- | Deo 52 | 103.4 | 105.4 | 106.4 | 109.9 | 011.2 | 112.9 | 113.8 | 113.9 | 216.0 | 117.3 | 118.C | 119.9 |



## 1/ Inoludes an, elactriaity, tolephonn, water, and portage. <br> Imoluden house purehase and real estate tasee not show separatoly.

Table 12. Consumer Price Index-United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quärterly, 1947-58 (Cont'd)

| (1947-49=100 unless otherwiee specified) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Itom and eroup | Other <br> index <br> bases | 1956 |  |  |  | - 1957 |  |  |  | 1258 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Mar. | June. | Sept. | Dec. | Mare | June | Sept. | Dec. | Mar. | June | Septe | Dec. |
|  |  | 114.7 | 116.2 | 117.1 | 118.0 | 118.9 | 120.? | 121.1 | 121.6 | 123.3 | 123.7 | 123.7 | 123.7 |
| All itans less food-_- |  | 11.7 .7 | 118.1 | $119 . \frac{1}{4}$ | 120.8 | 122.0 | 122.5 | 123.4 | 124.5 | 125.0 | 125.2 | 125.8 | 126.5 |
| 011 Itoms less shelte |  | 112.5 | 114.1 | 114.8 | 115.7 | 116.5 | 117.8 | 118.7 | 119.2 | 121.0 | 121.4 | 121.5 | 121.5 |
|  |  | 108.5 | 110.3 | 111.0 | 111.3 | 112.4 | 113.7 | 114.5 | 1114.7 | 116.4 | 11.6 .6 | 116.1 | 116.3 |
|  |  | 110.2 | 112.6 | 113.2 | 113.4 | 114.0 | 115.8 | 116.7 | 116.4 | 118.8 | 119.2 | 118.7 | 117.8 |
|  |  | 112.1 | 112.3 | 113.9 | 114.7 | 115.6 | 115.8 | 116.7 | 117.3 | 116.9 | 116.7 | 117.2 | 117.0 |
| Nondurables less food and apparel- |  | 118.8 | 119.0 | 120.6 | 121.6 | 123.3 | 123.9 | 225.0 | 125.9 | 125.7 | 125.4 | 126.0 | 125.4 |
|  |  | 104.3 | 103.8 | 104.8 | 108.0 | 108.6 | 108.4 | 108.6 | 110.3 | 109.6 | 109.6 | 110.3 | 112.9 |
|  |  | 100.9 | 100.4 | 101.2 | 101.9 | 102.8 | 102.5 | 103.0 | 103.2 | 103.5 | 103.4 | 103.1 | 103.2 |
|  |  | 108.1 | 108.0 | 109.4 | 111.1 | 111.9 | 111.9 | 112.6 | 113.6 | 113.1 | 112.9 | 113.5 | 114.4 |
| Serficea |  | 131.2 | 232.3 | 133.6 | 134.4 | 136.3 | 137.5 | 238.8 | 120.0 | 141.7 | 142.3 | 143.0 | 143.5 |
| - Sorvices less rent Household operation services, gas, |  | 137.6 | 132.7 | 134.1 | 134.9 | 137.1 | 138.4 | 139.8 | 14.1 | 143.1 | 143.8 | 144.4 | 11.5 .0 |
| and olectriaity---m- |  | 123.0 | 123.8 | 124.5 | 125.2 | 126.4 | 127.3 | 128.3 | 129.2 | 130.5 | 131.2 | 132.4 | 133.0 |
| Household utilities 1/---m------ |  | 116.1 | 116.8 | 127.4 | 127.4 | 118.1 | 119.1 | 120.0 | 120.4 | 122.4 | 123.2 | 125.1 | 125.3 |
| Transportation services-------------- |  | 155.5 | 156.4 | 157.0 | 157.9 | 161.3 | 162.8 | 166.1 | 167.7 | 173.3 | 174.2 | 175.4 | 176.2 |
| Modical care servicos |  | 134.9 | 135.6 | 137.8 | 138.7 | 140.6 | 142.0 | 1.43 .3 | 145.0 | 146.7 | 118.5 | 151.2 | 152.4 |
| Other services-m-_ |  | 118.7 | 220.0 | 121.5 | 122.2 | 124.6 | 125.7 | 127.1 | 128.2 | 129.6 | 129.9 | 129.4 | 129.8 |
|  |  | 109.0 | 113.2 | 113.1 | 112.9 | 113.2 | 116.2 | 117.0 | 116.1 | 120.8 | 121.6 | 120.3 | 118.7 |
| Food at home |  | 107.3 | 112.1 | 111.7 | 111.2 | 111.4 | 114.7 | 115.5 | 114.3 | 119.6 | 120.4 | 118.7 | 116.3 |
| Coroals and bakory products. |  | 124.4 | 125.2 | 126.6 | 127.4 | 129.8 | 130.6 | 131.2 | 131.8 | 132.7 | 132.9 | 133.5 | 134.0 |
| Meats, poultry, and fish-m-m-m- |  | 92.8 | 98.0 | 101.3 | 98.0 | 100.5 | 106.9 ! | 110.3 | 106.0 | 111. 4 | 118.3 | 11.5 .8 | 113.0 |
|  |  | 106.9 | 107.7 | 109.8 | 111.3 | 110.7 | 110.0 | 313.1 | 114.6 | 114.1 | 111.7 | 114.1 | 114.3 |
| Fruits and vegetables-m-m-m-m-m-m |  | 114.8 | 131.4 | 114.8 | 117.4 | 116.1 | 126.9 | 124.8 | 113.9 | 130.7 | 134.3 | 120.7 | 120.1 |
|  |  | 110.7 | 111.1 | 115.4 | 114.2 | 111.6 | 109.5 | 115.0 | 114.9 | 113.8 | 110.9 | 115.? | 110.7 |
| Food away from hame | Jan 53 | 104.4 | 104.9 | 106.0 | 107.0 | 208.1 | 109.3 | 110.5 | 111.0 | 111.8 | 112.7 | 113.4 | 113.6 |
|  |  | 120.7 | 121.4 | 122.5 | 123.5 | 224.9 | 125.5 | 126.3 | 127.0 | 127.5 | 127.8 | 127.9 | 128.2 |
|  |  | 131.6 | 132.5 | 133.4 | 134.2 | 134.4 | 135.0 | 135.7 | 335.7 | 137.1 | 137.7 | 138.2 | 138.7 |
| Home maintenance and repairs-------- | Dec 52 | 108.6 | 110.1 | 111.4 | 112.8 | 113.7 | 115.2 | 116.2 | 1.16 .1 | 116.7 | 116.9 | 117.1 | 117.4 |
|  | Dec 52 | 107.6 | 108.3 | 108.9 | 112.1 | 113.4 | 115.2 | 117.0 | 117.2 | 117.5 | 117.0 | 117.2 | 117.1 |


| Poroh flooring | Deo 52\| | 107.8 | 108.0 | 108.2 | 107.9 | 109.8 | 109.8 | 109.8 | 109.8 | 1109.4 | 108.3 | 108.4 | 108.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meter homtex | Dec 52 | 104.0 | 104.4 | 104.7 | 105.3 | 105.8 | 105.6 | 105.5 | 104. 5 | 105.7 | 205.7 | 104.8 | 103.0 |
| Cabinot kitchon si | Deo 52 | 105.9 | 106.0 | 105.7 | 108.5 | 107.8 | 108.8 | 109.0 | 108.6 | 107.3 | 106.3 | 103.9 | 103.3 |
| Sink faucets | Dec 52 | 114.5 | 116.3 | 119.0 | 120.1 | 121.4 | 123.1 | 123.8 | 124.5 | 125.4 | 125.7 | 126.8 | 128.1 |
| Repainting | Dec 52 | 115.4 | 118.7 | 120.7 | 122.4 | 123.2 | 126.1 | 128.3 | 128.9 | 129.7 | 131.0 | 132.7 | 133.2 |
| Repainting gara | Dac 52 | 117.0 | 120.4 | 122.3 | 124.8 | 126.1 | 133.3 | 137.7 | 137.7 | 137.3 | 140.0 | 142.2 | 143.2 |
| Rofinishing floo | Dec 52 | 110.8: | 113.6 | 114.9 | 115.3 | 115.8 | 117.1 | 117.9 | 117.3 | 116.8 | 117.4 | 118.8 | 118.9 |
| Reshingling roof | Doc 52 | 118.1 | 122.0 | 124.5 | 124.8 | 125.2 | 127.9 | 129.0 | 129.9 | 131.7 | 132.2 | 133.5 | 135.1 |
| Other how-owner coats: First nortgage interest | Dac 52 |  |  |  | (4/) | 113.7 | (4/) | 116.5 | (4) | 117.3 | (4) | 114.1 | (4/) |
| First mortgage intereat rates <br> Property insuranoe rates | Dac 52 | (4/) | 94.8 | (107.5 | (4) 9 | (4/) | 97.1 | (4/) | 101.2 | (117) | 105.8 | (4/) | 107.6 |
| Cas and oloctricity |  | 1717 | 111.7 | 112.2 | 112.0 | 112.4 | 112.3 | 113.7 | 114.3 | 115.9 | 116.9 | 118.0 | 118.2 |
| Cas-- |  | 117.1 | 117.1 | 118.1 | 117.9 | 118.4 | 118.0 | 121.0 | 121.9 | 125.1 | 126.4 | 128.1 | 128.6 |
| Electricity |  | 106.5 | 106.7 | 106.6 | 106.5 | 106.9 | 106.9 | 106.9 | 107.3 | 107.6 | 108.2 | 108.7 | 108.7 |
| Solld fuels and fuel oil |  | 130.6 | 128.4 | 130.5 | 136.1 | 139.2 | 135.3 | 136.8 | 138.3 | 136.7 | 131.7 | 135.2 | 137.0 |
| Solid fuels |  | 128.3 | 124.7 | 128.3 | 135.7 | 136.2 | 131.5 | 135.7 | 138.3 | 139.0 | 133.1 | 136.2 | 137.5 |
| Potroloum fuele |  | 129.9 | 129.9 | 129.6 | 132.5 | 139.2 | 136.6 | 134.1 | 134.1 | 129.5 | 125.9 | 129.7 | 131.9 |
| Houcehold oporation |  | 121.6 | 122.6 | 123.7 | 124.8 | 126.2 | 127.6 | 128.3 | 129.6 | 130.7 | 131.1 | 132.2 | 132.8 |
| Laundry somps and dotorg |  | 95.9 | 96.3 | 99.4 | 100.2 | 101.0 | 101.6 | 102.4 | 204.9 | 105.6 | 106.1 | 105.8 | 106.2 |
| Laundry eorvices-m. |  | 131.9 | 133.1 | 134.5 | 135.2 | 136.1 | 2.37 .4 | 138.5 | 139.0 | 141.7 | $1 / 12.8$ | 14.2 .7 | 143.1 |
| Dry oleaning and pretsing |  | 120.7 | 122.0 | 123.2 | 125.4 | 126.5 | 127.7 | 128.0 | 128.2 | 128.8 | 128.6 | 728.7 | 128.7 |
| Doneatio service |  | 125.5 | 125.6 | 126.1 | 128.1 | 128.7 | 130.4 | 130.9 | 134.5 | 134.1 | 134.7 | 133.6 | 136.0 |
| Tolophone |  | 120.7 | 120.7 | 122.4 | 123.2 | 123.2 | 123.2 | 123.6 | 126.1 | 126.9 | 127.5 | 127.8 | 127.8 |
| Postag |  | 129.9 | 129.9 | 129.9 | 129.9 | 129.9 | 129.9 | 131.0 | 131.0 | 131.0 | 131.0 | 252.4 | 152.4 |
| Vater | Dec 52 | (4) | 118.9 | (4/) | (4) | 127.5 | (4/) | (4/) | (4/) | 1336.4 | (4/) | (4/) | (4/) |
| Housefurni shing |  | 103.1 | 102.8 | 103.3 | 104.1 | 104.9 | 104.6 | 104.8 | 104.9 | 103.9 | 104.1 | 103.6 | 103.6 |
| Textilas-m- | Dec 52 | 94.1 | 93.7 | 94.8 | 95.4 | 95.4 | 95.2 | P95.7 | 95.2 | 94.5 | 94.0 | 93.1 | 93.5 |
| Towols, beth |  | 113.0 | 109.5 | 108.7 | 109.9 | 109.2 | 208.3 | 105.8 | 107.6 | 108.7 | 107.6 | 108.1. | 108.1 |
| Shoets, masll |  | 87.9 | 88.2 | 90.1 | 91.7 | 91.9 | 91.7 | 92.2 | 92.4 | 89.8 | 88.1 | 86.6 | 89.1 |
| Curtains |  | 103.4 | 103.4 | 104.4 | 104.6 | 104.7 | 103.0 | 102.9 | 100.8 | 99.5 | 98.8 | 97.5 | 95.6 |
| Blanlote, wool |  | (L/) | (4/) | 122.9 | 123.8 | (4/) | (4/) | 127.8 | 226.7 | (4/) | (4) | 224.5 | 125.2 |
| Bedapreade, cottor |  | 100.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 | 99.5 | 99.9 | 100.3 | 100.6 | 101.0 | 100.5 | 100.6 | 101.0 | 101.5 |
|  | Doo 52 | 94.2 | 93.5 | 93.5 | 93.6 | 93.6 | 94.6 | 94.8 | 94.0 | 94.5 | 95.2 | 95.1 | 95.0 |
| Flocr coveringi: Fugge, wool Axpinst |  | 247.2 | 147.1 | 047.7 | 148.1 | 254.4 | 155.0 | 157.0 | 156.9 | 254.7 | 153.1 | 151.7 | 151.4 |
| Carpots, vool broadloc |  | 219.5 | 279.2 | 278.0 | 219.6 | 223.3 | 124.3 | 126.8 | 127.8 | 227.8 | 126.7 | 123.6 | 125.5 |
| Carpote, rayon broadlocm | Dec 52 | 97.6 | 96.9 | 95.4 | 96.0 | 96.4 | 95.5 | 95.5 | 94.7 | 93.0 | 91.9 | 91.3 | 90.9 |
| Puge, folt beso- |  | 121.2 | 121.4 | 121.7 | 121.7 | 125.5 | 126.0 | 126.8 | 127.1 | 127.9 | 128.5 | 127.8 | 127.7 |

Table 12. Consumer Price Index--United States city averace: Indexes of selected items and croups, quarterly, lolif-58 (Contid)
(1947-49=100 unless otherwise specified)


| $\qquad$ |  | (4/) | (4/) | 123.2 | 122.7 | (4/) | (4/) | 127.5 | 128.2 | (4/) | (4/) | 125.0 | 124.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coats, heavy, pl |  | (4/) | /) | 123.6 | 120.7 | (4/) | (4/) | 122.8 | 119.9 | (4/) | (4/) | 122.1 | 120.7 |
| Coats, light, pla |  | 107.2 | (4/) | (4) | (14) | 109.4 | (4/) | (4) | (4/) | 107.3 | (4) | (4/) | (4) |
| Suits----- |  | 101.7 | (L) | 103.0 | 99.9 | 102.5 | (IV) | 102.6 | 96.5 | 102.0 | (4/) | 102.8 | 97.3 |
| Dress |  | (4/) | (L/) | 108.2 | 105.3 | (L/) | (4/) | 107.6 | 106.1 | (4/) | (L) | 105.1 | 103.6 |
| Children'es Boys' autts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { Girls }{ }^{\text {c }} \text { coat: }$ |  | 123.6 (4/) | (4) | 125.0. | 125.2 | (127.2 | (4/) | 127.2 | $1 \begin{aligned} & 128.2 \\ & 110.5\end{aligned}$ | (128.9 | (4) | 125.6 119.6 | 124.9 117.0 |
| Girls' skirt | Deo 52 | (4/) | (L/) | 100.8. | 99.9 | (4) | (L) | 103.2 | 102.6 | (L/) | (L/) | 101.6 | 101.8 |
| otton appa |  | 101.1 | 101.9 | 102.2 | 102.6 | 102.9 | 103.2 | 103.3 | 103.3 | 103.4 | 103.0 | 102.9 | 103.1 |
| Men's ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shirts, busi |  | 95.4 | 95.4 | 95.4 | 95.5 | 96.9 | 96.3 | 95.6 | 97.0 | 96.9 | 96.8 | 96.8 | 96.9 |
| Shirts, epo | Jun 55 | 103.0 | 105.0 | 105.5 | 105.4 | 105.1 | 110.4 | 109.9 | 109.8 | 108.4 | 107.2 | 106.8 | 106.3 |
| Pajanas |  | 91.3 | 91.3 | 91.4 | 91.5 | 91.7 | 91.7 | 91.7 | 91.6 | 91.0 | 91.2 | 91.2 | 90.6 |
| Shorts, wov |  | 95.7 | 96.3 | 96.3 | 96.3 | 96.3 | 97.5 | 98.3 | 98.4 | 97.8 | 97.3 | 97.4 | 97.4 |
| Undersh1rt |  | 101.8 | 101.7 | 101.2 | 101.3 | 101.5 | 104.0 | 10L. 2 | 104.4 | 102.9 | 102.2 | 102.2 | 101.9 |
| Socke |  | 108.6 | 108.8 | 109.8 | 110.1 | 110.4 | 110.4 | 108.6 | 108.8 | 108.9 | 109.0 | 108.6 | 108.6 |
| Trousers, |  | 103.1 | 105.8 | 106.6 | 106.8 | 107.0 | 106.5 | 107.4 | 107.3 | 107.6 | 106.0 | 105.2 | 105.4 |
| Dungarees- |  | 107.5 | 109.9 | 110.3 | 110.8 | 111.2 | 111.6 | 111.6 | 211.6 | 111.3 | 111.3 | 111.1 | 211.1 |
| Shirts, wor |  | 95.7 | 99.7 | 101.7 | 102.0 | 102.2 | 102.6 | 102.7 | 102.? | 102.9 | 103.0 | 102.9 | 102.7 |
|  | Dec 52 | 93.0 | 95.8 | 96.1 | 97.3 | 97.4 | 94.1 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 93.3 | 89.4 | 87.9 | 87.5 |
| Wamen' 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dresges, stree |  | 115.9 | 116.9 | (4/) | (4/) | 117.5 | 118.3 | (4/) | (4/) | 117.9 | 118.5 | (4/) | (4/) |
| Dresses, houee |  | 95.5 | 95.2 | 95.3 | -96.6 | 96.7 | 96.9 | 98.1 | 98.2 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.7 |
| Blouses- | Mar 56 | 100.0 | 100.5 | 102.6 | 101.3 | 101.5 | 100.4 | 99.9 | 101.0 | 101.4 | 101.6 | 100.3 | 100.6 |
| Children's: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Girls' dresses |  | 105.7 | 103.3 | 106.8 | 108.7 | 107.1 | 106.5 | 109.3 | 106.9 | 110.1 | 108.2 | 109.9 | 111.4 |
| Girls' panties |  | 207.3 | 109.6 | 109.7 | 110.1 | 109.1 | 109.5 | 110.4 | 110.4 | 109.5 | 109.4 | 108.8 | 122.3 |
| Girls' anklot |  | 205.4 | 105.8 | 106.3 | 106.2 | 108.0 | 108.2 | 107.9 | 108.1 | 208.1 | 107.8 | 107.0 | 106.9 |
| Boys' shirte |  | 97.1 | 97.1 | 97.0 | 97.4 | 96.8 | 97.1 | 97.1 | 97.1 | 100.1 | 99.6 | 99.0 | 98.8 |
| Boys' shorts |  | 99.6 | 100.7 | 102.1 | 102.1 | 102.4 | 103.4 | 103.0 | 103.9 | 102.7 | 102.5 | 102.9 | 102.9 |
|  | Deo 52 | 94.3 | 97.2 | 97.6 | 97.8 | 99.5 | 99.8 | 98.9 | 99.0 | 98.5 | 98.7 | 98.4 | 98.6 |
| Other cotton apparel: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diapers--- |  | 91.5 | 91.2 | 91.5 | 91.6 | 91.7 | 91.7 | 91.6 | 91.4. | 90.9 | 90.8 | 90.1 | 90.0 |
| Yard goode, percalo |  | 91.4 | 91.7 | 91.9 | 91.8 | 91.8 | 91.8 | 92.1 | 91.6 | 92.0 | 92.0 | 92.4 | 91.9 |

Table 12. Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 1947-58 (Cont d)



Table 12. Consumer Price Index--United States city average: Indexes of selected items and groups, quarterly, 29h7-58 (Cont ${ }^{2}$ )



## 3/ 480 includes radios and television min, abown meparataly morer rasing and mermation. <br> ( Hot evasiabl.

The GNP implicit price deflators for personal consumption are also presented. Annual deflators have been changed from a 1954 base to a 1947-49 base to facilitate comparison with the CPI. (See table 13.)

Table 13.-Implicit price deflators-Personal consumption expenditures

| [Index: 1947-49=100] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1947 | 1948 | 1949 | 1950 | 1951 | 1852 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 958 |
| Total personal consumption expenditures. | 97 | 102 | 101 | 103 | 110 | 112 | 113 | 114 | 115 | 117 | 120 | 122 |
| Durable goods | 97 | 101 | 102 | 103 | 110 | 112 | 109 | 109 | 109 | 111 | 115 | 115 |
| Automobile and parts. Other $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \\ & 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 101 \\ & 101 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105 \\ & 101 \end{aligned}$ | 105 | 111 | 116 | 114 | 112 | 114 | 117 107 | 123 | 125 |
| Nondurable goods..---.-- | 97 | 103 | 100 | 100 | 109 | 110 | 109 | 110 | 109 | 111 | 114 | 116 |
| Food and beverages Clothing and shoes..... Gasoline and oil. Other. $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \\ & 97 \\ & 92 \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ | 103 | 99 | 100 | 110 | 112 | 110 | 110 | 109 | 109 | 113 | 117 |
|  |  | 103 | 99 | 98 | 107 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 105 | 107 | 108 | 108 |
|  |  | 103 | 105 | 105 | 108 | 110 | 114 | 117 | 118 | 122 | 127 | 126 |
|  |  | 103 | 102 | 103 | 109 | 110 | 112 | 112 | 113 | 115 | 119 | 121 |
| Services. | 95 | 101 | 104 | 106 | 111 | 116 | 121 | 124 | 126 | 129 | 133 | 135 |
| Housing. <br> Household operation. Transportation. | 9598989895 | 101 | 104 | 107 | 112 | 113 | 122 | 126 | 127 | 129 | 132 | 13 |
|  |  | 100 | 102 | 104 | 107 | 111 | 114 | 115 | 115 | 116 | 118 | 120 |
|  |  | 101 | 106 | 110 | 115 | 120 | 128 | 131 | 133 | 137 | 139 | 144 |
|  |  | 102 | 103 | 105 | 111 | 116 | 121 | 124 | 127 | 131 | 137 | 140 |

Note.-1954 constant doliar deflators transformed to 1947-49 reference base.
Source: "U.S. Income and Output," table VII-13.

## IV. Wages and Incomes

The service sector is, on the average, a low wage and income sector. In contrast to the increase in relative importance scored by the sector in terms of output, employment, and price increases, incomes have not, in general, kept up relative to the manufacturing sector.

There are exceptions. Wage and salary workers in transportation industries, in some financial positions, and in radio and television had high annual incomes compared with other service workers.

Table 14 presents the average annual earnings of wage or salary employees in the service sector in 1947 and 1958 plus the percentage increase attained between the 2 years. The data are for full-time equivalent employees. This allows valid comparison between industries with differing proportions of part-time workers.

Table 14-Service sector: Average annual earnings per full-time wage or salary worker


Both the relatively low level and the deterioration of relative income status of service wage or salary workers is illustrated by table 15.

Table 15.-Relative average annual earnings of wage or salary workers, service sector, 1947-58

| Industry | 1947 | 1948 | 1949 | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Finance, insurance, realestate | 0. | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.90 |  | . 91 |
| Railroads. | 1.15 | 1.19 | 1.20 | 1.15 | 1.16 | 1.13 | 1.09 | 1.10 | 1.08 | 1.11 | 1.13 | 1.18 |
| Local railroads and bus. | 1.06 | 1.04 | 1.06 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 1.00 | . 88 | . 99 | . 97 | . 96 | . 94 | . 96 |
| Highway freight | 1. 10 | 1.10 | 1.15 | 1.16 | 1.09 | 1.10 | 1.12 | 1. 13 | 1.12 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.11 |
| Air transportation. | 1.17 | 1.21 | 1.25 | 1.24 | 1.22 | 1.23 | 1. 22 | 1. 25 | 1.23 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1. 24 |
| Telephone and telegraph | . 93 | . 91 | . 94 | . 92 | . 89 | . 90 | . 90 | . 93 | . 93 | . 91 | . 91 | . 92 |
| Utilities: Electric and gas. | 1.07 | 1.06 | 1.09 | 1.08 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 1.09 | 1.11 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.10 | 1. 12 |
| Hotels. | . 69 | . 67 | . 68 | . 65 | . 62 | . 61 | . 59 | . 61 | . 59 | . 57 | . 57 | . 56 |
| Personal service | . 71 | . 69 | . 70 | . 67 | . 64 | . 63 | . 63 | 64 | . 63 | . 62 | . 62 | . 62 |
| Private households | . 52 | . 49 | . 49 | 46 | 44 | . 45 | . 46 | 46 | . 43 | . 43 | . 43 | . 43 |
| Miscellaneous repa | 1.07 | 1.04 | 1.05 | 1.04 | 1.00 | . 99 | . 98 | . 98 | . 95 | . 95 | . 94 | . 93 |
| Motion picture. | 1.09 | . 96 | . 94 | . 88 | . 85 | . 84 | . 81 | . 84 | . 86 | . 85 | . 85 | . 85 |
| Medical services | . 65 | . 63 | . 65 | . 63 | . 59 | . 59 | . 58 | . 59 | . 57 | . 55 | . 55 | . 56 |
| Legal services. | . 71 | . 72 | . 74 | . 72 | . 69 | . 72 | . 73 | . 75 | . 76 | . 78 | . 79 | . 80 |

Note.-Average annual earnings in service industry divided by average annual earnings in all manufacturing. Detail is not exhaustive of entire sector.
Source: Data from "U.S. Income and Output" table VI-15.
The transportation, communication, and public utility industries included in the sector are relatively high paid industries and have been able to maintain and, in
some cases, improve their standing vis-a-vis manufacturing. Most of the other service industries experienced declines in relative annual incomes. This decline took place despite the increase in service employment.
The unincorporated form of business organization is particularly important in the service sector. Not only are there many small service establishments in the drycleaning, laundry, barber and beauty shop industries, but also many professional services are provided by individuals or partnerships.

The Department of Commerce gives an aggregate estimate of income for unincorporated firms in service sector industries, but not more detail. Their estimate of the increase in incomes of active proprietors of unincorporated firms is 58.2 percent over the period 1947-53 and 5 percent 1953-58.8 The Internal Revenue Service occasionally supplements its regular "Statistics of Income" series by publishing partnership income data on an industry basis. Information is available for 1947-48 and 1953-54, plus preliminary data for 1957-58. Tabulated below in table 16 is the ordinary income per partnership for each of the 3 years. ${ }^{\circ}$

Table 16.-Service sector: Partnership income selected years, 1947-58

|  | 1947 | 1953 | 1958 | Percentage change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1947-53 | 1953-58 |
| All industries..--.-.-.-...-- | \$8,639 | \$8,757 | \$0,823 | 1.4 | 12.2 |
| Total agriculture, forestry, fisheries... | 6,092 | 3,380 | 5,721 | -44.6 | 69.3 |
| Total construction.... | 9,551 | 11,629 | 11, 809 | 21.7 | 1.5 |
| Trade total -......- | 12, 868 | 14, 8018 | 13. ${ }^{1 / 262}$ | -1.9 | -8.4 |
| Wholesale. | 16,906 | 15,705 | 14,654 | -7.1 | -6. 7 |
| Retail. | 7.149 | 7,305 | 6,906 | 2.2 | -5.5 |
| Total finance, real estate. | 6,354 | 7,235 | 6,262 | 13.9 | -13.5 |
| Total services | 10, 285 | 14, 73 | 16, 121 | 36.8 | 14.5 |
| Totels personal services: | 5,675 | 3,141 | 3,028 | -44.7 | -3.6 |
| Laundry.......... | 5,695 | 7,807 | 6,806 | 37.6 | -12.8 |
| Photo studio........ | 4,021 | 5, 620 | 5, 759 | 39.8 | 2.5 |
| Barber and beauty | 3,304 | 4, 832 | 4,693 | 46.2 | -2.9 |
| Funeral. | 10, 428 | 9,393 | 14, 147 | -9.9 | 50.6 |
| Other- | 3, 625 | 3,176 | 5,119 | -12.4 | 64.6 |
| Business services. | 6. 522 | 15,766 | 15, 005 | 141.7 | . 9 |
| Auto repair........ | 4, 282 | 5,888 | 5, 579 | 37.5 | -5.3 |
| Miscellaneous repair | 5,174 | 6, 830 | 7, 262 | 32.0 | 6.3 |
| Total amusement. | 7,991 | 5,046 | 3,049 | 36.9 | -39.6 |
| Motion pictures. | 16,308 | 8.453 | 3.898 | -48.2 | -53.9 |
| Medical total...-.- | 27, 178 | 39,610 | 43,077 | 45.7 | 8.7 |
| Physicians and surgeons. | 38, 184 | 47, 157 | 53,033 | 23.5 | 12.5 |
| Dentists. | 14,446 | 21, 046 | 25, 893 | 45.7 | 23.0 |
| Other- | 21, 426 | 37,386 | 35, 263 | 74.4 | -5.7 |
| Legal services | 28, 994 | 32,624 | 47, 736 | 12.5 | 46.3 |
| Educational. --...-.-.----- | 7,132 | 7,007 | 12,906 | -1.8 | 84.2 |
| Engineering and architectural. ---- | 19,370 | 33, 803 | 32, 482 | 74.5 | -3.9 |

Source: "Partnership Income, 1947" Treasury press release No. S-2645, Wednesday, Apr. 4, 1951; " Partnership Returns," statistics of income, 1955, IRS publication No. 369; "Selected Financial Data," statistics of income, 1957-58 (April 1959).

## PART 2: PRICES, INCOME, EMPLOYMENT IN SERVICE SECTOR COMPONENTS

The foregoing sections have discussed output, employment, prices, and incomes in the service sector on an aggregate basis. In this section, a more detailed examination will be made of some of the individual components of the sector.

## I. Medical Care Services

## A. PRICES AND EXPENDITURE

The Consumer Price Index for services related to medical, dental, optical, and hospital care increased nearly 60 percent from 1947 to 1958 . However, professional services prices increased much less than hospital prices, 35 percent as contrasted to 125 percent. Table 17 contains the items in the medical care price index.

[^18]Table 17.-Medical service prices, 1947-58

| Item | Relative importance, December 1952 | Price index |  | Percent Increase | A verage annual rate of increase |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1947 | 1958 |  | 1947-58 | 1947-53 | 1953-58 |
| Medical services. | 4.2 | 94.5 | 149.2 | 57.9 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 3.8 |
| General practitioner fees.-- | 1.6 | 96.9 | 139.3 | 43.7 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 2.1 |
| Surgeon fees...------------- | . 3 | 96.2 | 122.7 | 27.2 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 1.5 |
| Dentist fees.. | . 8 | 95.2 | 131.4 | 38.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.3 |
| Optometrist.. | .3 | 96.2 | 116.7 | 21.3 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| Hospital room rates | .2 | 87.4 | 198.0 | 126.5 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 6. 0 |
| Group hospitalization....-. | 1.0 | ${ }^{1} 85.6$ | 142.2 | 235.7 |  |  | 6.3 |
| Professional service plus drugs ${ }^{1}$ | 3.9 | 96.3 | 129.7 | 34.7 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| Medical care ${ }^{4}$ | 5.1 | 94.9 | 144.6 | 52.4 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.6 |
| All items.. | 100.0 | 95.5 | 123.5 | 29.3 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 1.5 |
| Service less shelter. | 16.7 | 94.7 | 143.8 | 51.8 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 2.8 |

1 Index for 1953 annual average; item first included in Index December 1950. Base: December 1952=100. ${ }^{2}$ Percent change 1953 to 1958.
${ }^{2}$ Medical services less hospital rates and group hospitalization.

- Includes drugs and preseriptions in addition to the services detalled above.

Source: BLS, Consumer Price Index.
Table 18 presents the record of consumer expenditures for medical care.
Table 18.-Current dollar consumer expenditure for medical care, 1947-58

|  | Percent Increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aggregate expenditures | Per capita expenditures |
| Total medical care | 125.4 | 86.5 |
| Physicians. | 93. 1 | 59.9 |
| Dentists.-.... | 113.5 | 76.8 |
| Health insurance | 209.2 165.0 | 156.0 119.4 |

Source: Data from "U.S. Income and Output," table I-4.

## B. HOSPITAL CARE

The price-setting mechanism in hospitals is probably more nearly a cost-based system than it is a system of demand oriented profit maximization. ${ }^{10}$ Few private, nonprofit, voluntary hospitals make a surplus on current operation; fewer still cover depreciation. Most are, at best, pleased to cover out-of-pocket costs.

The major cost item is personnel. On a per-patient-day basis, payroll costs accounted for 60.8 percent of total costs in 1958, for 60.7 percent in 1954, and 54 percent in 1947.11 About two-thirds of the employees of hospitals are in nonprofessional, nontechnical grade occupations. ${ }^{12}$

Employment' costs have risen tremendously since 1947. The table below shows the increase in employment and payroll costs for all private, general and special short-term hospitals in the United States.
Tarle 19.-Increase in employment and costs, private short-term hospitals, 1947-58

|  | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total expense per patient-day | 154. 0 |
| Payroll per patient-day | 186. 0 |
| Full-time equivalent employees, number | 82.7 |
|  | 44. 4 |

Source: "Hospitals," the Journal of the American Hospital Association, XXVII, pt. 2, p. 23 (June 1953) and XXXIII, pt. 2, p. 384 (August 1959).

[^19]Other factors tending to increase hospital costs cited by Brown are also important
One factor is the nature of hospital costs. The major portion of hospital operations costs are not variable. A hospital is typically fully staffed, at all times ready for a peak load. Therefore, the occupancy rate is a critical factor in average operating cost per bed. In studies cited by Brown, it is shown that in a group of otherwise similar hospitals, those which had a better-than-average occupancy rate had less than half the unrecovered overhead cost per bed than did those hospitals whose occupancy rates were lower than average.

While desirable on other grounds, the tendency toward shorter stays makes syncronization of patient discharge and admissions more difficult; this leads to empty, nonrevenue-producing beds. Again, the population pattern of the United States is best served by an extensive system of smaller hospitals to supplement those in metropolitan centers. Occupancy rates are lower on the average in small hospitals than in large. ${ }^{13}$ Their costs per bed are therefore higher.

A second factor is the increase in number of special diagnostic and therapeutic services provided by hospitals. Brown, quoting from the report of the Commission on Financing Hospital Care, offers this statement, "The relationship between the level of per diem expense and the scope of hospital service was apparent when per diem expense was determined for groups of hospitals classified by number of selected services they offered. * * *" 14

## C. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Income and employment data with respect to medical practitioners is not easily available. Roberts ${ }^{\text {is }}$ brings together data on the number of active practicing physicians, dentists, and professional nurses. The table below compares his data with Department of Commerce data on number of persons participating (including active proprietors of unincorporated enterprises) in medical and other health services. The declining proportion of professional personnel in the total illustrates the growing importance of nonprofessional technical and other lessar skilled personnel.

Table 20.-Employment in medical services

| Year | Active physicians, dentists, and nurses | Persons engaged in medical and other health services | Physicians, dentists, and nurses as a percent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Thousands | Thousands |  |
| 1930. | 439.2 | 749 | 58.6 |
| 1940. | 529.3 | 841 | 62.9 |
| 1950. | 667.8 | 1,237 | 54.0 |
| 1953. | 706. 2 | 1,413 | 50.0 |
| 1955. | 745.6 | 1,551 | 48. 0 |

Sources: Roberts, "Trends in the Supply and Demand of Medical Care," table 8, p. 70; "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-16 and "National Income," table 28.

Income data for physicians and dentists is not regularly published. The Survey of Current Business has conducted surveys of professional income, but none have been published for recent years. ${ }^{18}$ Partnership income data has been published by the Internal Revenue Service for the years 1947-48, 1953-54, and for 1957-58, preliminary data. See table 16 above.

These data cannot safely be regarded as representative of average earnings for individual doctors for two reasons:
(1) Data tabulated is for the partnership; if the average number of partners per partnership is not the same in each year, the results will not be strictly comparable between years on a per person basis.
(2) Many doctors, dentists, and other practioners are not members of partnerships. Sole proprietors and salaried medical practitioners experience different earnings records. ${ }^{17}$

[^20]
## II. Transportation Services and Public Utilities

## A. PRICES AND EXPENDITURES

Prices in the transportation services group experienced a greater percentage increase than any other service group in the Consumer Price Index from 1947 to 1958. Local transit fares increased 126.4 percent and led the other items by a wide margin. In fact this item which accounts for 27 percent of the weight of the transportation services index, contributed 40.5 percent of the rise. (See table 21.) The utilities rose much less, in general.

Table 21.-Transportation service prices, 1947-58

|  | Relative importance, Decem- 1952 | Price index |  | Percent increase | A verage annual rate of change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1947 | 1958 |  | 1947-58 | 1947-53 | 1953-58 |
| Transportation services. | 3.7 | 89.3 | 174. 1 | 95.0 | 6.3 | 9.1 | 3.4 |
| Auto repairs | 1.1 | 95.5 | 141.9 | 48.6 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 3.0 |
| Insurance...- | 1.0 | 85.0 | 171.0 | 101.2 | 6.6 | 9.8 | 2.8 |
| Registration fees--.- | 1.3 | 97.9 | 126.9 | 29.6 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 3.5 |
| Railroad fares....... | 1.0 .3 | 88.7 89.7 | 133.2 | 126.4 48.5 | 7.7 3.7 | 9.9 5.4 | 5.2 1.9 |
| Transportation total ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 11.3 | 90.6 | 140.5 | 55.1 | 4.1 | 6.2 | 1.6 |
| Gas and electricity. | 1.9 | 97.6 | 117.0 | 19.9 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.9 |
| Polephone.- | 1.1 .2 | 95.3 97.4 | 127.4 139.9 | 33.7 | 2.7 | 4.4 | . 7 |
| Water... | .3 | ${ }^{2} 101.3$ | 136.4 | 37.5 34.6 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 6.1 |

[^21]Consumers' expenditures for transportation services and utilities show divergent trends. Expenditures associated with the operation of private automobiles increased much more than did expenditures for public transportation. Intercity rail transportation suffered the greatest decrease, despite fare increases measured by the Consumer Price Index of nearly 50 percent. The utilities experienced very large increases in expenditure with comparatively little price runup. Tabulated below is the percentage changes in consumer expenditures for various transportation services in the period 1947-58.

Table 22.-Expenditures for transportation services, percentage change, 1947-58

| Item | Percent change in expendi-tures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aggregate | Per capita |
| Automobile repair and maintenance. |  |  |
| Bridge, highway, and ferry tolls --.- | 233.3 | 175.0 |
| Auto insurance: premiums less claims. | 278.2 | 213.6 |
| Intercity transportation: | -5.8 | -22.0 |
| Railiroad............. | -38.7 |  |
| Bus. ............ | -9.3 | -49.4 |
| Aousehold utilities: | 410.0 | 324.1 |
| Electricity ..... |  |  |
| Oas....-.-. | 206.0 | 153.5 |
| Water-...-....-.-...........-...-..... | 121.7 | 83.5 |
| Telephone and other communication. | 175. 2 | 128.1 |

[^22]
## B. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

The public transportation industries, expecially local transportation and rail passenger service, have suffered extensive declines in patronage.

A drop in volume and revenue has severe repercussions on unit profits. A vicious circle can easily be set in motion. Higher rates, or an exogenous change in taste, leading to a drop in volume, decreases revenue. Costs are unlikely to drop as much as revenue because carriers are required to maintain service and because of the heavy fixed cost elements in transportation industry cost structures. Returns on assets or operations decline. Consequently, the carrier is eligible for a further rate increase in order to restore profits to a satisfactory level. If the same cycle repeats, repeated rate increases may take place with little improvement in earnings.

Employment in both intercity railroads and local transit has been declining. At the same time hourly wage rates and average annual earnings have been rising. Average hourly earnings rose 87.7 percent and 73.8 percent, respectively, in the class I railroads and the local transit industries. Employment, however, decreased 36.6 percent and 47.9 percent. Railway workers maintained and improved slightly their annual income position relative to workers in manufacturing industries; transit employees slipped somewhat. (See table 23 and 24.)

Table 23.-Employment: transportation and publuc utility services, 1947-58
[In thousands]

|  | Local transit | Class I railroad | Telephone | Gas and electric utilities |  | Local transit | Class I railroad | Telephone | Gas and electric utilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 185 |  | 585. 5 |  | 1954. | 126 | 1,065 | 698.8 | 557.1 |
| 1948 | 163 | 1, 327 | 638.9 | 498.0 | 1955 | 116 | 1,057 | 706.7 | 562.1 |
| 1949 | 156 | 1,191 | 638.9 | 514.9 | 1956 | 110 | 11,043 | 751.2 | 569.1 |
| 1950. | 145 | 1,221 | 619.5 | 526.0 | 1957 | 104 | 1985 | 768.2 | 577.2 |
| 1951 | 139 | 1,276 | 644. 0 | 533.3 | 1958. | 96 | 1841 | 732.4 | 578.5 |
| 1952 | 133 | 1,226 | 678. 4 | 543.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1953. | 129 | 1,207 | 702.2 | 554.2 | Percent change..- | -47.9 | 2-36.6 | 25.1 | 241.8 |

${ }^{1}$ Data for road with annual revenue above $\$ 3,000,000$ before, above $\$ 1,000,000$.
2 Percentage change, 1948-58.
Source: BLS, "Employment and Earnings."
Table 24.-Average hourly earnings: Transportation and public utility services, 1947-58

| Year | Class I railways | Local transit | Gas and electric utlities | Telephone | Year | Class I railways | Local transit | Gas and electric utilities | Telephone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 |  | \$1. 22 |  | \$1.20 | 1954 | \$1.93 | \$1.81 | \$2. 02 | \$1. 76 |
| 1948 | \$1.30 | 1.33 | \$1.45 | 1.25 | 1955 | 1.96 | 1.87 | 2.10 | 1.82 |
| 1949 | 1.43 | 1.43 | 1.54 | 1.35 | 1956 | 2.12 | 1.96 | 2.22 | 1. 86 |
| 1950 | 1.57 | 1.49 | 1.60 | 1.40 | 1957 | 2. 26 | 2. 05 | 2.33 | 1. 95 |
| 1951 | 1.73 | 1.56 | 1.51 | 1.49 | 1958. | 2. 44 | 2.12 | 2. 46 | 2. 05 |
| 1952 | 1.83 | 1. 65 | 1.81 | 1.59 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1953 | 1.88 | 1. 71 | 1.94 | 1.68 | Percent change--- | 187.7 | 73.8 | ${ }^{1} 69.6$ | 70.8 |

1 Percentage change, 1948-58.
Source: BLS, "Employment and Earnings."

## C. PRIVATE TRANSPORTATION

Auto repair prices and automobile insurance rates accounted for just under 50 percent of the rise in transportation service prices. Higher auto repair costs begot higher insurance rates, although independent factors such as higher accident rates impinge upon insurance. The number of automobiles has increased 81.3 percent from 30.7 million in 1947 to 55.7 million in 1957 so that on the average there is now one car for every American family. ${ }^{18}$ Furthermore, automobiles are now more complicated machines. An increase in the number and skill of of auto repairmen may be necessary to attain a given level of performance or safety.

[^23]Employment data for auto service or repair personnel is not published; nor is a regular wage series maintained. However, the Bureau of Labor Statistics has carried out community wage surveys covering auto repair personnel. ${ }^{19}$ Table 25 collects data for all the cities and years that are available for auto mechanics. The average rate was computed by weighting the cities according to estimated number of mechanics employed.

Table 25.-Average hourly earnings, auto mechanics, selected years, 1947-58

|  | 1947 | 1948 | 1951 | 1953 | 1958 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atlanta. | 1.35 | 1.34 | 1. 60 | 1.88 | 2.21 |
| Baltimore. | 1.41 | 1.42 | 1. 64 | 1. 92 | 2.40 |
| Boston. | 1. 44 | 1. 50 | 1.63 | 1.93 | 2.37 |
| Cincinnati | 1.35 | 1.55 | 1. 70 | (1) | 2.42 |
| Chicago. | 1.67 | 1. 83 | 2.06 | 2.51 | 3. 16 |
| Cleveland | 1.89 | 2.15 | 2.25 | 2.86 | 3.12 |
| Detroit. | 2.05 | 2. 09 | 2.26 | 2. 78 | 2.97 |
| Los Angeles | 1.87 | 1.85 | 2.06 | 2.48 | 2.86 |
| Kansas City | 1.64 | 1. 70 | 1.98 | (1) | (1) |
| Minneapolis-St. Pau | 1.50 | 1.59 | 1.78 | (1) | 2.71 |
| New York. | 1.53 | 1. 64 | 1.87 | 2.21 | 2.67 |
| Philadelphia | 1.45 | 1.61 | 1.69 | 2.11 | 2.71 |
| Pittsburgh. | 1.40 | 1. 56 | 1.94 | 1.98 | 2.72 |
| Portland. | 1.60 | 1.73 | 2.01 | 2. 19 | 2.52 |
| San Francisco | 1.81 | 2.00 | 2.03 | 2.24 | 2.71 |
| Seattle | 1.63 | 1.80 | 2.01 | (1) | 2.54 |
| Washington | 1.46 | 1.48 | 1.81 | (1) | (1) |
| St. Louis. | (1) | 2.08 | 1.93 | (1) | 2.83 |
| A verage wage | 1.479 | 1.745 | 1. $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 2. 328 | 2.761 |

1 Not available.
Source: BLS "Community Wage Surveys."
Mechanics received a higher hourly wage than the average for all manufacturing employees in the cities surveyed. There is, however, a significant rank correlation ${ }^{20}$ between the manufacturing wage rate and mechanics pay. Those cities with high manufacturing wages also had high mechanics wages. There is also a significant rank correlation ${ }^{21}$ between the percentage increase in manufacturing and mechanic wages from 1951-58.

## III. Other Nonprofessional Service Industries

Much of the employment in the conglomeration called the service industries is unskilled or at most requires little formal education. Often what training is needed is supplied on the job or through apprenticeship programs. Entry and exit of firms in this industry is relatively easy and small firms abound. This group of service suppliers includes laundry, drycleaning, barber and beauty shops, shoe repair shops, and other establishments which specialize in the care of persons and their belongings. Domestic servants and helpers can also be included as well as appliance and radio-TV repairmen, hotel workers, and similar groups.

## A. PRICES

The price changes measured by the Consumer Price Index for items in this group have, on the whole, increased about as much as the average for all services or a little less. However, there are exceptions. The price of men's haircuts and television repairs rose considerably faster than the average of all service prices while beauty shop service, drycleaning, and domestic service rose less than the service index. ${ }^{22}$ Laundry prices rose almost exactly as much as the average. See table 26.

[^24]Table 26.-Other service prices, 1947-58

| Item | Relative importance, December 1952 | Price index |  | Percent increase, 1947-58 | A verage annual rate of increase |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1947 | 1958 |  | 1947-58 | 1947-53 | 1953-58 |
| Other services ${ }^{1}$ | 2.7 | 97.1 | 129.6 | 33.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| Personal care ${ }^{2}$. | 2.0 | 97.6 | 128.6 | 31.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| Man's hair cut | . 6 | 94.3 | 162.7 | 72.4 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 4.3 |
| Beauty shop service. | . 3 | 100.4 | 124.1 | 23.6 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 3.3 |
| Reading and recreation ${ }^{3}$. | 5.3 | 95.5 | 116.7 | 17.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| Movie admissions.. | 1.4 | 98.4 | 135.7 | 37.9 | 3.0 | 1.7 | 5.2 |
| Television repairs. | . 1 | - 105.4 | 135.9 | ${ }^{5} 24.7$ |  |  | 4.5 |
| Laundry services..... | . 8 | 94.2 | 142.0 | 50.7 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 2.9 |
| Drycleaning and pressing | 1.2 | 96.2 | 128. 7 | 33.8 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 2.1 |
| Domestic service........... | . 6 | 98.9 | 134.5 | 36.0 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.3 |

[^25]Source: BLS, Consumer Price Index.

## B. EXPENDITURES

Consumer expenditures for services in this group have shown diverse trends. While all have increased in absolute amount, on a per capita basis there has been some decline in laundry and such small increases in some others that real consumption has declined. Table 27 lists percentage changes in consumer expenditures on the major items in the group.

Table 27.-Personal consumption expenditures, personal and household services, 1947-58
[Percentage change]

| Item | Change in expenditure |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |

[^26]Demand for drycleaning and domestic service was at least strong enough that real output did not decline. Deflated drycleaning expenditures increased 3.4 percent, and domestic service 8.7 percent; laundry output, on the other hand, dropped from 1947-58.23 Deflated expenditure on domestic services increased only 8.7 percent.
C. EMPLOYMENT AND WAGES

Bureau of Labor Statistics employment and earnings data supplement the data already presented on average number of full- and part-time workers in the industries in this group. Tabulated below is data for employment and hourly earnings in laundry, drycleaning, hotel establishments. Manufacturing wage rates are given for comparison (tables 28 and 29).
${ }^{23}$ Based on aggregate expenditures deflated by the relevant CPI item index.

Table 28.-Employment in unskilled service industries, 1947-58
[In thousands]

| Year | Laundries | Drycleaning | Hotels | Year | Laundries | Dryclean. ing | Hotels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947. | 364.8 | 153.7 | 380.0 | 1954. | 331.4 | 162.9 | 494.2 |
| 1948. | 353.7 | 151.9 | 486.0 | 1855 | 332.1 | 163.4 | 498.7 |
| 1949 | 345.4 | 152.4 | 476.0 | 1956. | 332.3 | 165.8 | 515.4 |
| 1950 | 342.1 | 156.7 | 471.0 | 1957. | 326.3 | 169.8 | 531.0 |
| 1951 | 342.7 | 165.2 | 479.7 | 1958.. | 312.6 | 167.4 | 511.3 |
| 1952 | 340.2 | 166.0 | 493.3 | Percent |  |  |  |
| 1953. | 339.2 | 166.2 | 504.3 | change. | -14.3 | 8.9 | 34.5 |

Source: BLS, "Employment and Earnings."
Table 29.-Average hourly earnings: Unskilled service industries, 1947-58

| Year | Manu-facturing | $\underset{\text { dry }}{\text { Laun- }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clean- } \\ \text { Ing } \end{gathered}$ | Hotels | Year | Manu- <br> facturing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Laun- } \\ & \text { dry } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Clean- } \\ \text { ing }}}{ }$ | Hotels |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 1.22 | . 77 | . 90 | . 66 | 1954 | 1.81 | 1.00 | 1.19 | . 96 |
| 1948 | 1.38 | . 82 | . 96 | . 71 | 1955 | 1.88 | 1.01 | 1.20 | . 98 |
| 1949 | 1.47 | . 84 | . 99 | . 74 | 1956 | 1.98 | 1.03 | 1.26 | 1.03 |
| 1950. | 1. 55 | . 86 | 1.01 | . 77 | 1957. | 2.07 | 1.08 | 1.30 | 1.08 |
| 1951. | -1.59 | . 92 | 1.06 | . 82 | 1958. | 2.13 | 1. 13 | 1.32 | 1.13 |
| 1953 | 1.67 | . 98 | 1.10 | . 87 | Percent change.- | 74.6 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 71.2 |
|  | 1.77 | . 98 | 1.14 | . 91 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: BLS, "Employment and Earnings."
Data on the incomes of partnerships in this group is tabulated in table 16 above. Income per partnership showed declines from levels achieved in 1953; laundry and drycleaning declined 12.8 percent, barber and beauty shops by 2.9 percent. ${ }^{24}$

## PART 3: CITY CROSS SECTION ANALYSIS

## I. Introduction

One approach taken in this study of employment, prices, and wages in the services industries was an analysis based upon a cross section of large cities. Data were collected for a number of economic variables for the period 1951-58. Since average price data is available for most cities only after 1954, some of the analysis was limited to the 4 years, 1955-58.

The rationale upon which the cross section approach was based includes the following considerations:

1. The service sector, perhaps more than in any other sector, is local in nature and orientation. Typically, there are many small firms, often owned and operated by a single individual.
2. Wage rates are not typically set as the result of nationwide bargains between national unions and industrial associations. There are few, if any, regional or national chains, such as there are in retail groceries. Even in those trades which may have guild-type price and wage setting, there is probably little direct coordination between cities.
3. In the professional services where national associations are powerful and where entry is difficult because of training requirements and licensing laws, fee schedules are not uniform in level.
[^27]
## II. The Data

## A. CITY SAMPLE

The cities chosen were the 20 large cities for which the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) publishes price data gathered in connection with compilation of the Consumer Price Index. They are listed below:
*Atlanta
*Baltimore
Boston
*Cincinnati
*Chicago
Cleveland
*Detroit
Houston
Kansas City
*Los Angeles

Minneapolis
*New York
Pittsburgh
*Philadelphia
Portland, Oreg.
*St. Louis
*San Francisco
Scranton
Seattle
Washington, D.C.
*Price data available for 1952-58.

## R. PRICE DATA

A yearly average price for each of seven services categories was computed and used in this study. A simple arithmetic mean was used to summarize all the price quotations within a category into an annual quotation. There are seven prices for each city for each year.

The following are the categories and the items included:
Laundry service: Semifinished and finished.
Dry cleaning: Man's shirt, delivered and women's dress, cash and carry.
Automobile service: Brake relining and chassis lubrication.
Hospital room rates, per day rate for the following types of accommodation: Men's pay ward, semiprivate room, private room.
Medical service: Office visit to general practitioner, house visit by general practitioner, obstetrical case, appendectomy (surgeon's usual fee excluding anesthetic).
Dental service: Tooth filling amalgam, one surface; tooth extraction without complication, including X-ray.
Personal-care services: Man's haircut; shampoo and wave set, plain, short hair. Monthly prices are given for the five largest cities; the remaining 15 cities are priced 4 times per year such that five of them are priced each month. All data came from the BLS and can be found in the publications mentioned below.

Data for December 1952 and for 1953-54 is from "Average Retail Prices: Collection and Calculation Techniques and Problems," Bulletin No. 1182 (June 1955). Only the 10 cities marked with asterisks in the list above are reported; Data for the full 20 -city sample for 1955 is given in "Average Retail Prices," Bulletin No. 1197 (June 1956). Data for 1956-58 was taken from BLS worksheets in the Division of Prices and Cost of Living and will be published in the future.

## C. UNSKILLED WAGE RATES

There are no wage data available for workers in service industries on a city basis. The Bureau of Labor Statistics does, however, publish periodically Bulletins in the series entitled "Occupational Wage Surveys." Data on wages in office, professional, maintenance, and custodial occupations are given for a number of large cities annually (or at times, less regularly) by these publications.

A composite unskilled wage rate was computed for each city and year using data from the "Occupational Wage Survey" series. Six occupations were chosen to be representative of the level of skill typically required in unskilled service industries. The average of the wage paid to workers in these six occupations was then used as an estimate of the level of wages paid in unskilled service industries in the same city and year.

The six occupations chosen were male janitors, female janitors, male laborers (material handling), female packers (shipping), office boys, and female file clerks (class B), all employed in nonmanufacturing industries.

For Washington, D.C., no "Surveys" have been published by BLS. The salary for the lowest civil service grade, transformed to an hourly rate was used

When data for a year was missing, the gap was filled by interpolating between years. If more than 1 year was missing, the movement of manufacturing wages was used as a guide.

Unskilled wage data was interpolated for the following cities and years:
Baltimore: 1953-54, 1956.
Boston: 1958.
Cleveland: 1953, 1955, 1957.
Detroit: 1952, 1954, 1956, 1957.
Minneapolis: 1956.
Portland: 1954.
St. Louis: 1958.
Seattle: 1952-55.
No unskilled wage data at all was available for Cincinnati, Pittsburgh, Houston, Kansas City, and Scranton. These cities were removed from all analyses involving wages.

## d. manufacturing wages and industrial employment

Manufacturing wage rates were supplied by the BLS and are published in its regular periodical, "Employment and Earnings." The May 1954 and May 1959 editions contain annual data which covers the entire period.

Employment is reported for the major nonagricultural divisions: manufacturing, construction, trade, finance, service, government. The manufacturing wage data was not published for the following cities and years: Cincinnati, 1952; Houston, 1952-54; Washington, D.C., 1952-54; Chicago and Cleveland, 1951.

## E. LABOR MARKET CONDITION•

Major labor markets are classified using a system which takes into account the level of unemployment, the current labor supply and demand situation, expected short-run requirements for labor, and seasonal factors.

Over the period, the classification scale has been changed; however, since this analysis was based on cross-section data only, the noncomparability of the two scales over time is of no importance.
The labor-market condition is reported bimonthly. The Labor Department's ranking scheme was translated into numbers and the arithmetic mean of the 6 bimonthly rankings was used to represent the annual labor-market condition for each city and year.

The data may be found in the periodical "Area Labor Market Trends" (and its predecessor, "The Bimonthly Summary of Labor Market Developments in Major Areas')' published by the BLS Bureau of Employment Security.

## F. POPULATION

Population estimates were taken from the annual May "Survey of Buying Power" issue of Sale Management Magazine.

## G. PRICE LEVEL

Since the Consumer Price Index is a measure of the change in prices in relation to the base period 1947-49, divergences of the index reported for individual cities can show only differential changes in prices. They do not necessarily show differences which may exist in the level of prices among the cities.

To transform the price index from a measure of change only, into a measure of price level, it is necessary to adjust the base-period level to reflect differences in cost among the cities.

In 1946, the BLS conducted a study in which the cost in each city of a standard bill of goods and services (similar in scope to the coverage of the CPI) was determined.

BLS Bulletin No. 927, "Workers' Budgets in the United States: City Families and Single Persons, 1946 and 1947," reports the results of the study. (See table $6, \mathrm{pp} .28-30$ of the Bulletin.) The mean cost of the budget in the 20 -city sample was computed. The cost of the budget in each of the cities was then converted
into an index with base equal to the average cost of the budget in the 20 cities. The results are tabulated below.

Table 30.-Cost of a standard budget for a family of 4, selected sample of cities, 1946
[Index numbers]


Note.-Base is average of cost of budget in all cities.
To this base was added the Consumer Price Index as reported by the BLS for each city and year. The new index shows price change adjusted for differences in price level in the individual cities.

## h. NONWhite population

Percentage of population which is nonwhite was taken directly from the "Country and City Data Book, 1956" (Bureau of the Census), table 3. The data refer to the year 1950 and were collected in connection with the regular decennial census.

## III. Preliminary Analysis

The data described above were used in an attempt to gain understanding of the variables and mechanisms important in price and wage making in the services industries. The results are probably more suggestive than definitive for various reasons mentioned below.

All data in a cross-section should represent simultaneous observations of all variables in all elements of the sample. In the data used here, the observations are considered to be annual averages. But some of the variables are averages of 12 observations; some of 6 ; some of 4 observations, not all of which refer to the same date in all cities; some, in the case of unskilled wages, are only one observation per year. In years of rapidly changing conditions, the month in which the "representative" observation falls might make important difference in results, especially when all the cities are not surveyed the same month. ${ }^{24 \mathrm{a}}$

Errors of observation are probably quite high in much of the data used. While the BLS does publish average price data drawn from their price observations for the Consumer Price Index, it must be remembered that main concern of the BLS is with measuring changes in price. If it becomes necessary to alter the sample of establishments surveyed, it makes little difference in the index-making process if, other things equal, the average price differs between the two establishments. As long as successive monthly changes have been the same, the new outlet can be linked smoothly into the index. The average price data may however show an abrupt change.

Combining the labor-market index into an annual average suppresses much of its sensitivity. If it were feasible to collect the rest of the data on a quarterly basis, use of a quarterly labor market condition indicator would be better. Other difficulties include the small number of service occupations for which data were available, as well as the limited number of cities and years included.

Nevertheless preliminary results of what has been found to date are summarized briefly here. Negative results as well as more encourging ones are indicated.

[^28]
## A. WAGES

The first general hypothesis for investigation was that the industrial composition of the labor force, the labor-market condition, and the price level explain the level of manufacturing or unskilled wages.

Three regressions were run. Two attempted to explain the level of unskilled wages; one of manufacturing wages. The first regressed the level of unskilled wages on the percentage of the total labor force accounted for by employment in the service sector, ${ }^{25}$ the labor-market condition (LMC), and the price level.

The second regressed the unskilled wage level on the percentage of total employed in the services industries, the LMC, and the price level. The third regression investigated the association of manufacturing wages on percentage of the total labor force employed in industrial employment, the condition of the labor market and the price level.

The coefficients of multiple determination ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) associated with the manufacturing wage regression were significant at the 5-percent level for the years 1956-58, and nearly so in 1955. The beta coefficients associated with the price level were significant at the 5 -percent level in 1955 and at the 1 percent level in 1957-58. In addition, in 1957 and 1958 the beta for labor-market condition was significant at the 5 -percent level. (See appendix tables.)

On the other hand, the regression of unskilled wages on the variables named above had no coefficients of multiple determination which were significant. The betas associated with labor-market condition and price level were significant at the 5-percent level in 1958 in the regression which included service sector employment as one of the independent variables. The regression involving services industries employment as a variable had no significant betas. (See appendix tables 1 and 2.)

## B. SPILLOVER INVESTIGATION

If the level of unskilled wages cannot be satisfactorily explained by employment structure, labor market condition, or price level, another hypothesis is available. That is the "spillover" thesis.

The form investigated here states that the level and change in unskilled wages are associated with the level and change in manufacturing wages more than with other explanatory variables.
The analysis was carried out using rank correlation methods developed by Kendall. ${ }^{28}$ The coefficient of rank correlation is called tau by Kendall and will be used throughout as a shorthand expression.

A sample of 14 cities was used, including Atlanta, Baltimore, Boston, Chicago, Cleveland, Detroit, Los Angeles, Minneapolis-St. Paul, New York, Philadelphia, Portland, St. Louis, San Francisco, and Seattle. ${ }^{27}$

## Level of unskilled wage on level of manufacturing wage

The results of correlation of cities ranked by level of unskilled wages and level of manufacturing wages were extremely significant. The correlations were carried out using data for the years 1951-58. The size of the sample is 14 cities for each set of computations reported. Cities omitted were Cincinnati, Houston, Kansas City, Pittsburgh, Scranton, and Washington, D.C.
The values of tau and the significance level associated with each one are tabulated below:

Rank correlation coefficients

| Year | Value of coefficient | Level at which significant | Year | Value of coefficient | Level at which significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951. | 0.7023 |  | 1955. |  |  |
| 1952 | . 7079 | . 00003 | 1956.. | 0.5888 .7300 | . 00001 |
| 1953. | . 6630 | . 0006 | 1957. | . 7889 | . 00001 |
| 1954. | . 6742 | . 0006 | 1958. | . 6409 | . 0009 |

[^29]The levels at which the tau coefficients are significant is very high; since rank correlation is a relatively weak method, however, little confidence can be placed in results which are only marginally significant. These results tend to be supported by the simple product moment correlation coefficients which were computed in connection with the wage regressions already mentioned. ${ }^{28}$ The simple correlation between unskilled and manufacturing wages is significant at the 1-percent level. Simple correlation between other variables is not significant even at the 5 -percent level.

## Simple correlation coefficients

|  | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unskilled wage on- |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing wage | 10.8187. | 10.8037 | 10.7426 | 10.7340 .3711 |
| Labor market condition | . 2241 | .3398 .2720 | .4578 .3046 | . 3711 |
| Price level....... | . 3027 | . 2720 | . 3040 | . 3305 |
| Manufacturing wage on- |  | . 3783 | . 3768 | 4885 |
| Labor market condition. | . 4910 | . 57811 | . .5225 | . 3365 |

${ }^{1}$ Significant at 1-percent level.

## Percent change of unskilled on percent change in manufacturing

Turning to correlations of cities ranked by percentage change in unskilled wages on percentage change in manufacturing wages, two periods were chosen: 1951-58 and 1954-58.

The values are tabulated:

| Year | Tau | Level at which significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1951-58. | 0.3626 .5385 | 0.0409 .00453 |

The connection for 1951-58 was significant at the 4-percent level; tau for the later period was significant at more than the 1-percent level.

The tests carried out above seem to give support to the existence of a spillover between unskilled wages and manufacturing wages in the cities studied.
It might be argued, however, that the strong association of level and rate of change between the two classes of wages is observed because other, third factors operate on both manufacturing and unskilled wages.
The following alternative hypotheses have been tested:

1. The level and rate of change in wages is associated with the state of the labor market within the city.
2. The level and rate of change in wages is associated with the concentration of nonwhite population within the city.
3. The level and rate of change in wages is associated with the level and rate of change in consumer prices within the city.

## Wage rates on labor market condition

Cities were ranked by labor market condition and by level of unskilled wages and level of manufacturing wages for the years 1952, 1955, and 1958-years which covered both recession and high employment.

[^30]Values of tau and levels of significance are given below for both unskilled wages and for manufacturing wages:

| Year | - Unskilled wages |  | Manufacturing wages |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tau | Level at which significant | Tau | Level at which slg. nificant |
| 1952. | -0.1189 | 0.3156 | 0.1453 | 0.2743 |
| 1955 | . 1695 | . 1841 | . 2374 | . 1292 |
| 1858. | . 0634 | . 4562 | -. 0586 | . 3669 |

None of the values are high enough that we mav, with confidence, reject the null hypothesis, especially in view of the fact that the sign on the correlation coefficient seems to shift from positive to negative with little logic. 29

Apparently the level and change in wage rates were not importantly associated with labor market condition in the city during this period. ${ }^{30}$

## Wage rates on concentration of colored population.

Cities were ranked according to the percentage of their population which was found to be non-white in the 1950 Census and by level of unskilled wages.

Results of rank correlation analysis show the following values for tau and significance levels.

|  | Year | Tau. | Level at which significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981. |  | 0.4505 | 0.015 |
| 1935.. |  | . 2747 | . 095 |
| 1958. |  | - 2527 | . 115 |

The results are significant for 1951 at 1-percent level, but increasingly less so for the later years. This inay reflect two things:
(1) The rank of cities ordered by color concentration may be increasingly unrepresentative of the true order, the further in time one gets from-1950; or
(2) The level of wages may be becoming less sensitive to concentrations of nonwhite population.
Correlating change in unskilled wages on color concentration shows the follow' ${ }^{2}$ ing. values of tau and significance levels. Values.for percentage change per hour are shown.


Unskilled wage change measured in percentage terms was somewhat significant at about the 5-percent level.

[^31]Some outstanding anomalies can be observed in the ordering of the cities. Boston, New York, and Minneapolis-St. Paul were at the low end of the color concentration and also had low unskilled wage levels.

The low wage levels in Boston and New York can undoubtedly be traced to the foreign immigrants who tend to concentrate at ports of entry. Furthermore, with respect to New York, the unskilled wage rank was worsened during the period. In 1951, New York ranked fourth; in 1955 and 1958 it ranked ninth (small numbers indicate high wages). This experience matches the increased Puerto Rican influx. Boston ranked 10th, 12 th, 12 th, also showing some deterioration. In the case of Minneapolis, no ready demographic explanation comes to mind. Possibly its relative isolation from other large cities helps keep wage rates low by limiting nearby alternative opportunity.

## Price and price levels on wages

Correlations of cities ranked by wages and annual Consumer Price Index reveal:

1. The CPI and the price level have shown stronger association with wage levels as the decade progressed.
2. Manufacturing wages show greater association with the cost of living than do unskilled wages.
3. Using CPI, lagged 1 year, improves the relationship in the case of uniskilled wages throughout the period. In the case of manufacturing, lagging wages improved the association in 1954 and 1958, but made it worse in 1951 and 1953.
4. None of the values for tau were as great as they were for the correlation of unskilled wages on manufacturing wages for similar years.
This analysis suggests that both classes of wages appear to be becoming more sensitive to the CPI and price level. Only in 1958 were all correlation coefficients (except one) significant at the 5 -percent level. (See tabulated values.)


These data tend to support the spillover hypothesis as outlined above. There are some cautions which should be noted in generalizing to the services sector.
(1) The unskilled wage rate is a composite of rates for certain unskilled jobs in nonmanufacturing industries surveyed by the BLS in a number of cities from time to time.
(2) No test has been made of the validity of this unskilled composite rate as a representative of either the level or change in wages in service establishments in the surveyed cities.
(3) Existence of a direct causal mechanism between the levels of manufacturing and unskilled wages has not been proved, only suggested.

## C. PRICES

Each of the seven service category prices were regressed on the unskilled wage rate, the labor market condition and the population for each of the four years price data were available.

The results can be summarized as follows:

1. Dry cleaning.-The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ was significant at the 5 -percent level for every year. The beta coefficients associated with unskilled wages were significant at the 1 percent level in every year.
2. Hospital care.-Significant $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values at the 5 -percent level were present for all years. The beta coefficients for unskilled wages were significant at the 1-percent level every year. In 1957 and 1958 the betas associated with labor market condition were significant at the 5 -percent level and had a negative sign: in these years cities with relatively good labor-market conditions and relatively high hospital rates were associated.
S. Auto repairs.-The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ was significant at the 5 -percent level in 1958. The beta associated with population was significant at the 5 -percent level in 1957 and the 1 -percent level in 1958. Larger cities and higher auto repair prices were associated. The betas for unskilled wages and labor-market condition were also significant in 1958.
3. Medical care.-In 1958 the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ was just significant at the 5 -percent level. The betas for unskilled wage and labor market condition were also just within the 5-percent significance level. As with hospital rates, there was an inverse relation between higher prices and poorer labor-market conditions.
4. Personal care.-None of the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values were significant at the 5 -percent level. But the betas associated with unskilled wages were significant at that level each year. No other betas were significant.
5. Dental care.-No R2 values were significant. There was weak and spotty significance of the betas associated with unskilled wages: 5 percent in 1955-56 and 1958.
6. Laundry prices.-No beta or $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values were significant.

In summary, the preliminary results reported are not completely at variance with a priori notions about the relation of unskilled wages and service prices. Hospitals, drycleaners, and laundries are, in general, low paid, labor-intense industries. The medical and dental professions are not. Auto repair and personal care are somewhere between. The results found here are strongly consistent in the case of hospitals and drycleaning; satisfactorily so in the other cases. Only laundries were strongly out of place.

## Appendix

## Definition of Services Items Included in Personal Consumption Expenditures in National Income Accounts

Clothing service:
Shoe repair
Laundry in establishments
Drycleaning and dyeing
Other
Housing-Space rental value of-:
Owner-occupied nonfarm dwellings
Tenant-occupied nonfarm dwellings
Farmhouses and other, i.e., hotels, clubs, etc.
Household operation:
Utilities: Electricity, gas, water
Telephone, telegraph, cable, and wireless
Domestic service
Other: Appliance maintenance, moving expenses, postage, premiums on fire, etc., insurance
Medical care and death expense:
Physicians
Dentists
Other professional services: Miscellaneous curative and healing arts
Privately controlled hospitals and sanitariums
Medical care and hospital insurance
Funeral and burial expenses

Personal business:
$\therefore \quad$ Brokerage charges and interest, investment counseling
Bank service charges, trust services, safe deposit box rent
Services rendered without payment by financial intermediaries, except life insurance companies
Expense of handling life insurance
Legal services
Interest on personal debt
Other: Money orders, net union dues, classified ads., etc.
Transportation:
User-owned transportation:
Automobile repair, greasing, washing, parking, etc.
Bridge, tunnel, ferry, and road toll
Automobile insurance premiums less claims paid
Purchased local transportation:
Street and electric railway and local bus
Taxicabs
Railway commutation
Purchased intercity transportation:
Railway
Intercity bus
Airline

- Other

Recreation:
Radio and TV repair
Motion-picture admissions
Leecitimate theater and opera, etc.
Spectator sports
Clubs and fraternal organizations

- Commercial participant amusements: Billiard parlors, bowling alleys, etc.

Parimutuel net receipts
Other
Private education and research
Relirious and welfare activities
Foreign travel and remittances
Soürce: "U.S. Income and:Output," pp. 150-1; tahle Il-4.
Definition of Service Industry as Úsed in Gross Product Originating Data
Source: Standard Industrial Classification Manual.
Hotels and other lodging places: Includes commercial establishments and institutions envared in furnishing lodging, or lodging and meals, and camping facilities and space, on a fee hasis.
Personal services: Includes estahlishments primarily engaced in providing services generally involving the care of the person or his apparel, such as laundries, cleaning and dyeing plants, photographic studios, barber and beauty shops, and cleaning and pressing shops:
Private households: Includes private households which employ workers who serve on or about the premises in occupations usually considered as domestic service. Households classifed in this major: group may employ individuals, such as cooks, maids, butlers, personal secretaries, and manarers of personal
affairs; and outside wort ers such as crardeners, caretalers, and otrer maintenance worters. I.aundresses performing work in their own homes or in the homes of others are included.
Commercial and trade schools and emplnyment arencies: Pusiness and commercial education schools, civil service sctools: placement afencies; trade schools.
Business services: Includes éstatisl ments renidering services not elsowhere classifed to husiness enterprises on a fee or contract hasis. (Advertising, consumer credit reporting, duplicating, mailing and stenographic services, services to buildines, news syndicates, etc.).
Miscellaneous repair services and land trades: Incluces establishments engaged in miscellaneous repair services. It does not include auto repair, custom work, and shoe repair.
Motion pictures: Includes estahlishments producing and distrikuting motionpicture films, exhibiting motion pictures in con:n ercially operated theaters, and furnishing services to the motion picture industry.
Amusement and recreation, except motion pictures: Includes establishments whose primary function is to provide amusement or entertainment on payment
of a fee or admission charge, except motion pictures. (Including museums, zoological gardens.)
Medical and other health services: Includes establishments primarily engaged in furnishing medical, surgical, and other health services to persons. Associations or groups primarily engaged in providing medical or other health services to members are included; but'not those which limit their services to the provision of insurance against hospitalization or medical costs.
Legal services: Establishments engaged in offering legal advice or legal services on a contract or fee basis, the head or heads of which are members of the bar.
Engineering and other professional services: Establishments performing services by engineers, architects, accountants, artists, lecturers', and writers; also includes nọnprofit educational and scientific research agencies.
Educational services: Establishments furnishing formal academic or technical courses, "and libraries.
Nonprofit' membership organizations: Organizations operating on a nonprofit membership basis for the promotion of the interests of the members.

Table 1.-Regression coefficients, manufacturing wage regression

|  | Regression coefficient | Partial correlation coefficient | Beta coefficient | Standard error of beta | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value of } \\ & R_{R^{2}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufactuning wage on-.1955 industrial employment_.............0.0065 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor-market condition | 0.0665 .1081. | 0.4019 .3134 | $\begin{array}{r}0.3952 \\ -.3094 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $0: 2598$ .2705 .2273 | 0.4389 |
| Price level .-.-.- | . 0285 | $\stackrel{*}{*} .5748$ | $\because 5776$ | . 62373 |  |
| . Laboir-market condi | . 02373. | *. 0160 | **. 9122 | $\bigcirc 11152$ | - 8478 |
| - Price level. | .0373 | . 07542 | -0769 | $\therefore \therefore \therefore 2946$. |  |
| .r-1957 industrial employment | .0076 | . 5217 | . 127072 | . 2935 |  |
| Labor-market conditio | . 1705 | - 5.5670 | +.4895 | . $20522^{-}$ |  |
| 1958 Industrial emploỳm | . 0319 | *. 66776 | **.6060. | . 1950 |  |
| .. Labor-market condition | . 0014 | $\begin{array}{r}.0923 \\ .6785 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | +.0693 | . 21510 | *6531 |
| Price level | . 18335 | . 6785 | $* * * 7393$ $* * .6293$ | . 21851 |  |
| Br: |  |  |  | :1851 |  |
| - Significant at 5-percent level: <br> - Significant at 1-percent leval |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'Note, -For ${ }^{\text {R2 }}$ ' to be significant at 5 ! |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| : Table 2.-Regression coefficients, unskilled wage regressions |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Regression coefficient | Partial cor relation coefficient | Beta co efficient | Standard error of beta | Value of |
| 1: Unskilled wages on1 : : 1055 service-sector employment | 1: | 1 | - . - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0. 00061 | 0.1731 .133 | 0.1715 | 0.3085 | 0:2469. |
| - Price level ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | . 16080 | . 41549 | $\begin{aligned} & .4661 \\ & .5138 \\ & .518 \end{aligned}$ | .3246 .3180 . |  |
| 1956 service-sector employme | . 01512 | . 0345 |  | $\square 2983$ | A-. 2128 |
| . Labor-market condition |  |  | -.0326 |  | : -1.2128 |
| 1957 service-sector emplo |  |  |  | 2901 |  |
| $\therefore$ Labor-market condi | .0010 .1874 .085 | . 32904 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { - } \\ -.0238 \\ .0541 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | . 26264. | 4035: |
| Price level | . .0256 | .5741 .4818 | $\text { . } 5841$ | - . 2666 |  |
| 1 Labor-market | . 0150 | . 32887 |  |  | 93920 |
| Price level:. | . 1783 | - 5989 | $\begin{aligned} & .7554 \\ & .6678 \end{aligned}$ | - $\quad .3193$ |  |
| 2. Unskilled wages on- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955 personal-services empl | . 14897 | - . 10898 | .0997 <br> .4181 | $\begin{aligned} & -.2880 \\ & \cdot \\ & \hline \\ & \hline .2882 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Price level. |  |  |  | $.2882$ |  |
| 1956 personal-services fer | -. 0258 | - . 39482 | . 41818 | $\because 3081$ |  |
| - Labor-market condit | -. 10025 |  | $-.0464$ |  | $\bigcirc 2136$ |
| Price level. | . 0165 | - . 363680 | $\begin{array}{r} .3634 \\ -3089 \end{array}$ | $\because: 22040$ |  |
| 1957 personal-services employment | $\begin{array}{r}-.0096 \\ . .1788 \\ \hline .01\end{array}$ | -..3174 | - -:0936 |  |  |
| Labor-market cond |  |  |  |  | 4112 |
| personal-services el | -. 0242 | . 8.0654 | $\begin{array}{r} .5571 \\ .4376 \end{array}$ | $\because 2503$ |  |
| Labor-market condition | .0110.1393.0289 |  | $\begin{array}{r} .1002 \\ .5902 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .2559 \\ & .2892 \\ & \hline 289 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \quad 3046 \\ & .2739 \end{aligned}$ | 3787 |
|  |  |  | $.5902$ |  |  |

-Significant at 5 -perceant level.
Note.-None significant. To be slgnificant at 5 percent, Re must equal 0.5267 .

Table 3．－Regression coefficients：Price regression

|  | Regression coefficient | Partial cor－ relationco－ efficient | Beta co－ efficient | Standard －error of beta | $\underset{R^{2}}{\text { Value of }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laundry prices on－ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955 unskilled wages． | 3． 2032 | 0.4901 | 0.4951 | 0.2784 | 0.3097 |
| Labor－market condition | －． 2741 | $-.1370$ | －． 1180 | ． 2719 |  |
| Population | ． 00007 | ． 2057 | 1835 4838 | 2761 |  |
| 1956 unskilled wages． | 3． 22181 | ． 4780 | ． 4838 | 2811 | 3451 |
| Labor－market condition | ． 2185 | ． 1254 | ． 1090 | ． 2725 |  |
| Population． | ． 00005 | .1648 .4471 | ． 1412 | ． 2673 | ． 4061 |
| 1957 unskilled wages | 2.6368 .4838 | .4471 .2775 | ． 4426 | ． 2789 | ． 4061 |
| Labor－market cndition | ． 4838 | .2775 .1101 | ． 2531 | ． 278177 |  |
| Population． | .0003 3.1123 | ． 1101 | ． 09803 | ． 28170 | ． 3818 |
| 1958 unskilled wagesc．．－．．－－ | 3． 1123 .1189 | ． 47819 | ． 0781 | ． 26877 | ． 3818 |
| Labor－market condition | ． 0009 | ． 2529 | ． 2161 | ． 2614 |  |
| Cleaning prices on－ 1955 unskilled wages． | －1．3849 | －＊． 9232 | ＊． 9634 | 5.1267 | ＊＊． 8969 |
| 1955 unskilled wages．．． ․ Labor－market cond | -1.3849 .0031 | .. .9232 .0153 | .0634 .0060 | $\cdots$ | ． 890 |
| －Population | $-.0002$ | －． 0583 | －．2833 | 1257 |  |
| 1956 unskilled wages． | 1.3822 | ＊． 8785 | ＊． 8259 | 1591 | ＊＊：7900 |
| Labor－market condition | －． 0016 | ． 0072 | －． 0035 -.3249 | ． 1543 |  |
| Population． | $-.0003$ | -.5616 $* .8789$ | -.3249 +.9708 | ． 1665 | ＊＊． 7898 |
| 1957 unskilled wages． | 1.3111 -.0504 | -.8789 -.2178 | . .9708 -.1163 | ． 1648 | ． 7888 |
| Labor－market condition | －． 0504 | －． 2178 | －． 1168 | .1533 |  |
| Popalation | $-.0003$ | -.5284 $* .9089$ | －． 30951 | .1443 | ＊$\%$ ． 8358 |
| 1958 unskilled wages | 1.3030 | +.9089 $-\quad 2438$ | －． 1097 | ． 1380 | －． 835 |
| Labor－market condition | －． 0339 | -.2438 -.5043 | －． 10978 | ． 1347 |  |
| Population．． | －． 0002 | －． 5043 | －． 2488 | ． 1347 |  |
| Auto－repair prices on－ 1955 unskilled wages | ． 1358 | ． 2304 | ． 20906 | 2799 | ． 3024 |
| Labor－market conditio | ． 0458 | ． 2241 | ． 1987 | 2733 |  |
| Population．．．－ | ． 0001 | ． 3811 | ． 3619 | ． 2776 | \％ |
| 1956 unskilled wages | ． 4742 | ． 1918 | ． 2025 | －3276 | 1106 |
| Labor－market condition | －． 0203 | －． 0287 | －． 0288 | ． 3175 |  |
| Population． | 0003 | ． 2195 | 1039 | ． 2165 |  |
| 1957 unskilled wages | 1650 | －2248． | －．1839 | ． 2629 | － |
| Lsbor－market condition | －． 010003 | \％． 6.6240 | ＊＊．6177 | ． 2445 |  |
| Population．：－．－－ | ＋．． 4838 | －． 7806 | ． .5315 | ． 1345 | ＊＊．8572 |
| 1858 unskilled wages： ＂Labor－markét condition | －$\cdot$. | －-7803 | －． 47448 | .1286 |  |
| Population．．．．．．．．．．．．．． | ． 0004 | ＊． 8442 | ． 6256 | ． 1256 |  |
| Personal－care prices on－ | ． 7564 | ＊＊． 6819 | ＊＊． 7076 | ． 2399 | ． 4873 |
| 1955 unskilled wages Labor－market condi | －． 1027 | －． 3421 | －． 2698 | ． 2343 |  |
|  | －．，0002 | －． 3664 | －． 2964 | ． 2379 | － |
| 1956 unskilled wages． | ． 8863 | ＊＊．6726 | ＊． 7147 | ． 2786 | 4878 |
| Labor－market condition | －． 0532 | －． 1877 | ． 1457 | 2410 |  |
| Population．．． | $-.0003$ | －． 49308 | －$\% .4255$ | ． 2364 | 4252 |
| 1957 unskilled wages | ． 8468 | － 6.6398 | －． 2844 | ． 2726 | ． 4252 |
| Labor－market condition | 一． 1066 | －． 3133 | －． 28029 | .2535 |  |
| Population． | $-.0002$ | －＊ 6202 | －＊． 6938 | ． 2774 | ． 3931 |
| 1958 unskilled wages． | ． 7650 | －． 6202 | +.6938 -.1702 | ． 2674 | ． 3831 |
| Labor－market condition | ＝． 0443 | 二． 1988 | －． 2930 | ． 2590 |  |
| Population | －． 0002 | －． 3368 | －． 2930 | ． 2500 |  |
| $\because$ Dentalicare prices on－ |  | ＊＊． 6343 | ＊＊． 6689 | ． 2578 | ． 4085 |
| 1955 unskilled wages－－ | $\begin{array}{r}4.4376 \\ -.1008 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ＋0535 | －． 0426 | .2517 |  |
| Labor－martet condition <br> Population： | 二． 10004 | －． 1398 | －． 1141 | ． 2556 |  |
| 1956 unskilled wages | 5．0260 | ＊＊：6140 | ＊＊． 6721 | ． 2732 | ． 3815 |
| Labor－market condition | －． 3447 | －． 1797 | －． 1530 | 2648 |  |
| Population．．．．．． | －． 0004 | －． 1217 | －． 1007 | ． 22988 | 3268 |
| 1957 unskilled wages． | 4． 2909 | －． 5451 | －． 0438 | ． 2950 |  |
| －Labor－market condition | -.0986 -.0005 | －． 1395 | －． 1223 | ． 2743 |  |
| Population．．－－－－－ | -.0005 5.3972 | － 0.6810 | ＊＊． 7496 | ． 2548 | ． 4881 |
| 1958 unskilled wages＿－－ | 5.3972 -.7583 | －． 5012 | －． 4463 | ． 2436 |  |
| Labor－market condit <br> Population | －． 0004 | －． 1138 | －． 0861 | ． 2378 |  |
| Hospitsi－care prices on－ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955 unskilled wages．． | 1． 6388 | ＊． 7566 | ＊． 7615 | －2081 | ＊． 6144 |
| Labor－market condition． | －． 1419 | －． 2768 | －． 1881 | － 2031 | －－．－－－－－－－－ |
| Population．－．－． | ． 0002 | ． 1948 | ． 1296 | ． 2003 | ＊＊＊－6717 |
| 1956 unskilled wages． | 1.8774 | ． 8035 | ． 8485 | － 1980 | ． 6717 |
| Labor－market condition． | －． 2145 | －． 4670 | －． 3222 | ． 1929 |  |
| Population．．－－－ | ． 0001 | ． 1170 | ． 0705 | － 1892 | 6542 |
| 1957 unskilled wages． | 1． 7273 | ＊＊．7864 | ． 8602 | ． 2136 | ． 6542 |
| Labor－market condition． | －． 3379 | ＊－．6172 | －． 5245 | ． 2114 |  |
| Population | ． 0002 | 2.2196 | 1399 | ． 1966 | 8 |
| 1958 unskilled wages | 1．6474 | ＊＊＊．7446 | ＊＊． 7909 | ． 22442 | 038 |
| Labor－market condition． | －． 2356 | （＊＊－． 5773 | ＊－． 4794 | ． 2143 |  |
| Population． | 0001 | 1 ． 0983 | ． 0653 | 2092 |  |

See footnotes at end of table，p． 127.

Table 3.-Regression coefficients: Price regression-Continued

|  | Regression coefficient | Partial correlation coefficient | Beta coefflcient | Standard error of bets | $\underset{R^{2}}{V_{\text {Value }}} \text { of }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medical-care prices on- |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1955 unstilled wages......... | 0.2360 | 0.4183 | 0.4181 | 0.2870 | 0. 2663 |
| Labor-market condition. | $-.0690$ | $-.3610$ | -. 3431 | . 2803 |  |
| 1956 Population-...- | . 0000 | . 1624 | . 1482 | . 2847 |  |
| Labor-market condition. | -. 20826 | -. 48678 | .4398 -.4572 | . 28874 | . 3202 |
| ${ }_{1057}$ Population....- | . 0001 | . 2079 | -. 1830 | . 2723 |  |
| 1957 unskilled wages.......... | . 2709 | 4994 | 4955 | 2718 | . 4403 |
| Labor-market condition. | -. 1009 | -. 5601 | -. 5751 | 2689 |  |
| 1958 unskilled wages.... | . 33603 | **. 51188 | - $\% .56970$ | . 2401 | 5428 |
| Labor-market condition. | -. 0914 | *-. 6692 | **-.6558 | . 2302 | . 5428 |
| Population. | . 0001 | . 2319 | . 1695 | . 2248 |  |

* Coefficient is significant at 1-percent level.
** Coeffictent is significant at 5-percent level.
Note.-To be significant at 5 percent $R^{9}$ must equal . 5267.
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## PRODUCTIVITY AND OUTPUT IN THE POSTWAR PERIOD

By Thomas A. Wilson

## I. Introduction

Chapter 3 of the "Staff Report on Employment, Growth, and Price Levels" includes a discussion of the relationship between productivity and output in the economy. ${ }^{1}$ Much of that analysis focuses on the effects of intersectoral labor movements upon the rate of growth of aggregate productivity. The underlying data for that portion of the analysis are presented in the report.

Conclusions drawn about the relationship between output and productivity within the major sectors of the economy, however, were partly based upon new data gathered and analyzed by the committee staff. This paper's purpose is to present and discuss the productivity and output data obtained by the committee staff, together with an analysis of the relationship between output and productivity within the manufacturing sector of the economy.

## II. The Estimates

## A. MAJOR SECTOR ESTIMATES

Table 1 presents output, man-hour input, and productivity indexes for the major sectors of the economy. The reader must be forewarned, however, that productivity estimates are only as reliable as the output indexes on which they are based. Before using the productivity indexes for analytical purposes, the output source materials, discussed below, should be consulted.
(1) Manufacturing estimates A: The output index is a Federal Reserve output index for manufacturing, based upon 1954 value added weights. ${ }^{2}$ The man-hours index is based upon Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) data, and is an estimate of total man-hours. ${ }^{3}$ Estimate A was presented in order to compare a productivity estimate based upon an output index with a productivity estimate based upon a real-value-added index. Estimate B is the published BLS index of real value added per man-hour in manufacturing. These two estimates show general agreement. Estimate B is the better of the two, and was therefore used in the staff report.
(2) Mining: Output is a Federal Reserve index for mining based upon 1954 value-added weights. The man-hours index is based upon BLS data, and is a total man-hours index.
(3) Public utilities: The output index is the Schultze ${ }^{4}$ output index. The man-hours index is based upon BLS data.
(5) Railroads: Revenue traffic, man-hours, and productivity are based upon the published BLS indexes.
(6) Other transportation: Output is an adjusted Schultze output index. Employment data is from BLS. No adjustment was made for possible changes in average weekly hours.
(7) Contract construction: Output is an adjusted Schultze index. The manhours index is based upon BLS data, and is a total man-hours index.
(8) Agriculture: The productivity index is that published by BLS. The manhours index is based upon Commerce data for persons engaged in production, and Census data for average weekly hours. The output index was obtained by multiplying productivity by man-hours.

[^32](9) Services: The output index is based upon deflated net national income originating in services, as published in U.S. Income and Output and Survey of Current Business. The price deflator used was the implicit price deflator for "Other consumer services." The employment index is derived from the number of persons participating in production, as published in U.S. Income and Output. No adjustment was made for changes in average weekly hours.
(10) Trade: The output index was obtained by combining the Schultze output indexes for wholesale and retail trade, using as weights national income originating in each sector in 1947. The man-hours index is based upon the number of persons participāting in prodiction, ias publishedin U.S. Income and Output, adjusted for changes in average weekly hours as published by BLS.

Some of these productivity indexes appear to be fairly reliable; others are less so. In particular, the indexes for contract construction, services, and trade should be used with caution-i.e., one should not attribute much to small changes in these indexes.

## b. estimates for two-digit mining and manufacturing industries

Estimates of output; man-hour input, and productivity for all two-digit manufacturing and mining industries are presented in table 2:- Each output index is based upon weighted combinations of four-digit output indexes, ${ }^{5}$ the weights used being proportionate to value added in 1954: The mani-hours indexes are all based upon BLS data, and are all total man-hours indexes.

Users of these indexes should bear in mind that the output and man-hours series are not strictly comparable, and that, for a-particular industry, these productivity indexes may therefore be in considerable error. Another weakness of these productivity indexes is that they are based upon gross output ${ }^{6}$ rather than real-value-added indexes. Insofar as movements of the output indexes used deviate from movements of real value added, the productivity estimates will deviate from true productivity.

These estimates should consequently be interpreted with caution, especially if used'to analyze the behavior of productivity within a particular industry.

## 'iII. The Rellationship Between Productivity and Outipút 依ithin Manufacturińg During the Postwar Period

The staff report states that output and productivity for the manufacturing industries were positively lassociated during the postwar period: ${ }^{7}$ This section will present the analysis upon which that conclusion rested:

Tables $3,-4$, and 5 summarize the results of various correlations between output and productivity that were computed using the data for manufacturing shown in table 2. The results of these correlations are clear: Changes in productivity are positively associated with changes in output,: both for individual industry time series and for cross sections for each year:

A comparison of changes in the rate of growth of output with changes in the rate of growth of productivity for all two-digit manufacturing and: mining industries is presented in table 7. The five manufacturing and four mining industries which experienced a greater rate of growth of output after 1953 also experienced a more rapid growth of productivity: Of the: 17 manufacturing industries which had slower output growth after 1953, 8 also had slower productivity growth. The lone mining industry with a slower output growth also experienced a slowed growth of productivity.
Erowidently an acceleration or deceleration in the growth of output tended to be accompanied by a similar change in the 'rate of grow.th of productivity.

Although the conclusion that changes in productivity are positively associated with changes in output is a firm inference from these statistical analyses, one cannot attribute all of the observed relationship between the two variables to a one-way causal relationship. . Whereas changes in output may stimulate similar changes in:productivity by increasing the rate of utilization of existing capacity or by stimulating innovation within the industry, it is also clear that changes in productivity will tend to result in similar changes in output.' Improved productivity, by lowering costs and prices, will lead to higher levels of output.

[^33]In view of this identification problem, one must not stretch an analysis based upon simple correlations too far. ${ }^{8}$ In particular, it would not be prudent.to assume that increases in output would yield gains in productivity in a period when output was already pressing upon available capacity.

Since the available evidence suggests that-considerable underutilization of capital existed during the $1956-57$ boom, ${ }^{9}$ and since cross-sectional correlations for both those years reveal a positive relationship between changes in output and changes in productivity, it seems safe to conclude that a further expansion of output would have resulted in productivity gains.

[^34]|  | 1947 | 1948 | 1949 | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1958 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing (A): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Output......... | 100.0 | 103.0 | 97.0 | 112.0 | 120.0 | 125.0 | 136.0 | 127.0 | 142.0 | 147.0 | 147.0 | 136.0 |
| Man-hours..........- | 103.4 | 103.0 | 93.6 | 101.4 | 109.6 | 111.1 | 117.2 | 106.7 | 112.6 | 114.3 | 112.2 | 102. 2 |
| Manufacturing (B): Output per man-hour. | ${ }_{97.6}^{96.7}$ | 100.1 | 103.6 103.7 | 109.5 | 109.5 11.2 | 113.0 | 118.3 | 117.4 | 125.6 | 127.1 | 127.7 | (1) |
| Mining: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Output- | 101.0 | 106.0 | 94.0 | 104.0 | 115.0 | 114.0 | 117. | 113. | 125.0 | 132.0 | 132.0 | 120.0 |
| Man-hours...-------- | ${ }^{104.0} 9$ | 105.0 100.9 | 91.0 103.3 | 113.5 | 195.6 120.4 | 92.3 122.6 | 90.1 129.8 | 81.7 138.3 | 85.6 146.0 | 88.9 148.5 | 88.0 150.0 | 76.4 157.0 |
| Public uthities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Output-.-- | 95.6 | 99.0 | 105.4 | 121.1 | 136.8 | 148.9 | 160.7 | 170.0 | 187.7 | 204.4 | 218.2 | (1) |
| Man-hours.. | 95.4 | 101.0 | 103.6 | 100.2 | 108.3 . | 109.4 | 111.2 | 111.6 | 112.4 | ${ }_{179.8}^{113.8}$ | 114.5 190.6 | (1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Output-.- | 93.4 | 101.3 | 105.3 | 112.3 | 121.5 | 126.1 | 132.8 | 137.7 | 155.7 | 167.4 | 179.2 | (1) |
| Man-hours. | 83.4 | 105.0 | 101.6 | 99.3 | 103.6 | ${ }^{106 .} 2$ | 110.5 | 110.1 | 113.4 | 119.8 | 120.7 | (1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Railroads: Revenue traffic. | 108.5 | 104.7 | 86.7 | 95.0 | 104.2 | 99.4 | 97.5 | 88.6 | 99.2 | 102.5 | 97.7 | (P) 87.3 |
| Man-hours.- | 107.0 | 104.8 | 88.2 | 84.8 | 88.1 | 83.6 | 81.4 | 70.4 | 71.3 | 70.4 | 65.8 | (P) 55.3 |
| Revenue traffic per | 101.4 | 99.9 | 98.3 | 112.0 | 118.3 | 118.9 | 119.8 | 125.9 | 139.1 | 145.6 | 148.5 | (P) 157.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1) |
| Employment. | 102.2 | 100.6 | 97.2 | 98.3 | 105.3 | 107.3 | 108.9 | 105. 3 | 108.9 | 113.2 | 115.8 | (1) |
| Output per man. | 96.1 | 100.2 | 103.9 | 118.7 | 122.4 | 119.9 | 125.3 | 128.4 | 134.2 | 140.6 | 140.9 | (1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Man-hours | 94.2 | 103.3 | 102.4 | 108.9 | 123.5 | 127.2 | 123.8 | 120.5 | 127.9 | 137.1 | 130.2 | (1) |
| Output per man-hour | 99.1 | 99.8 | 101.0 | 107.7 | 106.1 | 103.2 | 107.9 | 113.5 | 114.0 | 109.7 | 114.2 | (1) |
| Agriculture: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Or }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Man-hours. | 103.7 | 106.8 99.7 | ${ }_{96.5}^{98.6}$ | 104.3 89.8 | 88.1 | ${ }_{76.9}^{94.6}$ | ${ }_{75.8}$ | ${ }_{72.1}$ | 70.0 | 69.8 | 126.4 | ${ }_{64.8}^{123} 2$ |
| Output per man- | 90.5 | 107. 1 | 107.2 | 116.2 | 114.6 | 124.5 | 138.6 | 148.3 | 153.3 | 160.7 | 168, 6 | 190.1 |
| Services: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Output....... | ${ }_{99.1}^{98.2}$ | 100.0 100.6 | 101.8 100.4 | 108.0 103.5 | 110.8 106.0 | 114.2 106.3 | 118.9 107.7 | 120.1 106.9 | 131.0 112.8 | 130.7 118.2 | 144.1 121.6 | 146. ${ }^{123} \mathbf{3}$ |
| Output per man. | 99.1 | 99.4 | 101.4 | 104.3 | 104.5 | 107.4 | 110.4 | 112.3 | 116.1 | 118.2 | 118.5 | 119.0 |
| Trade: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Output. <br> Man-hou | 99.0 99.0 | 100.8 100.9 | 100.2 100.1 | 113.6 101.8 | 115.6 106.0 | 120.2 107.6 | 124.5 108.0 | 123.7 106.9 | 139.8 109.8 | 122. 6 | 113.0 | (1) |
| Output per man-hour | 100.0 | 99.9 | 100.1 | 11.6 | 109.1 | 111.7 | 115.3 | 115.7 | 121.9 | 121.8 | 122: 1 | (1) |

[^35]Note.-For a discussion of these estimates, see text.

Table 2.-Output, man-hour input, and productivity

[Indéx numbers, 1947-49 $=1$ ' 100 ]

|  | 1947 | 1948 | 1949 | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 | 1957 | 1058 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 Food and beverages: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Output.-.-- | 101 | 99 | $100^{\circ}$ | 103 | 105. | 108 | 109 | 111 | 116. | 120 | 120 | 122 |
| Man-hours. | 102.18 | 100. 22 | 97. 59 | 97.86 | 100.06 | 99. 56 | 99.45 | 97.48 | 99. 32 | 88.47 | 95. 15 | 93. 37 |
| 21 Output per man-hou | 98.8 | 98.8 | 102.5 | 105. 3 | 104.9 | 108.5 | 109.6 | 113.0 | 116.8 | 121.9 | 126.1 | 130.7 |
| 21 Tobacco: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Output--- | ${ }^{88}$ | 101 | 101. | 102 | 108 | 112 | $110{ }^{\circ}$ | 105 | 108 | 111 | 115 | 123 |
|  | 105.85 | 101.04 | 93: 14 | 90.70 | 92.54 | 93.65 | 91.35 | 90.08 | 91.48 | 88.06 | 83.91 | 80.64 |
| 22 Output per man-hour. | 92.6 | 100 | 108.4 | 112.5 | 116.7 | 119.6 | 120.4 | 116.6 | 118.1 | 126. 1 | 137.1 | 152.5 |
| Output. | $99^{\circ}$ | 105. | 96 | 109' | $106{ }^{\text { }}$ | 105 | 107 | 100 | 110 | 111 | 106 | J03 |
| Man-hours | 103: 79 | 105.35 | 90.86 | 100.45 | 97.03 | 91.89 | 91.14 | 80.69 | 84.71 | 82.24 | 76.89 | 71.55 |
| 23 Output per man-hour | 95.4 | .99.7 | 105.7 | 108.5 | 109.2 | 114.3 | 117.4 | 123.9 | 129.9 | 135.0 | 137.9 | 144.0 |
| 23 Apparel: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Output, | 97 | 102 | 101 | $108^{\circ}$ | 106 | 111 | 113 | 109 | 120 | 121 | 119 | 117 |
| Man-hours... | 98. 72 | 101.77 | 99.52 | 102. 35 | 102.85 | 105. 55 | 107.84 | 105. 47 | 106. 15 | 105.83 | 104.00 | 88.86 |
| 24 Output per man-hour | 98.3 | 100.2 | 101.5 . | 105.5 | 103.1 | 105.2 | 104.8 | 103.3 | 113.0 | 114.3 | 114.4 | 118.3 |
| 24 Lumber and wood product | 101 | 105 | 93 | 112 |  | 110 | 114 | 111 | 123 | 110 | 109 |  |
| Man-hours. | 106.60 | 102. 49 | 90.82 | 100.09 | 104.92 | 98.47 | 94. 77 | 86.71 | 92. 79 | 90.00 | 79.18 | 75.38 |
| 25 Output per man-hour. | 94.7 | 102.4 | 102.3 | 111.9 | 106.7 | 111.7 | 120.3 | 128.0 | 132.6 | 132.2 | 137.6 | 145.9 |
| 25 Furniture and fixtures: Output | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Man-hours. | 102.31 | 104.19 | 93: 50 | 111.68 | 107.75 | 108.41 | 111.20 | 100. 75 | 110.18 | 112.33 | 109.17 | 132.94 |
| Output per man-hour. | 97.7 | 99.8 | 102.7 | 104.8 | 103.0 | 105.2 | 107.0 | 123. 1 | 128.0 | 129.1 | 127.3 | 128.2 |
| 20 Paper and allied products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Output... | 100 | 102 |  | 118 | 125 | 119 | 130 | 132. | 149 | 156 | 155 | 157 |
| Man-hours........... | 101.36 | 102.38 | 96. 26 | 106. 01 | 111.23 | 108.85 | 115.05 | 113. 63 | 119.22 | 122. 52 | 121.00 | 115.95 |
| 27 Printing and putablishing: | 98.7 | . 99.6 | 101.8 | 111.3 | 112.4 | 109.3 | 113.0 | 116.2 | 125.0 | 127.3 | 128.1 | 135.4 |
| 27 Printing and publishing: | 96 | 101 | 103 | 108 | 110 |  | 115. | 120 | 127 | 134 |  |  |
| Man-hours. | 99.51 | 100.67 | 99.82 | 102.48 | 103.72 | 105:39 | 108.68 | 109.29 | 113.04 | 116.53 | 116.97 | 114.88 |
| 28 Output per man-bour | 96.5 | 100.3 | 103.2 | 105.4 | 106.1 | 104.4 | 105.8 | 109.8 | 112.3 | 115.0 | 114.6 | 114.0 |
| 28 Chemicals: | 06 | 103. |  |  | 139 |  | 154 | 153 | 178 | 191 | 199 | 189 |
| Man-hours. | 102.52 | 103.37 | 94.11 | 100: 63 | 110:73 | 112.93 | 118.51 | 115.72 | 119.17 | 122.27 | 123.11 | 119.68 |
| Output per man-hour | 93.6 | 99.6 | 107.3 | 123.2 | 125.5 | 127.5 | 129.9 | 132.2 | 149.4 | 156.2 | 160.9 | 166.4 |
| 29 Petroleum: | 97 | 104 | 98 | 110 | 122 | 121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Man-hours | 88.82 | 102. 53 | 98.66 | 98.75 | 104.81 | 104.70 | 107.75 | 104.82 | 105.08 | 104.77 | 103.32 | ${ }^{130} 97.16$ |
| Output per man-hour | 88.2 | 101.4 | 99.3 | 111.4 | 116.4 | 115.6 | 119.7 | 117.3 | 128.5 | 133.6 | 134.5 | 133.8 |

TAble 2.-Output, man-hour input, and productivity-Continued
A. MANÚFAOTURING INDUSTRIESB-Continued
[Index numbers, 1847-49



| 10 | Metal mining: | $\cdots$ | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\because . \quad \cdot$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Output-..- | 105. | -. 93 : | $\because 108$ | 118 | 108 | 118. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | 97 | . 123 | 130. | $\therefore \cdot 137$ |  | 113 |
|  | Man-hours. | 104.05 | 94.41 | $\because 98.28$ | 103. 28 | .102.55 | 107.80 | 95.68 | '100. 58 | 107. 70 | 107.14 |  | 86.12 |
|  | Output per man-hour Anthracite mining: | 100.9 | , 98.5 | * $\because 112.2$ | 114.3 | 105.3 | 109.5 | 101.4 | $\cdots 122.3$ | 120.7 | 127.9 |  | 131.2 |
| 11 | Anthracite mining: Output. | 109 : | 82 | $\stackrel{-1}{-1}$ |  |  | 57 | 52 | $\because 48$ | 55 | 49 |  |  |
|  | Man-hours. | $\bigcirc 106.38$ | 85.56 | . 87.99 | 79.55 | 73.03 | 58.52 | 44. 69 | - 38.42 | ${ }_{35.31}$ | 32. 41 |  | 21.82 |
|  | Output per man-hou | $\stackrel{.101 .6}{ }$ | 97.0 | $\therefore 96.4$ | 104.1. | 106.8 | 100.2 | 126.3 | - 131.7 | 162.2 | 155.2 |  | 204.3. |
| 12 | Bituminous mining: | 108: | 79 |  | $\therefore$ 96. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Man-hours | :106. 24 | ${ }^{78} 8.15$. | $\begin{array}{r}93 \\ \hline 83.07\end{array}$ | 84.43 | $\square$ <br> $\therefore-72.33$ | 81.8 | 70. 48. | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \\ +\quad 52.84 \end{array}$ | 90 55.51 | $88$ |  | 72. |
|  | Output per man-hou | - 101.7 | $\therefore 95.0$ | Z 112.0 | 113.7 | $\therefore 116.1$ | 126.0 | 144.2 . | 160.9 | 162.1 | 162.2 2 |  | 43.24 168.5 |
| 13 | Petroleum and gas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Output. Man-hours. |  | [ 99 | $\because 10810$ | 122 |  | 131 | 131 | ${ }^{139}$ | 146 | 146 |  | 137 |
|  | Man-hours. $\qquad$ Output per man-hou | - 104.05 100.9 | : 101.27 | : 102.09 | 109.98 | $\because \cdot 117.70$ | 120.06 | 121.91 | ., 127.34 | 131.50 | 131.59 |  | 122.01 |
| 14 | Output per man-hou Stone and earth: | ; 100.9 | 97.8 | - 105.8 | 110:980 | ${ }^{100.2}$ | 109.1 | 107. 5 : | 109.2 | 111.0 | 11.0 |  | 112.3 |
|  | Output. | 104 | . 101 | 115 | $12{ }^{\circ}$ | 家 131 | 135 |  | 161 |  |  |  | 171. |
|  | Man-hours. | 102. 65 | - 95.51. | cre 97.02 | 106. 58 | - 2107.93 | 109. 42 | - 107.04 | 111.30 | 118.72 | 115. 11 |  | 100:35 |
|  | Output per man-hour. | 101.3 | $105.7=$ | : 118.5 | 118.2 | $\because 121.4$ | 123.4. | 138.3 | $\because 144.5$ | 146. 6 | 151.2 |  | 156. 4. |

## Table 3.-Correlation between output index and productivity index: Cross. sections for all manufacturing industries, 1947-58

| Year: | Year-Continued | . Correlation coéfficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1947 | 1953------- | 0. 4017 |
| 1948 | 1954 | ${ }^{2} .4498$ |
| 1949 | 1955 | 1. 6013 |
| 1950 | 1956 | ${ }^{2}$ 2. 5474 |
| 1951 | 1957 | ${ }^{2} .4995$ |
| 1952 | 1958 | ${ }^{2} .5192$ |

${ }^{1}$ Significant at 1 -percent level $(r .01=0.5614)$.
a Significant at 5 -percent level ( $(r .05=0.4438)$.
NOTE.-Sample size $=20$.
Table 4.-Correlation between changes in output and changes in productivity: Cross sections for all manufacturing industries, 1948-58 ${ }^{1}$

| Year: | $\therefore$ C̈orrelation coefficient | Year-Continued | Correlation coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1948 | ${ }^{2} 0.6206$ | 1954 | ${ }^{3} 0.5168$ |
| 1949 | ${ }^{3} .4625$ | 1955 | ${ }^{2} .7435$ |
| 1950 | ${ }^{3} .5296$ | 1956 | ${ }^{2}$. 5633 |
| 1951 | . 1089 | 1957 | ${ }^{2}: 5900$ |
| 1952 | ${ }^{2} .7424$ | 1958 | ${ }^{2}$ 2. 7505 |
| 1953 | ${ }^{3} .4614$ |  |  |

1 These correlations differ from those in appendix B of Study Paper No. 21, which also relate changes in output to changes in productivity, because of two differences in the variables used. The correlations given here are based on absolute changes in the indexes of output and productivity; in addition, productivity is measured in terms of output per total worker man-hour. In Study Paper No. 21, the correlations are based on percentage changes in output and productivity and on productivity per production worker man-hour. In addition, the correlations above are based on 20 industries; the correlations in Study Paper 21 are based on 19; "Miscellaneous" was omitted from the latter cross section.
${ }^{2}$ Significant at 1 -percent level ( $r .0_{1}=0.5614$ ).

- Significant at 5 -percent level ( $r .05=0.4438$ ).

Nore.-Sample size $=20$.
Table 5.-Correlation between changes in output and changes in productivity: Time series for the period 1948-68, all manufacturing industries
Industry: coefficient
20 Food and kindred products
0. 4170








29 'Petroleum and coal products.................................................... 8820



33 Primary metals_-...................................................................................... 8785


36 Electrical machinery ......-.-.-.-...................................................... 3826



1 Bignificant at 5 -percent level ( $r .03=0.6021$ ).
9 Significant at 1 -percent level ( $r .01=0.7348$ ).
NOTE,-Sample size $=11$.

Table 6.-Changes in productivity compared with changes in output: All manufacturing and mining industries, 1947-53, 1953-57

|  |  | 1947-53 |  | 1953-57 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average annual percent change in output per man-hour | Average annual percent change in output | A verage annual percent change in output per man-hour | A verage annual percent change in output |
|  | manuractubing |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Food and kindred products. | 13/4 | 11/4 | 31/2 | 21/2 |
| 21 | Tobacco.. | 41/2 | 2 | 31/4 | 118 |
| 22 | Textiles.- | $31 / 2$ | 11/4 | 41/8 | -1/4 |
| 23. | Apparel...... | 1118 | 21/2 | 21/4 | $11 /$ |
| 24 | Lumber... | 4 | 2. | 31/2 | -11/8 |
| 25. | Furniture. | 136 | 3 | 41/2 |  |
| 28 | Paper and allied products | $\cdots$ | $41 / 2$ | $31 / 4$ | 41/2 |
| 27 | Printing and publishing----- | ${ }_{51}^{13}$ | ${ }_{8}$ | ${ }_{51}$ |  |
| 28 | Chemicals.................... | 33/8 | 814/4 | $3^{51 / 2}$ | 65388818 |
| 30 | Rubber ....................... | $21 /$ | 3 | 21/4 | 11/8 |
| 31 | Stone, clay, and glass. | $27 / 6$ | 41/4 | 3 | $31 /$ |
| 33 | Prither | 118 | -1/4 | 312 |  |
| ${ }_{34}$ | Primary metals |  |  | 17 |  |
| 35 | Nonelectrical machinery | $23 /$ | 5 | -568 | 1/4 |
| 36 | Electrical machinery... | 377 | 918 | 31/4 | 3 |
| 37 | Transportation equipment. | 35/8 | 11\% | 5 | $31 / 2$ |
| 38 | Instruments......... | 314 | 7\% |  | 31/2 |
| 38 | Miscellaneous. | 3 | 414 | 43/4 | 4 |
|  | mining |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Metal mining | 13 | $23 / 8$ | 4 | 33/4 |
| 12 | Bituminous | $31 / 2$ | -57\% | 614 | $21 / 8$ |
| 13 | Petroleum and gas. | 114 | $51 \%$ | 37 | $21 /$ |
| 14 | Stone and earth. minerals | 41/2 | 5\% | . 514 | 61/2 |

Nore.-Annual average percent changes are base year to terminal year compound growth rates.
Table 7.-Classification of manufacturing and mining industries, by changes in growth rates of output and productivity
[1953-57 compared with 1947-53]

|  | Rate of growth of productivity lower 1953-57 than 1947-53 | Rate of growth of productivity Higher 1953-57 than 1047-53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate of growth of output lower 195357 than 1947-53. |  | 22 Textlles. <br> 23 Apparel. <br> - 30 'Rubber. <br> 31 Stone, clay, and glass. <br> 437.: Tiansportation equipment. <br> 38 Instruments. . <br> .39 -Miscellianeous. |
| Rate of growth of output higher 195357 than 1947-53. |  | 10 Metal mining. <br> 11 Anthracite mining. <br> 12 Bituminous mining. <br> 13 Stone and earth minerals. <br> 20 Food and kindred products. <br> 25 Furniture. <br> 26 Paper. <br> 27 Printing and publishing. 32 Leather. |


[^0]:    I have received much helpful assistance from several Government agencies in the course of preparing the present study. In particular, I would like to express my appreciation to Harry Douty and Lily Mary David of the BLS Division of Wages and Industrial Relations; to Sidney Jaffe, Allan Searle; and Helen Hald of the BLS Division of Prices and Cost of Living; to Jack Alterman of the BLS Division of Productivity; to Gladys Miller, Robert Stein, and Sophia Cooper of the BLS Division of Manpower and Employment; to Hyman Lewis of the BLS Office of Labor Economics; and to Louis Paradiso of the U.S. Department of Commerce. Thomas Wilson of the staff of the Joint Economic Committee provided estensive help in the statistical computations; and Stanley Heckman and Hamilton Gewehr provided general assistance throughout.
    ${ }^{2}$ For the general discussion of the postwar inflation, see the "Staff Report on Employment," Growth, and Price Levels,", ch. V. (Government Printing Offce, Dec. 24, 1959).

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ An excellent presentation of these and other limitations on the use of concentration ratios can be found in "Concentration in American Industry,' Subcommittee on Antitrust and Monopoly, at pp. 3-6.

    4 All the regressions and correlation coefficients presented in the following discussion are single equation least squarps estimates. All equations fitted were linear.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ The 5 percent level of significance is 0.4683 ; the 1 percent level is 0.5897 .

[^3]:    - Another bit of corroborative evidence can be found in a similar study of 61 smaller (3-digit) industries conducted by Conrad. On the basis of both simple and multiple cross-section regression analysis, he found a "remarkably low degree of relationship" between average annual changes in production workers' wages and output, employment, and productivity. He did not test for the role of profits. See Alfred H. Conrad, "The Share of Wages and Salaries in Manufacturing Incomes, 1947-56", Joint Economic Committee Study of Employment, Growth, and Price Levels, Study Paper No. 9, pp. 149-152.

[^4]:    ;"Extent of Collective Bargaining and Union Recognition, 1946," Monthly Labor Review, May 1947.

[^5]:    "The term "key" bargain is used here to designate the collective agreement which is widely alleged to establish a standard, or "pattern," of wage-fringe adjustments which is accepted by other industries or companies as the basis for subsequent agreements. The steel and automobile settlements are usually given this status because of their size and the strength of the union in them, even though other settlements may, in point of time, precede them.

[^6]:    $\because$ Only 16 Industrial sectors are represented because of lack of adequate price data for the remaining 3printing and pubilshing, transportation equipment, and instruments. Wherever feasible in the following discussion, price and other data for the three-digit industry, motor vehicles, is used in place of transportation equipment. All of the statistical tests, however, are based only upon the 16 two-digit sectors.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ The first three of these results, relating to output, productivity, and earnings, were also found by Conrad, op. cit. Using both simple and multiple regression analysis to test price changes agalnst changes in wages, output, productivity, and employment, he concinded that "only the prico-wage relationship and the priceemployment change relationship approach economic significance"; his data show a much lower partial correlation coefficient for the latter relationship, however. His analysis included 61 threo-digit industries.

[^8]:    ' The 5 percent level of significance is 0.6319 ; the 1 percent level is 0.7646 .
    ${ }^{2}$ These are partial correlation coefficients corresponding to the regression coefficients in the equation $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bO}+\mathrm{cW} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}$, where P is the percent change in wholesale price, O is the percent change in output, and Wo is the percent change in gross hourly earnings.

[^9]:    Sources: See spp. A. The "Materials and components" Inder is from the Economlc Report of the Presdent, January 1959, p. 198.

[^10]:    ${ }^{11}$ It must be stressed here that the trend indicated by the index of profits margins cannot be meaningfully compared to the trend indicated by the index of labor costs per unit of output, since the basis of computing the indexes is quite different. The index of profit margins is a measure of profits deflated by sales. The index of labor casts per unit, on the other hand, is a measure of direct labor costs deflated by man-hour productivity. The profits index reflects a percentage, whereas the labor cost index reflects an absolute amount.
    12 See Charles L. Schultze, "Recent Inflation in the United States," Joint Economic Committee Study of Employment, Growth, and Price Levels, Study Paper No. 1.
    ${ }^{13}$ It should be noted that we are here comparing the ratios of indexes, rather than the indexes of each variable directly. Thus the problem cited in footnote 11 does not arise.

[^11]:    14 For a much more comprehensive analysis of these trends and the causal factors underlying them, see Otto Eckstein and Gary Fromm, "Steel and the Postwar Inflation", Joint Economic Committee Study of Employment, Growth, and Price Levels Study Paper No. 2.

[^12]:    Source: Computed by suthor.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Not available.

[^14]:    1 Not available.

[^15]:    1 The basic source for this data is U.S. Department of Commerce, "U.S. Income and Output," a supplement to the Survey of Current Business, Washington, 1959. Table II-5. The personal consumption category accounts for about 70 percent of all service output. The rest, flowing to Government primarily, is outside the scope of this discussion.

    Charles L. Schultze, "Prices, Costs, and Output for the Postwar Decade: 1947-57," published by Committee for Economic Development, January 1060. See especially table 2, p. 29. A discussion of the methods used in estimating the indexes is included there.
    ${ }^{\text {' The "service industry" itself is an aggregate. A listing of its components is presented in the appendix. }}$ When the term "service sector" is used we mean the "service industries" plus the finance and insurancer transportation, communication, and public utilities industries.
    *See appendix for a listing of the coverage of service sector as defined for Department of Commerce expenditure data.
    ${ }^{5}$ See Schultze, op. cit., p. 28.

[^16]:    ' Included in "Other."
    Source: "U.S. Input and Output," table II-6.

[^17]:    6 The two concepts differ as follows: the first series measures the average number of full-time and parttime jobs filled during the year by wage and salary earners. The second series measures man-years of full-time employment by persons working for wages or salaries and by active proprietors of unincorporated enterprises devoting a major portion of their time to the business. This series falls short of measuring total man-years by excluding unpaid family workers. It falls short of measuring total number of persons holding jobs because part-time employee is counted as a fraction of a full-time employee.
    Both series are shown here because both part-time employment and participation by proprietors are important in the service sector. A single series showing both together is not available.
    Primary reliance is not placed on the Bureau of Labor Statistics data for aggregate service and miscellaneous employment because that series does not cover household workers and because detail for the "miscellaneous" part is not available. The BLS series and the first series referred to above move in very close harmony, however.
    (See footnotes to tables VI-13, VI-14, and VI-16 in "U.S. Income and Output" for further discussion.)

[^18]:    ${ }^{8}$ Data from "U.S. Income and Output," table VI-4 and VI-2.

    - Income per partner would be a preferable statistic, but the 1958 preliminary data do not include enough information to make that computation. Income per partnership will not be comparable between years if the average number of partners per firm changes.

[^19]:    ${ }^{10}$ See pt. 3 below. Multiple regression analysis suggests a significant association between the level of unskilled wages and the level of hospital rates.
    ${ }^{11}$ See sources cited in table 19.
    12 Ray E. Brown, "The nature of hospital costs," reprint from "Hospitals," the Journal of the American Hospital Association, Apr. 1, 1956. Brown, does, however, mention a trend toward more professionalism among technjcians. The following section draws heavily on his article.

[^20]:    ${ }^{13}$ Brown, op. cit. says, "During 1954 those hospitals with less than 25 beds averaged 51.6 percent occupancy while those with over 300 beds averaged 71.8 percent occupancy."
    ${ }^{14}$ Ibid.
    ${ }^{15}$ Markly Roberts, "Trends in the Supply and Demand of Medical Care," Study Paper 5 in the "Study of Employment, Growth and Price Levels" (1959)
    ${ }^{16}$ See William Weinfeld "Income of Dentists, 1929-48" Survey of Current Business, January 1950; "Income of Physicians, 1929-49," ibid, July 1951; "Income of Lawyers in the Postwar Period," ibid, December 1956.
    ${ }^{17}$ Ibid.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes in addition to the services shown in detall above, new and used autos, tires, gasoline and motor oil.
    ${ }^{2}$ Index for 1953 annual average. Item was first included in index January 1953. Base: December $1952=100$.
    Source: BLS, Consumer Price Index.

[^22]:    Source: Data from "U.S. Income and Output," table II-4.

[^23]:    18 "Automobile Facts and Figures," Detrolt: Automobile Manufacturing Association, 1958

[^24]:    ${ }^{19}$ These data are published through the regional offices of the Burean of Labor Statistics. In addition, the National Automobile Dealers Association has begun a program of sampling their membership in order to ascertain data on wages, and pay plans. The first survey was published in the association magazine, NADA, in the June and July 1959 issues.
    20 At the 0.03 level: 11 cities had both types of wage data for both years.
    ${ }^{21}$ At the 0.01 level: correlation is for 1958 , using 16 cities.
    ${ }_{29}$ Preliminary analysts of the level of dry cleaning prices in a cross section of large cities showed strong association (at i-percent level) with the level of unskilled wages in those cities. Surprisingly, no such relation appeared with laundry prices, but the partial correlation coefficients of personal care price and the unskilled wages were significantly associated at 5 -percent level. For description of data, analysis, and results, see pt. 3.

[^25]:    I Includes miscellaneous services (weight 0.8) such as banking, legal, funeral prices, not published separately.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes toilet articles in addition to the services detailed.
    3 Includes newspapers, radio and television sets, toys and sports equipment in addition to services detalled.
    I Index for 1953 annual average; item first included in index in 1953. Base: December 1952.
    8 Percent change 1953 to 1958.

[^26]:    Source: Data from "U.S. Income and Output," table II-4.

[^27]:    ${ }^{24}$ See footnote to table 16 for sources and cautions about the data.

[^28]:    ${ }^{24 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{It}$ is conceivable that something like the following could be true: assume that two cities in fact have the same average wage rate and that in both cities the wage-rate increases in uniform, 2 -cent-per-month increments. Now, if the first city were surveyed in January and the second city in December, the second city would show a wage 24 cents higher than the first. Taking simple first difference would not solve the problem because the given city is not always surveyed in the same month each year by BLS. Sometimes the "annual" first difference might span an interval of less than a year, sometimes more.

[^29]:    ${ }^{25}$ That is, the services industries, finance and insurance, transportation and public utilities.
    ${ }^{28}$ M. G. Kendall, "Rank Correlation Methods," London: Charles Griffin \& Co., 1948. The method set forth by Kendall has the advantage over the simpler Spearman method for small samples. The distribution of tau tends to normality for samples greater than 10 and has been computed for values under 10 .
    ${ }^{27}$ It will be noted that some of these cities have interpolated unskilled wage rates for some years. The first correlation reported below used both interpolated and uninterpolated data. No significant differences arose, so interpolated data was used throughout.

[^30]:    ${ }^{25}$ The number of cities included in the computation was 14. Washington, D.C., was included; Cleveland was not. Washington was dropped because the unskilled wage is not strictly comparable with the other cities. Data for Cleveland became available at a later stage in the work.

[^31]:    is The significunce level wascomputed from a one-tail distribution on the a priori notion that wages would be powitively correlated with lahor miarket condition. Eince this did not turn out to he the case. a two talled tevt may be more appropriate. If so. the significance levels should he doubled nind the resulis become, a fortiorl, less significunt
    $x_{0}$ But note that of the six cities omitted hemase of lack of unskilled wage data, two edties, Scranton and Pittshurgh ranked 20 and 18 , wespetively, in the cun'ulative labor warket seores. In 1458, Scranton also ranked 20 (i.e., worst) in manufacturing wage level, hull Pittshurgh ranked spcond, behind only netroit. It seams ohvious that the industrial structure-coal versus steel-rather than-labor narket condition, made the difference.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p̀p. 88-94.
    ${ }^{2}$ It must be stressed that these are not official Federal Reserve output indexes.

    - ${ }^{3}$ Employment multiplied by average weekly hours, both as published in Employment and Earnings. For manufacturing, mining, and contract construction, total man-hour estimates were constructed by adding production workers, man-hours, and non-production-worker man-hours (assuming that nonproduction workers worked a 40 -hour week).
    ${ }^{4}$ All Schultze output indexes are from Charles L. Schultze, "Prices, Costs, and Output for the Postwar Decade: 1947-57' New York, Committee for Economic Development, 1959, table 2, p. 29.

[^33]:    0 These were made available by the Federal Reserve; but are not official Federal Reserve ontput indexes. $\therefore$ The four-digit output' index (upon which the two-digit indexes are based) are gross output rather than real-value-added indexes.
    ${ }^{7}$ P. 91.

[^34]:    - Another weakness of simple correlation coeffictents between output and productivity is due to the correlation of errors of observation in output with eriors of observation on productivity: If these observational errors are large relative to the true variance of the series, they will cause biases in the correlation coefficients. - See staff report, pp. 70-71.

[^35]:    1 Not avallable.

